MATH 702 – SPRING 2024 HOMEWORK 1 DUE MONDAY, JANUARY 29, 2020 BY THE BEGINNING OF CLASS.

1. Prove that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ is not a UFD.

Here is the plan.

- (a) Find all units in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.
- (b) Observe that $3 \cdot 3 = 9 = (2 + \sqrt{-5}) \cdot (2 \sqrt{-5})$.
- (c) Observe that 3, $2 + \sqrt{-5}$, and $2 \sqrt{-5}$ all are irreducible elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$, but $3 \neq u(2 + \sqrt{-5})$ for any unit *u* of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.

Let $|a + bi| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\theta = \sqrt{-5}$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$.

(a) We first prove that ± 1 are the only units in *R*. Indeed, if $u = a + b\theta$ and *v* are units in *R* with uv = 1, then $|u|^2 |v|^2 = u\bar{u}v\bar{v} = 1$; hence $(a^2 + 5b^2)|v|^2 = 1$ in \mathbb{Z} . The only units of \mathbb{Z} are ± 1 , thus, $(a^2 + 5b^2) = \pm 1$ so b = 0 and $a = \pm 1$. and

- (b) There is nothing for us to do.
- (c) It is clear that $3 \neq u(2 + \sqrt{-5})$ for any unit u (i.e., $u = \pm 1$) of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$. We show that 3,

 $2 + \theta$ and $2 - \theta$ are all irreducible in R. Suppose that any one of these numbers factors as

$$# = (a + b\theta)(c + d\theta)$$

in R. Multiply by the conjugate equation to get

$$9 = (a^2 + 5b^2)(c^2 + 5d^2)$$

in \mathbb{Z} . The positive factors of 9 in \mathbb{Z} are 1, 3, 9. The factor $(a^2 + 5b^2)$ can not be 3. (If $b \neq 0$, then the factor is greater than 3. If b = 0, then 3 is not a perfect square in \mathbb{Z} .) So, $(a^2 + 5b^2)$ must be 1 or 9. So at least one of the factors $(a^2 + 5b^2)$ or $(c^2 + 5d^2)$ of 9 is 1. Thus, one of the factors $(a + b\theta)$ or $(c + d\theta)$ of # must be a unit in *R*.

2. Express the ideal (2) in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ as the product of prime ideals. (If *I* and *J* are ideals of the (commutative) ring *R*, then *IJ* is the smallest ideal of *R* that contains all elements of the form ij with $i \in I$ and $j \in J$.)

I claim that (2) = $(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(2, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$ and that each of the ideals $(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$ and $(2, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$ is a proper prime ideal of $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$. It is clear that $(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(2, 1 - \sqrt{-5}) \subseteq (2)$. Indeed,

 $2(2) = 4 \in (2), \quad 2(1 - \sqrt{-5}) \in (2), \quad 2(1 + \sqrt{-5}) \in (2), \text{ and } (1 - \sqrt{-5})(1 + \sqrt{-5}) = 6 \in (2).$ It is also clear that $(2) \leq (2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(2, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$. Indeed, we just calculated that 6 and 4 are in the ideal $(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(2, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$. It follows that 6 - 4 is in $(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})(2, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$.

ALGEBRA II

If $(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$ is a proper ideal *R*, then it is clear that $(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$ is a maximal ideal of *R* (hence a prime ideal of *R*). Indeed, the only elements of $R/(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$ are $\overline{0}$ and $\overline{1}$. (In particular, say, $\sqrt{-5} = \overline{-1} = \overline{1}$.) It follows that there aren't any ideals of *R* with

$$(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5}) \subsetneq \text{ideal} \subsetneq R.$$

A small calculation shows that $(2, 1+\sqrt{-5})$ is a proper ideal of *R*. Indeed, if $1 \in (2, 1+\sqrt{-5})$, then there are integers *a*, *b*, *c*, *d* with

(0.0.1)
$$1 = 2(a + b\sqrt{-5}) + (c + d\sqrt{-5})(1 + \sqrt{-5}).$$
$$1 = 2a + c - 5d + \sqrt{-5}(2b + c + d).$$

Equate the real and imaginary parts of the preceeding equation to obtain the inequlaities:

$$1 = 2a + c - 5d$$
 and $0 = 2b + c + d$.

Thus

$$1 = 2a + (c + d) - 6d$$
 and $-2b = c + d$.

