

**Math 547, Exam 1, Spring, 2005**

The exam is worth 50 points.

Write your answers as legibly as you can on the blank sheets of paper provided. Use only **one side** of each sheet. Take enough space for each problem. Turn in your solutions in the order: problem 1, problem 2, . . . ; although, by using enough paper, you can do the problems in any order that suits you.

I will e-mail your grade to you.

I will post the solutions on my website later today.

**1. (7 points) Let**

$$S = \{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \text{either } a=0, \text{ or } a \text{ and } b \text{ are relatively prime integers and } 3 \text{ does not divide } b \}.$$

**Is  $S$  a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ? Explain.**

YES. The set  $S$  contains 0 and 1. If  $\frac{a}{b}$  is in  $S$ , then  $-\frac{a}{b}$  is also in  $S$ . The set  $S$  is closed under both addition and multiplication. If  $\frac{a}{b}$  and  $\frac{c}{d}$  are in  $S$ , then the denominator of  $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}$  is a factor of  $bd$ . We had  $b$  and  $d$  were each relatively prime to 3. We conclude that every factor of  $bd$  is also relatively prime to 3. Of course the product  $\frac{a}{b} \frac{c}{d}$  is in  $S$  for the same reason.

**2. (7 points) Let**

$$S = \{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid \text{either } a=0, \text{ or } a \text{ and } b \text{ are relatively prime integers and } 9 \text{ does not divide } b \}.$$

**Is  $S$  a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ? Explain.**

NO. The set  $S$  is not closed under multiplication since  $\frac{1}{3} \in S$ ; but  $\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{9} \notin S$ .

**3. (7 points) Let  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be the function which is given by  $\phi(f(x)) = (f(x))^2$ . Is  $\phi$  a ring homomorphism? Explain.**

NO. We see that  $\phi(1+1) = \phi(2) = 2^2 = 4$ . But,  $\phi(1) + \phi(1) = 1^2 + 1^2 = 1 + 1 = 2$ .

**4. (7 points) Let  $\phi: \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(2)}[x] \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(2)}[x]$  be the function which is given by  $\phi(f(x)) = (f(x))^2$ . Is  $\phi$  a ring homomorphism? Explain.**

YES. The ring  $R = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(2)}[x]$  has characteristic two. It follows that

$$\phi(r_1 + r_2) = (r_1 + r_2)^2 = r_1^2 + 2r_1r_2 + r_2^2 = r_1^2 + r_2^2 = \phi(r_1) + \phi(r_2)$$

for all  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  in  $R$ . It is also clear that  $\phi(1) = 1^2 = 1$  and

$$\phi(r_1r_2) = (r_1r_2)^2 = r_1^2r_2^2 = \phi(r_1)\phi(r_2).$$

5. **(7 points)** Let  $\phi: \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the function which is given by  $\phi(f(x)) = f(\sqrt{2})$ . All of us know that this function is a ring homomorphism; you do not have to show me a proof. What is the kernel of  $\phi$ ? Prove your answer.

We show that  $\ker \phi = (x^2 - 2)$ .

( $\supseteq$ ). Every element of  $(x^2 - 2)$  has the form  $(x^2 - 2)g(x)$  for some  $g(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . We see that

$$\phi((x^2 - 2)g(x)) = ((\sqrt{2})^2 - 2)g(\sqrt{2}) = 0.$$

Thus,  $(x^2 - 2)g(x)$  is in the kernel of  $\phi$ .

( $\subseteq$ ). Let  $f(x)$  be in  $\ker \phi$ . Divide  $x^2 - 2$  into  $f(x)$  to get  $f(x) = (x^2 - 2)g(x) + ax + b$  for some polynomials  $g(x)$  and  $ax + b$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Apply  $\phi$  to both sides to see that  $0 = a\sqrt{2} + b$ . The number  $\sqrt{2}$  is not a rational number; hence,  $a = b = 0$  and  $f(x) \in (x^2 - 2)$ .

6. **(8 points)** Let  $\phi: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism.

(a) Prove that  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

(b) Prove that  $\phi$  is one-to-one if and only if  $\ker \phi = \{0\}$ .

(a) We know that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism; hence,  $\phi(0) = \phi(0+0) = \phi(0) + \phi(0)$ . We know that every element of  $S$  (in particular  $\phi(0)$ ) has an additive inverse in  $S$ . Add the additive inverse of  $\phi(0)$  to both sides to conclude that  $0 = \phi(0)$ .

(b) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $\phi$  is one-to-one, then only one element of  $R$  is sent to the zero element of  $S$ . We saw in part (a) that  $0$  is sent to  $0$ . It follows that  $0$  is the only element of  $R$  which is sent to zero and  $\ker \phi = \{0\}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) We assume  $\ker \phi = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are in  $R$  with  $\phi(r_1) = \phi(r_2)$ . We see that

$$\phi(r_1 - r_2) = \phi(r_1) - \phi(r_2) = 0;$$

thus,  $r_1 - r_2 \in \ker \phi = \{0\}$ , and  $r_1 = r_2$ . We conclude that  $\phi$  is one-to-one.

7. **(7 points)** Let  $M$  be an ideal of the ring  $R$ . Suppose that  $M \neq R$  and that  $R$  is the only ideal of  $R$  which properly contains  $M$ . Prove that  $\frac{R}{M}$  is a field.

We need only show that each non-zero element of  $\frac{R}{M}$  has a multiplicative inverse in  $\frac{R}{M}$ . Pick a non-zero element of  $\frac{R}{M}$ . This element has the form  $\bar{a}$  where  $a$  is an element of  $R$  which is not an element of  $M$ . We must show that the element  $\bar{a}$  of  $\frac{R}{M}$  has an inverse in  $\frac{R}{M}$ .

Let  $(M, a)$  denote the smallest ideal of  $R$  which contains  $M$  and  $a$ . Observe that  $(M, a) = \{m + ra \mid m \in M \text{ and } r \in R\}$ . The hypothesis ensures us that  $(M, a) = R$ . In other words, there exist elements  $m \in M$  and  $r \in R$  with  $1 = m + ra$ . We conclude that  $\bar{r}$  is the inverse of  $\bar{a}$  in  $\frac{R}{M}$ .