# On the factorization of $x^2+x$ and the non-factorization of $x^2+7$

by Michael Filaseta
University of South Carolina

Joint Work with M. Bennett & O. Trifonov

Part I: On the factorization of  $x^2+x$ 

Part I: On the factorization of x(x+1)

Part I: On the factorization of n(n+1)

# Part I: On the factorization of n(n+1)

**Well-Known:** The largest prime factor of n(n+1) tends to infinity with n.

# Part I: On the factorization of n(n+1)

**Well-Known:** The largest prime factor of n(n+1) tends to infinity with n.

Let  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r$  be primes. There is an N such that if  $n \geq N$  and

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then m > 1.

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then m > 1.

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then m > 1.

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then m > 1.

$$n(n+1)$$
 divisible only by primes  $\leq 11 \Longrightarrow n \leq$ 

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then m > 1.

$$n(n+1)$$
 divisible only by primes  $\leq 11 \Longrightarrow n \leq$   
... only by primes  $\leq 41 \Longrightarrow n \leq$ 

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then m > 1.

$$n(n+1)$$
 divisible only by primes  $\leq 11 \Longrightarrow n \leq 9800$  ... only by primes  $\leq 41 \Longrightarrow n \leq$ 

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then m > 1.

**Lehmer:** Gave some explicit estimates:

n(n+1) divisible only by primes  $\leq 11 \Longrightarrow n \leq 9800$  ... only by primes  $\leq 41 \Longrightarrow n \leq 63927525375$ 

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then m > 1.

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then m > 1.

$$n \geq N$$
 and  $n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_1^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$  for some integer  $m$ , then  $m > 1$ 

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

$$abc$$
-conjecture  $\implies \theta =$ 

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

$$abc$$
-conjecture  $\implies \theta = 1 - \varepsilon$ 

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then  $m > n^{\theta}$ .

$$abc$$
-conjecture  $\implies \theta = 1 - \varepsilon$ 

unconditionally one can obtain  $\theta =$ 

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then  $m > n^{\theta}$ .

$$abc$$
-conjecture  $\implies \theta = 1 - \varepsilon$ 

unconditionally one can obtain  $\,\theta=1-arepsilon\,$ 

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then  $m > n^{\theta}$ .

$$abc$$
-conjecture  $\implies \theta = 1 - \varepsilon$ 

unconditionally one can obtain  $\, heta = 1 - arepsilon \,$  (ineffective)

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then  $m > n^{\theta}$ .

# **Effective Approach:**

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then  $m > n^{\theta}$ .

**Effective Approach:** (Linear Forms of Logarithms)

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then  $m > n^{\theta}$ .

**Effective Approach:** (Linear Forms of Logarithms)

$$\theta = \frac{c}{\log \log n}$$

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then  $m > n^{\theta}$ .

**Effective Approach:** (Linear Forms of Logarithms)

$$\theta = \frac{c}{\log \log n}$$

**Problem:** Can we narrow the gap between these ineffective and effective results?



Theorem (R. Gow, 1989): If n > 2 is even and

$$L_n^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n inom{2n}{n-j} rac{(-x)^j}{j!}$$

is irreducible, then the Galois group of  $\boldsymbol{L}_{n}^{(n)}(x)$  is  $\boldsymbol{A}_{n}$ .

**Theorem (R. Gow, 1989):** If n > 2 is even and

$$L_n^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n {2n \choose n-j} rac{(-x)^j}{j!}$$

is irreducible, then the Galois group of  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  is  $A_n$ .

Theorem (joint work with R. Williams): For almost all positive integers n the polynomial  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  is irreducible (and, hence, has Galois group  $A_n$  for almost all even n).

**Theorem (R. Gow, 1989):** If n > 2 is even and

$$L_n^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n {2n \choose n-j} rac{(-x)^j}{j!}$$

is irreducible, then the Galois group of  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  is  $A_n$ .