Thus

$$1 = 2a - 2b - 6d.$$

The integer 1 is not an even integer; thus, the equation (0.0.1) has no solution and $(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$ is a proper prime ideal of *R*.

One can repeat the argument to prove that $(2, 1 - \sqrt{-5})$ is a proper prime ideal of R. Or a better idea is to prove that complex conjugation is an automorphism of R; then use the fact that an automorphism carries a prime ideal of R to a prime ideal of R.

This answer mainly came from Keith Conrad's notes:

https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/gradnumthy/idealfactor.pdf

3. Find a commutative domain *R* and an element *r* in *R* with *r* not 0, *r* not a unit, and *r* not equal to a finite product of irreducible elements of *R*.

Consider the ascending chain of rings

$$\mathbb{Z}[x] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[2]{x}] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[4]{x}] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[8]{x}] \subseteq \cdots$$

Each of these rings is a polynomial ring in one variable over a PID; hence a UFD. Let

$$R = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[2^n]{x}].$$

Observe that the only units of R are 1 and -1. Observe that if $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[2^n]{x}]$, for some n, and f is irreducible in R, then f is also irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[2^n]{x}]$. (The easiest way to see this is: any factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[2^n]{x}]$ remains a factorization in R and elements of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[2^n]{x}]$ which are not units in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[2^n]{x}]$ remain non-units in R.)

Observe that x is not zero in R, x is not a unit in R, and x can not be factored into a finite product of irreducible elements. Indeed, if x factored into a finite product of irreducible elements in R, then all of these irreducible factors would live in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[2^n]{x}]$, for some n. The ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[2^n]{x}]$

ALGEBRA II

is a UFD. The only factorization of x into irreducibles in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[2^n]{x}]$ is $x = (\sqrt[2^n]{x})^{2^n}$. This is a contradiction because $\sqrt[2^n]{x}$ is not irreducible in *R*.

4. Prove that $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a Euclidean domain. (Let *R* be a domain. Suppose that there is a function *f* from $R \setminus \{0\}$ to the set of non-negative integers with the property that whenever *a* and *b* are elements of *R* with *b* not zero, then there exists *q* and *r* in *R* such that a = bq + r and either r = 0 or f(r) < f(b). In this case *R* is called a Euclidean Domain.)

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$. This answer mainly came from

https://www.cmi.ac.in/~shreejit/Gaussian.pdf

Let $f(\ell + m\tilde{\ell}) = \ell^2 + m^2$ for ℓ and m in \mathbb{Z} , not both zero. Observe that $f(r_1r_2) = f(r_1)f(r_2)$ for r_1 and r_2 in $R \setminus \{0\}$.

First, we treat the case where $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a = \ell + mi$, with ℓ and m in \mathbb{Z} and $b \neq 0$. We find q_1, q_2, r_1 , and r_2 in \mathbb{Z} with $\ell = bq_1 + r_1$, $m = bq_2 + r_2$, and $-b/2 \le r_1, r_2 \le b/2$. Observe that

$$a = \ell + m\tilde{i} = b(q_1 + q_2\tilde{i}) + (r_1 + r_2\tilde{i}),$$

and either $r_1 + r_2 i = 0$ or

$$f(r_1 + r_2i) = r_1^2 + r_2^2 \le b^2/4 + b^2/4 < b^2 = f(b)$$

Now we treat the general case, $a, b \in R$ with $b \neq 0$. Apply the first case to the pair of elements $a\bar{b}$ and $b\bar{b}$ (where $\bar{}$ means complex conjugate). Of course, $b\bar{b}$ is positive integer. Find q and r in R with

$$ab = qbb + r$$
 and either $r = 0$ or $f(r) < f(bb)$

If r = 0, then $a\bar{b} = qb\bar{b}$ and a = qb (because $b \neq 0$) and this is fine. Henceforth, assume $r \neq 0$. Observe that

$$(a - qb)\overline{b} = r$$

and

$$f(a-qb)f(\bar{b}) = f\left((a-qb)\bar{b}\right) = f(r) < f(b\bar{b}) = f(b)f(\bar{b}).$$

Thus,

$$f(a - qb) < f(b).$$

Hence,

$$a = qb + (a - qb)$$
 and $f(a - qb) < f(b)$.

We have shown that *R* is a Euclidean domain.