Матн 554

Handout # 2

Chapter 3 deals with limits and the topology of $I\!\!R.$ First we recall the concept of induction.

Theorem. (Principle of Mathematical Induction.) Suppose that a statement $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n})$ is defined for each natural number n. If

- 1. $\mathbf{p(1)}$ is true
- 2. $((\mathbf{p(n) true}) \Longrightarrow (\mathbf{p(n+1) true}))$ is a true statement for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

then $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n})$ is a true statement for each natural number n.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that the set $B := \{n \in \mathbb{N} | \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n}) \text{ false}\}$ is not empty. Notice that $1 \notin B$. Let N be the smallest element of B (possible since you can take a minimum of a finite set of integers), then set n := N - 1. Observe by assumption (1) that $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and by the definition of B that $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n})$ is true. By assumption (2), it follows that $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{n+1})$ is true. But n + 1 = N. Contradiction. Hence B must be empty. \Box

Example. These will useful in our study of convergence. Both are proved by induction.

- 1. $\sum_{j=0}^{n} r^{j} = \frac{1 r^{n+1}}{1 r}$, if $r \neq 1$.
- 2. $1 + na \leq (1 + a)^n$, if $a > 0 \& n \in \mathbb{N}$. (Bernoulli's inequality)

Defn. If $\epsilon > 0$ an ϵ -neighborhood of a is defined to be the set

$$N_{\epsilon}(a) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} | |x - a| < \epsilon \}.$$

Notice that $N_{\epsilon}(a) = (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon).$

Defn. A sequence of real numbers is defined to be a mapping from the natural numbers \mathbb{N} to the reals and is denoted by a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots or by $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The following definitions are used throughout the course:

- 1. $\{a_n\}$ is bounded, if $|a_n| \leq K$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 2. $\{a_n\}$ is convergent to a, denoted by $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$, if each ϵ -nbhd of a contains all but a finite number of terms of the sequence. We also use the shorter notation $a_n \to a$ when there is no ambiguity on the indices.

Example. The following are examples of sequences:

1. $1/2, 1/3, 1/4, \cdots$ 2. $1, r, r^2, r^3, \cdots$ 3. $1, 1+r, 1+r+r^2, 1+r+r^2+r^3, \cdots$

Lemma. $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = a$ if and only if

for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that if $n \ge \mathbb{N}$, then $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$.

In short hand this reads $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N = N(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N} \ni n \ge \mathbb{N}(\epsilon) \implies |a_n - a| < \epsilon$.

Proof. Notice that if a statement is true except for at most a finite number of terms, then there is a a largest integer for which it is not true. Take N to be that integer's successor. \Box

Example.

1. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0.$

Proof. Use the Archimedean Principle.

2. $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{3n^2 - 1}{n^2 + n + 25} = 3.$

(Hint: For a given $\epsilon > 0$, use $N := \max\{76, 4N_1\}$ where N_1 is the 'cutoff' for Example 1, i.e. any integer larger than $1/\epsilon$)

3. If |r| < 1, then $r^n \to 0$.

Proof. If r = 0, then the conclusion follows straight away. Suppose that 0 < |r| < 1, then if b := 1/|r| - 1 we see that b > 0 and |r| = 1/(1 + b). By Bernoulli's inequality, $|r^n|^{-1} = (1 + b)^n \ge 1 + nb$. Inverting this inequality gives $|r^n - 0| \le 1/(1 + nb)$. By example 1, pick N so that $1/n < b\epsilon$ if $n \ge N$. Hence,

$$|r^n - 0| \le \frac{1}{1+nb} < \frac{1}{nb} < \epsilon, \text{ if } n \ge N. \quad \Box$$

4. $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 1/(1-r)$, if $a_n := 1 + r + r^2 + \dots + r^n$ and |r| < 1.

Proof. If r = 0, the conclusion follows immediately. We may suppose then that 0 < |r| < 1. In this case, we use the identity above, i.e.

$$a_n := \sum_{j=0}^n r^n = \frac{1 - r^{n+1}}{1 - r}$$

to see that

$$a_n - a = -r^{n+1}/(1-r)$$

where a := 1/(1-r). Now, given $\epsilon > 0$, by example 3 there is an N_0 such that $n \ge N_0$ implies $|r^n| < (\frac{1-|r|}{|r|})\epsilon$. Combined with the displayed equation, this gives $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$ if $n \ge N_0$. \Box

Theorem. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$ exists, then it is unique.

Proof. Suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = A_1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = A_2$ and that $A_1 \neq A_2$. Set $\epsilon := |A_1 - A_2|/2$. Now $\epsilon > 0$ so there exists N_1 , such that if $n \geq N_1$ then $|a_n - A_1| < \epsilon$. Since the sequence converges to A_2 , we also have that there exists N_2 , such that if $n \geq N_2$ then $|a_n - A_2| < \epsilon$. Let $N := N_1 + N_2$, then N is larger than both N_1 and N_2 and so

$$|A_1 - A_2| \le |A_1 - a_N| + |A_2 - a_N| < 2\epsilon = |A_1 - A_2|,$$

which gives a contradiction. \Box

Theorem. Each convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof. Suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$. Let $\epsilon := 1$, then there is an integer N such that $a_n \in N_{\epsilon}(a)$ if $n \ge N$. This means that $a - 1 < a_n < a + 1$, if $n \ge N$. If $M := \max\{a + 1, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{N-1}\}$ and $m := \min\{a - 1, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{N-1}\}$, then

$$m \leq a_n \leq M$$
, for all n . \Box

Note. Not every bounded sequence is convergent. For example, the sequence $a_n := (-1)^n$ is bounded, but the sequence is not convergent. To see this take $\epsilon = 1$.