# Socle degrees of Frobenius powers Lecture 3 — February 1, 2006 talk by A. Kustin

#### Today's agenda:

I. Demonstrate the Magic machine for turning basis vectors into socle elements.

II. Outline the proof of  $(1) \implies (2)$ .

III. Get to work on some of the steps of  $(1) \implies (2)$ .

# I. Demonstrate the Magic machine for turning basis vectors into socle elements.

Let  $P = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring, I be an ideal of P with  $\dim_k P/I$  finite,  $\mathbb{F}$  be a resolution of P/I by free P-modules, and  $\mathbb{G}$  be the (Koszul complex) resolution of  $k = P/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  by free P-modules. The isomorphism

(\*) 
$$H_n(\mathbb{F} \otimes k) \cong H_n(\operatorname{Tot}(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{G})) \cong H_n(P/I \otimes \mathbb{G})$$

provides a method for converting the basis elements of  $\mathbb{F}_n$  into socle elements of P/I. I illustrate with an example. Let  $I = (x^2, xy, y^2)$ . In this case,  $\mathbb{F}$  is

$$0 \rightarrow \underbrace{P(-3)^2}_{F_2} \xrightarrow{f_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & x \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix}}_{F_1} \underbrace{P(-2)^3}_{F_1} \xrightarrow{f_1 = \begin{bmatrix} y^2 & -xy & x^2 \end{bmatrix}}_{F_0},$$

 $\mathbb{G}$  is

$$0 \to \underbrace{P(-2)}_{G_2} \xrightarrow{g_2 = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ -x \end{bmatrix}}_{G_1} \underbrace{P(-1)^2}_{G_1} \xrightarrow{g_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix}}_{G_0},$$

and  $\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{G}$  is

Start with  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes 1$  in  $F_2 \otimes G_0$  in the lower left hand corner. We see that this element represents an element of the homology of  $H_2(\mathbb{F} \otimes k)$ . One can extend this element to get an element of the homology of  $H_2(\text{Tot}(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{G}))$ :

The indicated element of  $H_2(\text{Tot}(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{G}))$  gives rise to the element y of the socle of P/I. To answer the question that our freshman ask: "Yes, it always works like that." We can use the idea of the snaky game to prove both isomorphisms in (\*).

### II. Outline the proof of $(1) \implies (2)$ .

Recall that our goal is the following result.

**Theorem.** Let k be a field of positive characteristic p, P be the polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , C be the homogeneous complete intersection ideal  $C = (f_1, \ldots, f_c)$  in

*P* and *R* be *P/C*. Let *I* be a homogeneous ideal in *P* with *P/I* a finite dimensional vector space over *k*. Suppose that the socle degrees of *R/IR* are  $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{\ell}$  and that the socle degrees of *R/I*<sup>[p]</sup>*R* are  $D_1 \leq \cdots \leq D_L$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1)  $L = \ell$  and  $D_i = pd_i - (p-1)a(R)$  for all *i*, and

(2) The ring R/IR has finite projective dimension as an R-module.

*Remark.* In the present context a(R) is  $\sum |f_i| - \sum |x_i|$ .

We outline the proof of  $(1) \implies (2)$  under the additional hypothesis that P/I is a Gorenstein ring. Our original proof did have this additional hypothesis. The proof is more direct and the result is better without the additional hypothesis; however, without the additional hypothesis one must make many calculations which involve "the canonical module". The canonical module of a Gorenstein ring is itself. If I make this additional hypothesis, then I can hide the fact that we are making canonical module calculations.

Assume (1). The following steps will yield (2).

**Step 1.** Tor<sub>c</sub>(P/I, P/C) =  $\frac{I:C}{I}(-\sum |f_i|)$ . (Actually, you know how to prove this already.)

**Step 2.** We can connect the generator degrees of  $\frac{I:C}{I}$  to the socle degrees of P/I. (This uses Gorenstein duality.)

**Step 3.** Suppose the generators of  $\operatorname{Tor}_c(P/I, P/C)$  have degrees  $\{\gamma_i\}$  and the generators of  $\operatorname{Tor}_c(P/I^{[p]}, P/C)$  have degrees  $\{\Gamma_i\}$ . Then  $\Gamma_i = p\gamma_i$ . (This is a straightforward calculation.)

**Step 4.** Use the generators of  $\operatorname{Tor}_c(P/I, P/C)$  to produce the generators of  $\operatorname{Tor}_c(P/I^{[p]}, P/C)$ . (This is a delicate linear independence argument.)

**Step 5.** Drag the answer to Step 4 through the double complex machine to learn that

$$I^{[p]}: C = (I:C)^{[p]} (f_1 \cdots f_c)^{p-1} + I^{[p]}.$$

(I will leave this step out of these lectures.)

