

Annals of Mathematics

Weak- L^∞ and BMO

Author(s): Colin Bennett, Ronald A. DeVore and Robert Sharpley

Source: *Annals of Mathematics*, Second Series, Vol. 113, No. 3 (May, 1981), pp. 601-611

Published by: [Annals of Mathematics](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2006999>

Accessed: 09/12/2013 22:49

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Annals of Mathematics is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Annals of Mathematics*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

Weak- L^∞ and BMO

By COLIN BENNETT¹⁾, RONALD A. DEVORE²⁾ and ROBERT SHARPLEY¹⁾

Dedicated to Professor George G. Lorentz on the occasion
of his seventieth birthday

1. Introduction

The Marcinkiewicz space weak- L^p properly contains L^p when $0 < p < \infty$ but it coincides with L^∞ when $p = \infty$. Consequently, the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem does not directly apply to operators that are unbounded on L^∞ . The main purpose of this paper is to construct a rearrangement-invariant space W that will play the role of “weak- L^∞ ”, in the sense that it contains L^∞ and possesses the appropriate interpolation properties. The construction, which is motivated by elementary considerations in the Lions-Peetre real interpolation method, is valid for general measure spaces. However, if the underlying measure space is a cube in \mathbf{R}^n , then W has an alternative characterization in terms of the space BMO of functions of bounded mean oscillation.

The space W consists of those measurable functions f for which $f^{**} - f^*$ is bounded (where f^* is the decreasing rearrangement of f and $f^{**}(t) = t^{-1} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds$). Although no explicit use will be made of the fact, it is perhaps of some interest to note that the space W so-defined arises via the real interpolation method from the pair (L^∞, L^1) in exactly the same way that the space weak- L^1 arises from the reversed pair (L^1, L^∞) . This and other properties of W are developed in Section 2. In particular, a Marcinkiewicz-type interpolation theorem is established for W and it is shown that this result gives a direct proof of the L^p -boundedness of the Hilbert transform and related singular integral operators for all values of p with $1 < p < \infty$. With these properties, and the fact that W can be realized as a limit of the familiar spaces weak- L^p as $p \rightarrow \infty$, the space W may justifiably be referred to as weak- L^∞ .

The relationship between weak- L^∞ and BMO is established in Section 3. A covering argument is used to relate the oscillation of a function f to that

0003-486X/81/0113-3/0601/011 \$ 00.55/1

© 1981 by Princeton University (Mathematics Department)

For copying information, see inside back cover.

¹⁾ The work of these authors was supported in part by NSF Grant No. MCS-7703666.

²⁾ The work of this author was supported in part by NSF Grant No. MCS-7722982.

of its decreasing rearrangement f^* , and thereby to establish the main result that weak- $L^\infty(Q)$, where Q is a cube in \mathbf{R}^n , is precisely the rearrangement-invariant hull of $BMO(Q)$.

In the final section the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is shown to be bounded from W into W and from BMO into BMO .

2. The space weak- L^∞

The Peetre K -functional for the pair (L^1, L^∞) , with respect to an arbitrary σ -finite measure space (X, μ) , can be explicitly identified as follows:

$$K(f, t; L^1, L^\infty) = \int_0^t f^*(s) ds = t f^{**}(t) \quad (t > 0)$$

(cf. [2, p. 184]). The norm in the Marcinkiewicz space weak- L^1 is therefore given in terms of the K -functional by

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{\text{weak-}L^1} \equiv \sup_{t>0} t f^*(t) = \sup_{t>0} t \frac{d}{dt} K(f, t; L^1, L^\infty).$$

If the roles of L^1 and L^∞ are now reversed, then a simple computation, together with the identity $K(f, t; L^\infty, L^1) = tK(f, t^{-1}; L^1, L^\infty)$, shows that the functional corresponding to that on the right of (2.1) is simply $\sup_{t>0} [f^{**}(t) - f^*(t)]$.