Theorem (joint work with R. Williams): For almost all positive integers n the polynomial  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  is irreducible (and, hence, has Galois group  $A_n$  for almost all even n).

Work in Progress with Trifonov: We're attempting to show the irreducibility of  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  for all n > 2.

**Theorem (R. Gow, 1989):** If n > 2 is even and

$$L_n^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n {2n \choose n-j} rac{(-x)^j}{j!}$$

is irreducible, then the Galois group of  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  is  $A_n$ .

Theorem (joint work with R. Williams): For almost all positive integers n the polynomial  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  is irreducible (and, hence, has Galois group  $A_n$  for almost all even n).

Work in Progress with Trifonov:  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  is irreducible for n large and  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

**Theorem (R. Gow, 1989):** If n > 2 is even and

$$L_n^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n {2n \choose n-j} rac{(-x)^j}{j!}$$

is irreducible, then the Galois group of  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  is  $A_n$ .

Theorem (joint work with R. Williams): For almost all positive integers n the polynomial  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  is irreducible (and, hence, has Galois group  $A_n$  for almost all even n).

Work in Progress with Trifonov:  $L_n^{(n)}(x)$  is irreducible for n large and  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . This is effective.

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then  $m > n^{\theta}$ .

**Theorem:** If  $n \geq 9$  and

$$n(n+1) = 2^k 3^\ell m,$$

then

$$m \geq$$

$$n(n+1) = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r} m$$

for some integer m, then  $m > n^{\theta}$ .

**Theorem:** If  $n \geq 9$  and

$$n(n+1) = 2^k 3^\ell m,$$

then

$$m \ge n^{1/4}$$
.

Conjecture: For n > 512,

$$n(n+1) = 2^u 3^v m \implies m > \sqrt{n}$$
.

Conjecture: For n > 512,

$$n(n+1) = 2^u 3^v m \implies m > \sqrt{n}.$$

**Comment:** The conclusion holds for

$$512 < n \le$$

Conjecture: For n > 512,

$$n(n+1) = 2^u 3^v m \implies m > \sqrt{n}$$
.

**Comment:** The conclusion holds for

$$512 < n \le 10^{1000}.$$

Part II: On the non-factorization of  $x^2+7$ 

# Part II: On the non-factorization of $x^2+7$

Classical Ramanujan-Nagell Theorem: If x and n are positive integers satisfying

$$x^2 + 7 = 2^n,$$

then

$$x \in \{1, 3, 5, 11, 181\}.$$

# Part II: On the non-factorization of $x^2 + 7$

Classical Ramanujan-Nagell Theorem: If x and n are positive integers satisfying

$$x^2 + 7 = 2^n,$$

then

$$x \in \{1, 3, 5, 11, 181\}.$$

**Problem:** If  $x^2 + 7 = 2^n m$  and x is not in the set above, then can we say that m must be large?

$$x^2 + 7 = 2^n m$$

$$x^2 + 7 = 2^n m$$

$$\left(\frac{x+\sqrt{-7}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x-\sqrt{-7}}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{-7}}{2}\right)^{n-2}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{-7}}{2}\right)^{n-2}m$$

$$x^{2} + 7 = 2^{n}m$$

$$\left(\frac{x + \sqrt{-7}}{2}\right) \left(\frac{x - \sqrt{-7}}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{-7}}{2}\right)^{n-2} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{-7}}{2}\right)^{n-2} m$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$

$$\text{difference is constant} \qquad \text{prime}$$

**Theorem:** If x, n and m are positive integers satisfying

$$x^2 + 7 = 2^n m$$
 and  $x \notin \{1, 3, 5, 11, 181\},$ 

then

$$m \geq ???$$

**Theorem:** If x, n and m are positive integers satisfying

$$x^2 + 7 = 2^n m$$
 and  $x \notin \{1, 3, 5, 11, 181\},$ 

then

$$m \ge x^{1/2}$$
.