Step 6. Prove that the conclusion to step 5 implies

$$I^{[p]} \cap C = (I \cap C)^{[p]} + I^{[p]}C.$$

(This step is very similar to step 4.)

**Step 7.** Prove that the conclusion of Step 6 implies  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(R/IR, \,^{\varphi}R) = 0$ . (This is a grubby calculation. It is the one piece of the proof in this direction that I

included in the notes for last week. I copied this calculation into the present notes. I might not bother to write them on the board.)

**Step 8.** We are finished by the Theorem of Avramov and Claudia Miller (see the last seminar talk given by John Olmo last semester.): If  $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}(R/IR, \,^{\varphi}R) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{pd}_{R}(R/IR) < \infty$ . (There is nothing for us to do here!)

# III. Get to work on some of the steps of $(1) \implies (2)$ .

**Proof of Step 1.** Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be the Koszul complex which resolves P/C. The end of  $\mathbb{G}$  is

$$0 \to P(-\sum_{i=1}^{c} |f_i|) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_c \end{bmatrix}} \xrightarrow{P(-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 2}}^{c} |f_i|)} \xrightarrow{P(-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 2}}^{c} |f_i|)} \xrightarrow{P(-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq c}}^{c} |f_i|)} \xrightarrow{P(-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq c}}^{c} |f_i|)}$$

We may compute  $\operatorname{Tor}_c(P/I, P/C)$  by tensoring the above resolution with P/I (that is setting I = 0) and then computing homology. So,  $\operatorname{Tor}_c(P/I, P/C)$  is the kernel of

which is  $\frac{I:C}{I}(-\sum_{i=1}^{c}|f_i|)$ , as claimed.

**Proof of Step 2.** We will use two statements about Gorenstein duality. These statements are not independent; indeed, either one could be used to prove the other.

Furthermore, maybe the real key statement is that if P/I is Gorenstein and a finite dimensional vector space, then P/I is an injective P/I-module (which means that the functor  $\operatorname{Hom}_{P/I}(\_, P/I)$ ) is an exact functor.) At Bard College, Lars Christenson tossed off that "most of us think of this as the definition of Gorenstein".

Assume that P/I is a finite dimensional vector space and is a Gorenstein ring. Let N be the socle degree of P/I. Let M be a finitely generated P/I-module. Then

A.  $\operatorname{Hom}_{P/I}(\operatorname{Hom}_{P/I}(M, P/I), P/I) = M$ , and

**B.** dim<sub>k</sub> Hom<sub>P/I</sub> $(M, P/I)_d$  = dim<sub>k</sub>  $M_{N-d}$  for all d.

Of course, the point is that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{P/I}(\underline{\ }, P/I)$  exactly turns P/I modules upside down!

Anyhow, I claim that if  $\{\delta_i\}$  are the generator degrees of  $\frac{I:C}{I}$ , then  $\delta_i = N - d_i$ .

*Proof.* In this argument, "Hom" means "Hom<sub>P/I</sub>" and " $\otimes$ " means " $\otimes_{P/I}$ ". Use Nakayama's Lemma to see that the generator degrees of  $\frac{I:C}{I}$  are equal to the degrees of  $\frac{I:C}{I+\mathfrak{m}(I:C)}$ . Recall that R/IR is the same as P/(I+C); and therefore, the socle of R/IR is equal to

$$\frac{(I+C):\mathfrak{m}}{I+C} = \operatorname{Hom}(\frac{P}{\mathfrak{m}}, \frac{P}{I+C})$$

and by  $\mathbf{A}$ , this is equal to

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\frac{P}{\mathfrak{m}}, \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Hom}(\frac{P}{I+C}, \frac{P}{I}), \frac{P}{I})) = \operatorname{Hom}(\frac{P}{\mathfrak{m}}, \operatorname{Hom}(\frac{I:(I+C)}{I}, \frac{P}{I}))$$
$$= \operatorname{Hom}(\frac{P}{\mathfrak{m}}, \operatorname{Hom}(\frac{I:C}{I}, \frac{P}{I})).$$

Now use the Adjoint Isomorphism Theorem, which says

 $\operatorname{Hom}(A \otimes B, C) = \operatorname{Hom}(A, \operatorname{Hom}(B, C)),$ 

to see that the socle of R/IR is equal to

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\tfrac{P}{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes \tfrac{I:C}{I}, \tfrac{P}{I}) = \operatorname{Hom}(\tfrac{I:C}{I+\mathfrak{m}(I:C)}, \tfrac{P}{I}).$$

Finally, we use **B** to complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

### **Proof of Step 3.** We have

- the socle degrees of R/IR are  $\{d_i\}$ ,
- the socle degrees of  $R/I^{[p]}R$  are  $\{D_i\}$ ,