Definition 2.1. Let $W = W(X)$ denote the set of μ -measurable functions f on X for which $f^*(t)$ is finite for all $t > 0$ and for which $f^{**}(t) - f^*(t)$ is a bounded function of t . Let

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|_W = \sup_{t>0} [f^{**}(t) - f^*(t)] \quad (f \in W).$$

It is clear that W contains L^∞ , and the containment is proper on the interval $(0, 1)$ (or any nonatomic measure space) since $\log(1/t)$, for example, belongs to $W(0, 1)$ but not to $L^\infty(0, 1)$. This logarithmic rate of growth for f^* at the origin is in fact the maximum attainable for any f in W . This follows at once from the elementary identity

$$(2.3) \quad f^{**}(t) - f^{**}(s) = \int_t^s [f^{**}(u) - f^*(u)] \frac{du}{u} \quad (0 < t \leq s < \infty)$$

by putting $s = 1$ and using (2.2) to estimate the integrand. But such a growth condition does not characterize W , as easy examples show. The fact is that membership in W depends not on the growth of f^* or f^{**} but rather on the growth of the derivative of f^{**} . In fact, a simple computation gives

$$f^{**}(t) - f^*(t) = -t \frac{d}{dt} (f^{**}(t))$$

at each point of differentiability of f^{**} , that is, at each point of continuity of f^* . It should also be pointed out that W is not a linear space: there are in fact nonnegative functions in W whose sum is not in W . There are also functions f in W such that neither f_+ nor f_- belongs to W .

When $1 < p < \infty$, it follows from (2.3) (with $s = \infty$) that the functional

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(t^{1/p} [f^{**}(t) - f^*(t)] \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \quad (0 < q \leq \infty)$$

is finite if and only if f belongs to the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}$. With $q = 1$, this expression converges to $\|f\|_{L^\infty}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Thus L^∞ may be regarded in this way as the limit of the Lorentz spaces $L^{p,1}$. By the same token the space W is the limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ of the Lorentz spaces $L^{p,\infty} = \text{weak-}L^p$. This suggests the following definition.

Recall [10, p. 184] that a sublinear operator T is of *weak type* $(1, 1)$ if it is a bounded map from L^1 into $\text{weak-}L^1$:

$$(2.4) \quad \sup_{t>0} t(Tf)^*(t) \leq c \int_0^\infty f^*(t) dt \quad (f \in L^1).$$

By analogy, T will be said to be of *weak type* (∞, ∞) if it is a bounded map from L^∞ into W :

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_{t>0} [(Tf)^{**}(t) - (Tf)^*(t)] \leq c \sup_{t>0} f^*(t) \quad (f \in L^\infty).$$

Our interpolation theorem will merely require that (2.4) and (2.5) hold for characteristic functions. Hence, in accordance with the Stein-Weiss terminology [10, p. 197], a sublinear operator T will be of *restricted weak type* $(1, 1)$ (respectively, *restricted weak type* (∞, ∞)) if its domain contains all simple functions and if (2.4) (respectively, (2.5)) holds for all characteristic functions $f = \chi_E$ of sets E of finite measure. The following interpolation theorem is best formulated in terms of the Calderón maximal operator S [3, p. 288]:

$$(Sf)(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(u) du + \int_t^\infty f(u) \frac{du}{u} \quad (t > 0).$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Let T be a sublinear operator of restricted weak types $(1, 1)$ and (∞, ∞) . Then, for all simple functions f ,*

$$(2.6) \quad (Tf)^{**}(t) \leq cS(f^{**})(t) \quad (t > 0)$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^p} \leq c_p \|f\|_{L^p} \quad (1 < p < \infty),$$

where c depends only on T , and c_p only on p and T . In particular, if T is linear, then T has a unique extension to a bounded linear operator on L^p ($1 < p < \infty$).

