# From Covering Problems to a Conjecture of Turán

by

## Michael Filaseta

University of South Carolina Columbia, SC 29208

filaseta@math.sc.edu

http://www.math.sc.edu/~filaseta/

# **Coverings of the Integers**

A covering of the integers is a system of congruences

 $x \equiv a_j \pmod{m_j}$ 

having the property that every integer satisfies at least one such congruence.

## Example 1:

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$
$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

#### Example 2:

- $x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$
- $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$
- $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$
- $x \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$
- $x \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$



# **Open Problem:**

In a finite covering with distinct moduli, can the minimum modulus be arbitrarily large?

Choi: minimum modulus can be 20

Erdős: \$500 for solution

# **Open Problem:**

Does there exist an "odd covering" of the integers, a finite covering consisting of distinct odd moduli > 1?

Erdős: \$25 (for proof none exists)

Selfridge: \$2000 (for explicit example)

**Sierpinski:** There exist infinitely many (even a positive proportion of) positive integers k such that  $k \times 2^n + 1$  is composite for all non-negative integers n.

Selfridge's Example: k = 78557(smallest odd known) (but 19 to go) **Polignac's Problem:** Is it true that if k is an odd integer > 1, then there is an integer n and a prime p such that  $k = 2^n + p$ ? What if k is sufficiently large?

Examples: 127 and 905

**Erdős:** No, arbitrarily large odd k without this property exist.

**Schinzel:** These applications of Sierpinski and Erdős are in some sense equivalent.

# **Related Polynomial Problems**

**Question:** Does there exist a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $f(x)x^n + 1$  is reducible for all non-negative integers n?

**Require:**  $f(1) \neq -1$ 

**Question 1:** Does there exist a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $f(1) \neq -1$  and  $f(x)x^n + 1$  is reducible for all non-negative integers n?

**Question 2:** Does there exist a polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $f(0) \neq 0$ ,  $f(1) \neq -1$ , and  $x^n + f(x)$  is reducible for all non-negative integers n?

**Comment:** These questions remain open.

Schinzel's Example:

 $(5x^9 + 6x^8 + 3x^6 + 8x^5 + 9x^3 + 6x^2 + 8x + 3)x^n + 12$ 

is reducible for all non-negative integers n

**Theorem (Schinzel):** If there is an  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $f(1) \neq -1$  and  $f(x)x^n + 1$  is reducible for all non-negative integers n, then there is an odd covering of the integers.

- **Comment 1:** An analogous result holds for the second polynomial question.
- **Comment 2:** The result holds with any odd constant term.
- **Comment 3:** Examples of reducibility exist with constant term any multiple of 4.

## **Basic Ideas**

► The polynomial  $f(x)x^n + 1$  can have trivial factorizations. For example,

$$(x+1)^3 x^6 + 1$$
 factors

and

$$4x^4 + 1 = (2x^2 + 2x + 1)(2x^2 - 2x + 1).$$

- ▶ For n large, we shouldn't expect much else.
- ► The previous remark becomes stupid if we consider cyclotomic factors. For example,

$$(x+1)x^7+1$$
 factors.

Schinzel's Example:

 $(5x^9 + 6x^8 + 3x^6 + 8x^5 + 9x^3 + 6x^2 + 8x + 3)x^n + 12$ is reducible for all non-negative integers n

**Comment:** For each n, the above polynomial is divisible by one of

 $\Phi_k(x)$  where  $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 6, 12\}.$ 

**Theorem (F., Ford, Konyagin).** Let u(x) and v(x) be in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  with

 $u(0) \neq 0, v(0) \neq 0, \text{ and } gcd(u(x), v(x)) = 1.$ 

Let  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  denote the number of non-zero terms in u(x) and v(x), respectively. If

 $m \ge \max\left\{2 \times 5^{2N-1}, 2\max\left\{\deg u, \deg v\right\}\left(5^{N-1} + \frac{1}{4}\right)\right\}$ 

where

$$N = 2 ||u||^2 + 2 ||v||^2 + 2r_1 + 2r_2 - 7,$$

then the non-reciprocal part of  $u(x)x^m + v(x)$  is irreducible unless one of the following holds:

(i) The polynomial -u(x)v(x) is a *p*th power for some prime *p* dividing *m*.

