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## The Parachute Problem

A parachutist whose mass is 75 kg drops from a helicopter hovering 4000 m above the ground and falls toward the earth under the influence of gravity. Assume the gravitational force is constant and that the force due to air resistance is proportional to the velocity of the parachutist, with a proportionality constant $k_{1}=15 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{sec}$ when the chute is closed and with a constant $k_{2}=105 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{sec}$ when the chute is open. If the chute does not open until 1 min after the parachutist leaves the helicopter, after how many seconds will she hit the ground?

Source: Nagle and Saff (4th ed., p. 112)

## Mathematical Formulation

- 2nd-order ODE

$$
\begin{aligned}
m x^{\prime \prime} & =-m g-k x^{\prime} \\
x(0) & =x_{0} \\
x^{\prime}(0) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

- 1st-order system

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime} & =v, & x(0)=x_{0} \\
v^{\prime} & =-g-\frac{k}{m} v, & v(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- $k$-coefficient of air resistance

$$
k(t)= \begin{cases}k_{1}, & t<t_{d} \\ k_{2}, & t \geq t_{d}\end{cases}
$$

- $t_{d}$ - time of (instantaneous) deployment


## Explicit Solution

```
> SYS := { diff( x (t), t ) = v(t),
> diff( v(t), t ) = -g - k/m*v(t) };
> IC := { x (0)=x0, v(0)=0 };
> K[pw_const] := k1+(k2-k1)*Heaviside(t-td):
> SOL1 := dsolve( eval(SYS,k=k1) union IC, { x(t), v(t) } ):
> X1 := eval( x(t), SOL1 );
> V1 := eval( v(t), SOL1 );
> IC2 := { x(td) = eval( X1, t=td ),
> v(td) = eval( V1, t=td ) };
> SOL2 := dsolve( eval(SYS,k=k2) union IC2, { x(t), v(t) } ):
> X2 := eval( x(t), SOL2 );
> V2 := eval( v(t), SOL2 );
> X := X1 + (X2-X1)*Heaviside(t-td):
> V := V1 + (V2-V1)*Heaviside(t-td):
```

$v(t)= \begin{cases}-\frac{m g}{k_{1}}\left(1-e^{-\frac{t k_{1}}{m}}\right), & t<t_{d} \\ -\frac{m g}{k_{2}}+\frac{m g}{k_{1} k_{2}}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\left(1-e^{-\frac{t_{d} k_{1}}{m}}\right)\right) e^{-\frac{\left(t-t_{d}\right) k_{2}}{m}}, & t \geq t_{d}\end{cases}$

## Graphical Solution

> Parameters (Nagle/Saff):
> - $k_{1}=15 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}, k_{2}=105 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s}, m=75 \mathrm{~kg}$
> - $x_{0}=4000 \mathrm{~m}, t_{d}=60 \mathrm{~s}, g=9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$


## Interpretation of Solution

- terminal velocities:
- free-fall: $v_{\mathrm{ff}} \approx 49 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
- final descent: $v_{\text {impact }} \approx 7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
- position:
- deployment at 1300 m
- land after 241 s
- acceleration:
- jump discontinuity at $t_{d}$
- magnitude: 6 G
(all values approximate)


## Real-Life Expectations

USAF Academy Parachute Team Training Jumps

- $x_{0}=1219 \mathrm{~m}, t_{d}=10 \mathrm{~s}, v_{\mathrm{ff}}=53.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
- $v_{\text {impact }} \in(4.6,5.2) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$
- parachute requires 3.2 s to fully deploy
- "snatch force" is a heavy, but smooth, tug; < $500 \mathrm{lbs} \approx 3 \mathrm{G}$ )


## An Improved Model

$$
\begin{array}{llrl}
x^{\prime} & =v, & x(0) & =x_{0} \\
v^{\prime} & =-g-\frac{k}{m} v, & v(0)=0
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
k(t)= \begin{cases}k_{1}, & t<t_{d} \\ k_{d}, & t_{d} \leq t<t_{d}+\tau \\ k_{2}, & t \geq t_{d}+\tau\end{cases}
$$

with parameter values

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
k_{1}=13.63 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s} & k_{2}=160 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{s} & t_{d}=10 \mathrm{~s} & \tau=3.2 \mathrm{~s} \\
x_{0}=1219.2 \mathrm{~m} & m=75 \mathrm{~kg} & g=9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2} &
\end{array}
$$

## Graphical Analysis of Solution

- $k \in C^{0} ; k_{d}$ linear interpolant
- $a \in C^{0}$



## Graphical Analysis of Solution

- $k \in C^{1} ; k_{d}$ smooth cubic interpolant
- $a \in C^{1}$



## Graphical Analysis of Solution - A Closer Look



## Interpretation of Solution

- terminal velocities:
- free-fall: $v_{\mathrm{ff}} \approx 53.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
- final descent: $v_{\text {impact }} \approx 4.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}=15.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$
- position:
- deployment at 928 m
- land after 196 s
- acceleration and jerk:
- no discontinuities
- maximum acceleration: $\approx 2.2 \mathrm{G}$
- maximum jerk: $\approx 2.4 \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{s}$
(all values approximate)


## Related Questions

- How does motion depend on deployment criterion?
- choose $t_{d}$ such that $v\left(t_{d}\right)=v^{*}$
- choose $t_{d}$ such that $x\left(t_{d}\right)=x^{*}$
- choose $t_{d}$ to minimize $t_{\text {impact }}$ subject to $v\left(t_{\text {impact }}\right) \leq v^{*}$
- What limitations should be imposed to minimize safety risks?
- consider parameters for reserve chute
- maximum free fall which leaves enough time to safely activate reserve


## General Remarks on Modelling

- good problems are everywhere, you just have to look
- be skeptical of what you read in textbooks
- understand the problem
- physically
- mathematically
- seek expert advice
- experiment
- keep problems realistic
- and interesting!
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