Proof. Let E be any μ -measurable subset of X with $0 < s = \mu(E) < \infty$. Let χ denote the characteristic function of E and let $g = T\chi$. Then the hypotheses on T (cf. (2.4) and (2.5)) give

$$(2.8) \quad tg^*(t) \leq cs \quad (t > 0)$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad g^{**}(t) - g^*(t) \leq c \quad (t > 0),$$

where c is a constant depending only on T . These estimates may be combined to give

$$(2.10) \quad g^*(t) \leq 2c \left\{ \left(\frac{s}{t} \wedge 1 \right) + \log^+ \left(\frac{s}{t} \right) \right\} \quad (t > 0).$$

This follows at once from (2.8) if $t \geq s$. In the remaining case where $0 < t < s$, the estimate (2.9) may be used to estimate the integrand in (2.3) (applied to g) to give $g^{**}(t) \leq g^{**}(s) + c \log(s/t)$, and this yields (2.10) since successive applications of (2.9) and (2.8) show that $g^{**}(s) \leq g^*(s) + c \leq 2c$.

The right-hand side of (2.10) is precisely $2cS(\chi^*)(t)$, where S is the Calderón operator. Hence (2.10) may be written in the form

$$(T\chi)^*(t) \leq 2cS(\chi^*)(t) \quad (t > 0).$$

An integration of both sides and some further computation now yield the more desirable form

$$(2.11) \quad (T\chi)^{**}(t) \leq 2cS(\chi^{**})(t) \quad (t > 0),$$

the point being that the operation $f \rightarrow f^{**}$ is subadditive whereas $f \rightarrow f^*$ is not. This, together with the sublinearity of T , enables us, with standard arguments (cf. [3, pp. 286–287]), to pass from the estimate (2.11) for characteristic functions to the desired estimate (2.6) for all simple functions. The remaining assertions are routine consequences of this one.

The Hilbert transform H may be interpolated directly by the previous theorem. All that is needed is the Stein-Weiss estimate [10, p. 240]

$$(H\chi_E)^*(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sinh^{-1} \left(\frac{2|E|}{t} \right) \quad (t > 0),$$

valid for any subset E of $(-\infty, \infty)$ with finite measure $|E|$. It follows at once from this identity that H is of restricted weak types $(1, 1)$ and (∞, ∞) , and hence that H may be interpolated by Theorem 2.2. The interpolation theorem applies also to the maximal Hilbert transform and, more generally, to the maximal operators associated with arbitrary Calderón-Zygmund singular integrals (cf. [9, p. 35]).

It is worth pointing out that Herz [5] has an interpolation theorem

which is somewhat loosely related to ours. The functional $f^{**} - f^*$ is implicit in the proof and it plays a prominent role in some of Herz' applications to martingales. Our interpolation theorem may also be compared with a result of N. M. Rivière [6], to the effect that if T is of weak type $(1, 1)$ and maps L^∞ into BMO, then T is bounded on every L^p with $1 < p < \infty$. In view of Theorem 3.1 of the next section, this result is contained in ours, at least when the underlying measure space is a cube in \mathbf{R}^n .

3. Weak- L^∞ and BMO

In this section the underlying measure space will be a fixed cube Q (with sides parallel to the coordinate axes) in \mathbf{R}^n with Lebesgue measure. For each integrable function f on Q , the *sharp function* of f relative to Q is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad f_Q^\sharp(x) = \sup_{Q \supset Q' \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q'|} \int_{Q'} |f(y) - f_{Q'}| dy \quad (x \in Q),$$

where $f_{Q'} = 1/|Q'| \int_{Q'} f(y) dy$ and the supremum is taken over all cubes Q' that contain x and are contained in Q . If f_Q^\sharp is a bounded function of x , then f is said to belong to $\text{BMO}(Q)$. The norm is given by

$$(3.2) \quad \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(Q)} = \sup_{x \in Q} f_Q^\sharp(x).$$

It is well-known that BMO can serve as a useful substitute for L^∞ (cf. [4], [6], [7], [8], [11]). The next theorem shows that BMO for a cube Q is intimately connected with $W(Q)$.

THEOREM 3.1. (a) *If f belongs to $L^1(Q)$, then*

$$(3.3) \quad f^{**}(t) - f^*(t) \leq c(f_Q^\sharp)^*(t) \quad \left(0 < t < \frac{1}{6}|Q|\right),$$

where c is a constant depending only on n .