- $D_i = pd_i (p-1)(\sum |f_j| \sum |x_j|),$
- the generator degrees of  $\operatorname{Tor}_{c}(P/I, P/C)$  are  $\{\gamma_i\}$ ,
- the generator degrees of  $\operatorname{Tor}_c(P/I^{[p]}, P/C)$  are  $\{\Gamma_i\}$ ,
- Tor<sub>c</sub>(P/I, P/C) =  $\frac{I:C}{C}(-\sum |f_i|),$
- Tor<sub>c</sub>(P/I<sup>[p]</sup>, P/C) =  $\frac{I^{[p]}:C}{C}(-\sum |f_i|),$
- the generator degrees of  $\frac{I:C}{C}$  are  $\{N-d_i\}$ , and
- the generator degrees of  $\frac{I^{[p]}:C}{C}$  are  $\{pN (p-1)(-\sum |x_j|) D_i\}.$

(Recall that the  $(1) \leftarrow (2)$  part of the proof tells us that if the socle degree of P/I is N, then the socle degree of  $P/I^{[p]}$  is pN - (p-1)a(P). This explains the formula inside the box.)

Our job is to "Do the Math." I find it convenient to let  $\{\delta_i\}$  be the generator degrees of  $\frac{I:C}{C}$  and  $\{\Delta_i\}$  be the generator degrees of  $\frac{I^{[p]}:C}{C}$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_i &= \Delta_i + \sum |f_j| = pN - (p-1)(-\sum |x_j|) - D_i + \sum |f_j| \\ &= pN - (p-1)(-\sum |x_j|) - \left(pd_i - (p-1)(\sum |f_j| - \sum |x_j|)\right) + \sum |f_j| \\ &= pN - pd_i + (p-1)\sum |f_j| + \sum |f_j| = pN - pd_i + p\sum |f_j| \\ &= p((N-d_i) + \sum |f_j|) = p(\delta_i + \sum |f_j|) = p\gamma_i, \end{split}$$

as claimed.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Step 7.** We want to prove that

(\*\*\*) 
$$I^{[p]} \cap C = (I \cap C)^{[p]} + I^{[p]}C$$

implies  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(R/IR, \, \varphi R) = 0$ . We show that  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(R/IR, \, \varphi R) = 0$  by showing that

(\*\*)  
$$R^{b_2} \xrightarrow{d_2} R^{b_1} \xrightarrow{d_1} R \to R/I \to 0 \quad \text{is exact}$$
$$\implies R^{b_2} \xrightarrow{d_2^{[p]}} R^{b_1} \xrightarrow{d_1^{[p]}} R \to R/I^{[p]} \to 0 \quad \text{is exact.}$$

We show that (\*\*\*) implies (\*\*).

I make my calculation at the *P*-level. Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_{b_1}$  generate *I* in *P*; so,

$$d_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_{b_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$d_1^{[p]} = [a_1^p \dots a_{b_1}^p].$$

We think of  $d_2$  as having two pieces:

$$d_2 = \begin{bmatrix} d_2' & d_2'' \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$P^{b_2'} \xrightarrow{d_2'} P^{b_1} \xrightarrow{d_1} P$$

is exact (and  $d''_2$  is all of the extra columns that describe elements of I which are also in C.) Recall that Kunz's Theorem (ingredient (B) of the other direction) tells us that

$$P^{b_2'} \xrightarrow{(d_2')^{[p]}} P^{b_1} \xrightarrow{d_1^{[p]}} P$$

is exact.

Suppose v is in  $P^{b_1}$  with  $d_1^{[p]}(v) \in C$ . In other words,

$$d_1^{[p]}(v) \in I^{[p]} \cap C = (I \cap C)^{[p]} + I^{[p]}C.$$

So, there exist  $s_1, \ldots, s_t \in I \cap C$ ;  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t$  in P; and  $c_1, \ldots, c_{b_1}$  in C so that

$$d_1^{[p]}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i s_i^p + \sum_{i=1}^{b_1} a_i^p c_i.$$

Of course, there exists  $v_i \in P^{b_1}$  with  $d_1(v_i) = s_i$  (and therefore also  $d_1^{[p]}v_1^{[p]} = s_i^p$ ). So,

$$d_1^{[p]}(v) = d_1^{[p]} \left( \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i v_i^{[p]} + \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{b_1} \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

So,

$$v - \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i v_i^{[p]} - \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{b_1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is killed by  $d_1^{[p]}$ ; hence is in the image of  $(d'_2)^{[p]}$ . Finally,  $d_1(v_i) = s_i \in I \cap C$ , so  $v_i = d''_2(w_i)$  for some  $w_i$ ; hence,  $v_i^{[p]} = (d''_2)^{[p]}(w_i^{[p]})$ . Thus,

$$v \in \operatorname{im} d_2^{[p]} + CP^{b_1},$$

as desired.