(ii) One of  $\pm u(x)$  or  $\pm v(x)$  is a 4th power, the other is 4 times a 4th power, and 4|m.

**Theorem (F., Ford, Konyagin).** When *m* is large, either  $u(x)x^m + v(x)$  has an obvious factorization or the non-cyclotomic part of  $u(x)x^m + v(x)$  is irreducible.

**Comment:** Schinzel essentially proved this with a different understanding of what "m is large" means.

**Theorem (Schinzel):** If there is an  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $f(1) \neq -1$  and  $f(x)x^n + 1$  is reducible for all non-negative integers n, then there is an odd covering of the integers.

**Idea of Proof:** Take u(x) = f(x) and v(x) = 1 and do some more things.

(see http://www.math.sc.edu/~filaseta/seminars/)
(first four seminars this year)

## Turán's Problem (1960's)

**Problem:** Show that there is a C such that if

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

then there is a

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} b_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

irreducible (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r} |b_j - a_j| \le C.$$

**Comment:** The problem remains open. If we take  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} b_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  where possibly s > r, then the problem has been resolved by Schinzel.

## First Attack on Turán's Problem

Idea: Consider

$$g(x) = x^n + f(x).$$

If f(0) = 0 or f(1) = -1, then consider instead

$$g(x) = x^n + f(x) \pm 1.$$

If one can show g(x) is irreducible for some n, then Turán's problem (modified so deg  $g > \deg f$  is allowed) is resolved with C = 2.

**Comment:** Schinzel's Theorem implies that this is probably not easy. One would have to resolve the odd covering problem first.

## Second Attack on Turán's Problem

Idea: Consider

$$g(x) = x^m \pm x^n + f(x).$$

If f(0) = 0, then consider instead

$$g(x) = x^m \pm x^n + f(x) \pm 1.$$

Theorem (Schinzel): For every

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

there exist infinitely many irreducible

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} b_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\max\{r,s\}} |a_j - b_j| \le \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } f(0) \neq 0\\ 3 & \text{always.} \end{cases}$$

One of these is such that

$$s < \exp\left((5r+7)(\|f\|^2+3)\right),$$

where

$$||f||^2 = \sum_{j=0}^r a_j^2.$$

**Comment:** Schinzel obtained a more general result concerning the irreducibility of polynomials of the form

$$Ax^m + Bx^n + f(x),$$

where A and B are non-zero integers. If  $f(0) \neq 0$ and  $f(1) \neq -A - B$ , then he shows there are m and n for which this polynomial is irreducible and

$$n < m < \exp\left((5r + 2\log|AB| + 7)(||f||^2 + A^2 + B^2)\right).$$

**Question:** Can the upper bound on m be improved to a bound which is less than exponential in r, the degree of f(x)?

## **Ideas Behind Improvement**

- Consider  $F(x) = Ax^m + Bx^n + f(x)$  with  $m \in (M, 2M]$  and  $n \in (N, 2N]$  where M and N are large and M > N.
- ► Apply FFK result with u(x) = A and v(x) = Bx<sup>n</sup> + f(x) to reduce problem to consideration of cyclotomic factors.
- ► Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ (m, n) : M < m \le 2M, N < n \le 2N \},\$$

and let  $\mathcal{A}_p \subset A$  (arising from when  $F(\zeta_{p^k}) = 0$ ). Use a "sieve" argument to estimate the size of

$$\mathcal{A} - \bigcup \mathcal{A}_p.$$

# Conclusion

**Theorem:** Given  $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , there are infinitely many irreducible  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} b_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\max\{r,s\}} |a_j - b_j| \le 5.$$

One of these is such that

$$s \le 4r \exp\left(4\|f\|^2 + 12\right).$$

**Comment:** One can replace the bound "5" with "3" provided the bound on s is weakened but still made to depend polynomially on r.