(b) *The space $W(Q)$ is the rearrangement-invariant hull of $\text{BMO}(Q)$ in the sense that an integrable function f belongs to $W(Q)$ if and only if f is equimeasurable with some function g in $\text{BMO}(Q)$.*

The following covering lemma, which is a variant of Lemma 1.1 in [1], will be needed. The proof is similar so we omit it.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let \mathcal{O} be a relatively open subset of Q such that $|\mathcal{O}| < (1/2)|Q|$. Then there is a family of cubes Q_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) with pairwise disjoint interiors such that*

- (i) $|\mathcal{O} \cap Q_j| \leq 2^{-1}|Q_j| < |\mathcal{O}^c \cap Q_j|$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$);
- (ii) $\mathcal{O} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} Q_j \subset Q$;
- (iii) $|\mathcal{O}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |Q_j| \leq 2^{n+1}|\mathcal{O}|$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since $|f|_q^\# \leq 2f_q^\#$, it is enough to establish (3.3) for nonnegative f . In that case, fix t with $0 < t < (1/6)|Q|$ and let

$$E = \{x \in Q: f(x) > f^*(t)\}, \quad F = \{x \in Q: f_q^\#(x) > (f_q^\#)^*(t)\}.$$

Then $|E \cup F| \leq 2t$ so there is a relatively open subset \mathcal{O} of Q with $|\mathcal{O}| \leq 3t$ and $E \cup F \subset \mathcal{O} \subset Q$. In particular $|\mathcal{O}| \leq (1/2)|Q|$ so by Lemma 3.2 there is a covering $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of \mathcal{O} satisfying conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) above. Now

$$\begin{aligned} t\{f^{**}(t) - f^*(t)\} &= \int_E \{f(x) - f^*(t)\}dx = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{E \cap Q_j} \{f(x) - f^*(t)\}dx \\ &\leq \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |f(x) - f_{Q_j}| dx + \sum_j |E \cap Q_j| \{f_{Q_j} - f^*(t)\} \\ &= A + B, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

If Σ' denotes the sum over those indices j for which $f_{Q_j} > f^*(t)$, then

$$B \leq \Sigma' |E \cap Q_j| \{f_{Q_j} - f^*(t)\} \leq \Sigma' |\mathcal{O} \cap Q_j| \{f_{Q_j} - f^*(t)\}.$$

Hence, by (i),

$$B \leq \Sigma' \int_{\mathcal{O} \cap Q_j} \{f_{Q_j} - f^*(t)\} dx \leq \Sigma' \int_{Q_j} |f_{Q_j} - f(x)| dx \leq A,$$

where the middle inequality holds because $f(u) \leq f^*(t)$ on \mathcal{O}^c . This, together with the preceding estimate, gives

$$(3.4) \quad t\{f^{**}(t) - f^*(t)\} \leq 2A.$$

Now observe from (i) that each Q_j meets F^c in at least one point, say x_j . Then $f_q^\#(x_j) \leq (f_q^\#)^*(t)$ because of the way F is defined, and so

$$A = \sum_j |Q_j| \left\{ \frac{1}{|Q_j|} \int_{Q_j} |f(x) - f_{Q_j}| dx \right\} \leq \sum_j |Q_j| f_q^\#(x_j) \leq \sum_j |Q_j| (f_q^\#)^*(t).$$

Hence, by (iii),

$$A \leq 2^{n+1} |\mathcal{O}| (f_q^\#)^*(t) \leq 2^{n+1} (3t) (f_q^\#)^*(t),$$

and this together with (3.4) establishes (3.3).

