

10/18/11  
MATH 300

Informal Summary 1.5

- indirect proofs - based on tautologies that replace the statement to be proved by an equivalent statement or statements
- proof by contraposition - uses tautology  $\neg P$   
(contrapositive proof)  $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P)$

↳ Proof: Assume  $\neg Q$ .  
 ∴  
 Therefore,  $\neg P$ .  
 Thus,  $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$   
 Therefore,  $P \Rightarrow Q$ .

- proof by contradiction - indirect proof dealing with logic that if a statement cannot be false, then it must be true.

↳ Proof: Suppose  $\neg P$ .  
 ∴  
 Therefore,  $Q$ .  
 ∴  
 Therefore,  $\neg Q$ .  
 Hence,  $Q \wedge \neg Q$  is a contradiction  
 thus,  $P$ .

- Proof of biconditional sentence or Two-Part Proof of  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$   
 ↳ proof: (i) Show  $P \Rightarrow Q$   
 (ii) Show  $Q \Rightarrow P$   
 Therefore  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$

Note: part (i) and (ii) may use different methods to prove their truths.



- parity - attribute of being odd or even
- In some cases it is possible to prove a bi cond. sent.  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$  that uses the "iff" connective throughout. This amounts to starting w/  $P$  and then replacing it w/ a sequence of equivalent statements, the last one being  $Q$ . With  $n$  intermediate statements  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n$ , a bi cond. proof of  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$  has the form:

$\hookrightarrow$  proof:
 
$$\begin{aligned}
 &P \text{ iff } R_1 \\
 &\text{iff } R_2 \\
 &\dots \\
 &\text{iff } R_n \\
 &\text{iff } Q.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Undecidable - when a statement and its negation cannot be proved either true or false (consistent axiom statements such that neither their statement nor its negation can be proved.)