**Part III: The Method** 

$$n(n+1) = 3^k 2^\ell m$$

$$n(n+1)=3^k2^\ell m$$
  $3^km_1-2^\ell m_2=\pm 1$ 

$$n(n+1) = 3^k 2^\ell m$$
  $3^k m_1 - 2^\ell m_2 = \pm 1$ 

**Main Idea:** Find "small" integers P,Q, and E such that  $3^kP-2^\ell Q=E.$ 

$$n(n+1) = 3^k 2^\ell m$$
  $3^k m_1 - 2^\ell m_2 = \pm 1$ 

Main Idea: Find "small" integers P, Q, and E such that  $3^kP-2^\ell Q=E.$ 

$$n(n+1) = 3^k 2^\ell m$$
  $3^k m_1 - 2^\ell m_2 = \pm 1 \quad \leftarrow \quad \times Q$ 

Main Idea: Find "small" integers P, Q, and E such that

$$3^kP-2^\ell Q=E. \qquad \leftarrow \ \times m_2$$

$$n(n+1) = 3^k 2^\ell m$$
  $3^k m_1 - 2^\ell m_2 = \pm 1$ 

**Main Idea:** Find "small" integers P, Q, and E such that  $3^kP-2^\ell Q=E$ .

Then

$$3^k (Qm_1 - Pm_2) = \pm Q - Em_2.$$

$$n(n+1) = 3^k 2^\ell m$$
  $3^k m_1 - 2^\ell m_2 = \pm 1$ 

**Main Idea:** Find "small" integers P, Q, and E such that  $3^kP-2^\ell Q=E$ .

Then

$$3^k (Qm_1 - Pm_2) = \pm Q - Em_2.$$

Main Idea: Find "small" integers P, Q, and E such that

$$3^k P - 2^\ell Q = E$$

and

$$Qm_1 - Pm_2 \neq 0$$
.

Then

$$3^{k}\left(Qm_{1}-Pm_{2}
ight)=\pm Q-Em_{2}.$$

Main Idea: Find "small" integers P, Q, and E such that

$$3^k P - 2^\ell Q = E$$

and

$$Qm_1 - Pm_2 \neq 0$$
.

Then

$$3^k \left( Q m_1 - P m_2 \right) = \pm Q - E m_2.$$

Obtain an upper bound on  $3^k$ .

Main Idea: Find "small" integers P, Q, and E such that

$$3^k P - 2^\ell Q = E$$

and

$$Qm_1 - Pm_2 \neq 0$$
.

Then

$$3^{k}\left(Qm_{1}-Pm_{2}
ight)=\pm Q-Em_{2}.$$

Obtain an upper bound on  $3^k$ . Since  $3^k m_1 \geq n$ , it follows that  $m_1$  and, hence,  $m = m_1 m_2$  are not small.

The "small" integers P, Q, and E are obtained through the use of Padé approximations for  $(1-x)^k$ .

The "small" integers P, Q, and E are obtained through the use of Padé approximations for  $(1-x)^k$ .

More precisely, there exist  $P,\ Q,\ ext{and}\ E ext{ in } \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $\deg P = \deg Q = r$  and  $\deg E = k-r-1$  such that  $P_r(x) - (1-x)^k Q_r(x) = x^{2r+1} E_r(x).$ 



#### What's Needed for the Method to Work:

One largely needs to be dealing with two primes (like 2 and 3) with a difference of powers of these primes being small (like  $3^2 - 2^3 = 1$ ).

#### What's Needed for the Method to Work:

One largely needs to be dealing with two primes (like 2 and 3) with a difference of powers of these primes being small (like  $3^2 - 2^3 = 1$ ).

In the case of  $x^2+7=2^nm$ , the difference of the primes  $(1+\sqrt{-7})/2$  and  $(1-\sqrt{-7})/2$  each raised to the  $13^{\rm th}$  power has absolute value  $\approx 2.65$  and the prime powers themselves have absolute value  $\approx 90.51$ .