For part (b), note first that if $t \geq (1/6)|Q|$, then

$$f^{**}(t) - f^*(t) \leq f^{**}\left(\frac{1}{6}|Q|\right) \leq 6f^{**}(|Q|) = \frac{6}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)| dx.$$

The inequality (3.3) may be used to estimate $f^{**} - f^*$ in the case $t < (1/6)|Q|$, so together these estimates give

$$(3.5) \quad \|f\|_{W(Q)} \leq c \left(\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(Q)} + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)| dx \right).$$

This shows that $\text{BMO}(Q)$ is contained in $W(Q)$ and hence, since $W(Q)$ is rearrangement-invariant, that every function f equimeasurable to a

BMO(Q)-function g must lie in $W(Q)$.

It will suffice to prove the converse for the unit cube $Q = I^n$ (where $I = [0, 1]$) since a linear change of variables reduces the general case to this one. But then if $f \in W(I^n)$, the function

$$g(x) = f^*(x_1) \quad (x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n)$$

is equimeasurable with f , and for any subcube $R = \prod_{i=1}^n [r_i, r_i + \alpha]$ of I^n ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |g(x) - f^*(r_1 + \alpha)| dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{r_1}^{r_1 + \alpha} [f^*(t) - f^*(r_1 + \alpha)] dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r_1 + \alpha} \int_0^{r_1 + \alpha} [f^*(t) - f^*(r_1 + \alpha)] dt \\ &= f^{**}(r_1 + \alpha) - f^*(r_1 + \alpha) \leq \|f\|_{W(Q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence g belongs to BMO(Q) and the proof is complete.

The preceding theorem fails when Q is replaced by all of \mathbf{R}^n since BMO(\mathbf{R}^n) contains functions (such as $\log|x|$) which are unbounded at infinity and hence have decreasing rearrangements which are identically infinite. However, the theorem does contain "local" information pertinent to BMO(\mathbf{R}^n). For example, when f is in BMO(\mathbf{R}^n), the inequality (3.3) may be applied to the function $(f - f_Q)\chi_Q$. An integration of both sides produces the basic inequality (4.23) of [1] from which the John-Nirenberg lemma follows easily.

4. Maximal operators

As in the previous section let Q be a fixed cube in \mathbf{R}^n . The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M_Q f$ of an integrable function f on Q is given by

$$(M_Q f)(x) = \sup \frac{1}{|Q'|} \int_{Q'} |f(y)| dy \quad (x \in Q),$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q' contained in Q and containing x . When Q is replaced by all of \mathbf{R}^n , the corresponding operator, defined for all locally integrable f on \mathbf{R}^n , will be denoted simply by M . The next result shows that such maximal operators are bounded on W .

THEOREM 4.1. (a) *If f belongs to $W(Q)$, then so does $M_Q f$ and*

$$(4.1) \quad \|M_Q f\|_{W(Q)} \leq c \|f\|_{W(Q)},$$

where c depends only on the dimension n .

(b) *The same result holds if Q is replaced by \mathbf{R}^n and M_Q by M .*

Proof. (a) We may assume that f is nonnegative. Fix $t < |Q|$ and let

$$b = \max(f - f^*(t), 0), \quad g = \min(f, f^*(t)),$$

so $f = b + g$. The weak $(1, 1)$ and strong (∞, ∞) properties of M_Q give

$$\begin{aligned} (M_Q f)^*(t) &\leq (M_Q b)^*(t-) + (M_Q g)^*(0+) \leq ct^{-1} \|b\|_{L^1} + \|g\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq ct^{-1} \int_0^t [f^*(s) - f^*(t)] ds + f^*(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(M_Q f)^*(t)$ is finite and

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \leq (M_Q f)^*(t) - f^*(t) \leq c \{f^{**}(t) - f^*(t)\} \quad (t > 0).$$

Now write

$$(M_Q f)^{**} - (M_Q f)^* = [(M_Q f)^{**} - f^{**}] + [f^{**} - f^*] + [f^* - (M_Q f)^*]$$

and

$$(M_Q f)^{**}(t) - f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t [(M_Q f)^*(s) - f^*(s)] ds.$$

Then an application of (4.2) yields

$$(M_Q f)^{**}(t) - (M_Q f)^*(t) \leq c \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \{f^{**}(s) - f^*(s)\},$$

from which (4.1) follows. Exactly the same proof establishes part (b).

Next we show that M_Q is a bounded operator on $BMO(Q)$. Essentially the same result holds for \mathbf{R}^n except that functions f for which Mf is identically infinite must be ruled out ($f(x) = \log|x|$ is an example).

THEOREM 4.2. (a) *If f belongs to $BMO(Q)$, then so does $M_Q f$ and*

$$(4.3) \quad \|M_Q f\|_{BMO(Q)} \leq c \|f\|_{BMO(Q)},$$

where c depends only on the dimension n .

(b) *If f belongs to $BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and if Mf is not identically infinite, then Mf belongs to $BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and*

$$(4.4) \quad \|Mf\|_{BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{BMO(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

where c depends only on n .

Proof. (a) We may assume that f is nonnegative. Writing F for the maximal function $M_Q f$ of f , we thus need to show

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |F(x) - F_R| dx \leq c \|f\|_{BMO(Q)}$$

for arbitrary subcubes R of Q .

Fix R and let $3R$ denote the cube that is concentric with R and has three times the diameter. Let \tilde{R} be the smallest subcube of Q containing

$(3R) \cap Q$, and for each x in R let

$$F_1(x) = \sup\{f_{\bar{R}} : \bar{R} \subset \tilde{R} \text{ and } x \in \bar{R}\},$$

$$F_2(x) = \sup\{f_{\bar{R}} : \bar{R} \subset Q, x \in \bar{R}, \text{ and } \bar{R} \cap (Q \setminus \tilde{R}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Clearly $F = \max\{F_1, F_2\}$ on R so if

$$\Omega = \{x \in R : F(x) > F_R\}, \Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega : F_1(x) \geq F_2(x)\} \text{ and } \Omega_2 = \Omega \setminus \Omega_1,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |F(x) - F_R| dx = \frac{2}{|R|} \int_\Omega [F(x) - F_R] dx = \frac{2}{|R|} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\Omega_i} [F_i(x) - F_R] dx.$$

Hence (4.5) will be established if we show that

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{\Omega_i} [F_i(x) - F_R] dx \leq c |R| \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(Q)} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Consider first the case $i = 1$. Since $f_{\tilde{R}} \leq F(x)$ for all x in R , then certainly $f_{\tilde{R}} \leq F_R$ so we may construct the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition [9, p. 17] for f and \tilde{R} with respect to the constant F_R . If the resulting sequence of pairwise disjoint cubes is denoted by $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, and if \bar{R}_k denotes the ‘‘parent’’ cube of R_k , then the following properties hold:

- (i) $\bigcup_k R_k \subset \tilde{R}$;
- (ii) $f_{\bar{R}_k} \leq F_R < f_{R_k}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$);
- (iii) $|\bar{R}_k| = 2^n |R_k|$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$);
- (iv) $f \leq F_R$ almost everywhere on $E = \tilde{R} \setminus (\bigcup_k R_k)$.

Define functions b and g on Q by

$$b = \sum_k (f - f_{\bar{R}_k}) \chi_{R_k}, \quad g = \sum_k f_{\bar{R}_k} \chi_{R_k} + f \chi_E$$

so $f \chi_{\tilde{R}} = b + g$. It follows from (ii) and (iv) that

$$(4.7) \quad \|g\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq F_R,$$

while on the other hand the John-Nirenberg lemma and (i) and (iii) give

$$(4.8) \quad \|b\|_{L^2(Q)} = \left\{ \sum_k \int_{R_k} |f - f_{\bar{R}_k}|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \leq \left\{ \sum_k |\bar{R}_k| \frac{1}{|\bar{R}_k|} \int_{\bar{R}_k} |f - f_{\bar{R}_k}|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$\leq c \left(\sum_k 2^n |R_k| \right)^{1/2} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(Q)} \leq c |R|^{1/2} \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(Q)}.$$

Now it follows from the definition of F_1 that

$$F_1 \leq M_Q(f \chi_{\tilde{R}}) = M_Q(b + g) \leq M_Q b + M_Q g,$$

so applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_1} F_1(x) dx \leq |\Omega_1|^{1/2} \|M_Q b\|_{L^2(Q)} + |\Omega_1| \|M_Q g\|_{L^\infty(Q)}$$

$$\leq c |R|^{1/2} \|b\|_{L^2(Q)} + |\Omega_1| \|g\|_{L^\infty(Q)}.$$

Combining this with (4.7) and (4.8), and subtracting $|\Omega_1| F_R$ from each side,

we obtain (4.6) for $i = 1$.

The remaining case $i = 2$ will follow directly from the inequality

$$(4.9) \quad F_2(x) - F_R \leq c \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(Q)} \quad (x \in \Omega_2)$$

which we now prove. Fix x in Ω_2 and let P be any subcube of Q that contains x and has nonempty intersection with $Q \setminus \tilde{R}$. Clearly $|P| \geq |R|$. Let P' be the smallest subcube of Q containing both P and R . Then $|P'| \leq 2^n |P|$. Arguing as before, we note that $f_{P'} \leq F_R$. Hence

$$f_P - F_R \leq f_P - f_{P'} \leq \frac{1}{|P|} \int_P |f(y) - f_{P'}| dy \leq 2^n \|f\|_{\text{BMO}(Q)},$$

so taking the supremum over all such cubes P we obtain (4.9). This establishes part (a).

The maximal function F in the preceding proof is necessarily integrable over every cube R (contained in Q) but this need not be the case when we extend to \mathbf{R}^n . However, if f belongs to $\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and R is any cube in \mathbf{R}^n , we can split the maximal function $F = Mf$ into the two parts analogous to F_1 and F_2 in the proof above and estimate these separately. The function F_1 is essentially a maximal function relative to a fixed cube and so may be estimated in terms of the BMO-norm of f exactly as in the proof above. The function F_2 on the other hand is a supremum of averages of f over "large" cubes which, by means of a fixed dilation, may be taken to contain R . But then each of these averages is bounded above by the maximal function Mf evaluated at any point of R , so F_2 is bounded by $\inf_R Mf$. Hence we arrive at the following estimate

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R (Mf)(x) dx \leq c (\|f\|_{\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)} + \inf_{x \in R} (Mf)(x)).$$

Since R is arbitrary, it follows that the maximal function $F = Mf$ of a function f in $\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is either identically infinite or else it is locally integrable (hence finite a.e. on \mathbf{R}^n). In the latter case, having established that the mean F_R is finite, we may proceed exactly as in the proof of part (a) to show that F is in $\text{BMO}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We omit the details.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA

REFERENCES

[1] C. BENNETT and R. SHARPLEY, Weak-type inequalities for H^p and BMO, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **35** (I) (1979), 201-229.
 [2] P. L. BUTZER and H. BERENS, *Semi-Groups of Operators and Approximation*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1967.
 [3] A. P. CALDERÓN, Spaces between L^1 and L^∞ and the theorem of Marcinkiewicz, Studia Math. **26** (1966), 273-299.

- [4] C. FEFFERMAN and E. M. STEIN, H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* **129** (1972), 137-193.
- [5] C. HERZ, A best-possible Marcinkiewicz theorem with applications to martingales, preprint.
- [6] N. M. RIVIÉRE, Interpolacion a la Marcinkiewicz, *Revista Unión Mat. Argentina* **25** (1971), 363-377.
- [7] S. SPANNE, Sur l'interpolation entre les espaces $\mathcal{S}_k^{p\Phi}$, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **20** (1966), 625-648.
- [8] E. M. STEIN, Singular integrals, harmonic functions and differentiability properties of functions of several variables, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **10** (1967), 316-335.
- [9] ———, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [10] E. M. STEIN and G. WEISS, *Introduction to Harmonic Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [11] E. M. STEIN and A. ZYGMUND, Boundedness of translation-invariant operators on Hölder and L^p spaces, *Ann. of Math.* **85** (1967), 337-349.

(Received June 12, 1980)