## Solutions to Practice Problems for Test 1

(1) Let a and b be the two positive numbers so that ab < 100. Assume that both a and b are  $\geq 10$ . Then  $ab \geq 10 \times 10 = 100$ . This contradicts that ab < 100. Hence, our assumption is wrong and at least one of a or b is < 10.

(2) Since  $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , we want to prove

(\*) 
$$1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \dots + n^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}$$

for every positive integer n. We use induction on n. Since  $1^3 = 1 = \frac{1^2 \times (1+1)^2}{4}$ , (\*) holds when n = 1. Now, suppose that (\*) holds for some n. We want to show that

(\*\*) 
$$1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + \dots + n^3 + (n+1)^3 = \frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2}{4}$$

From (\*), we obtain

$$1^{3} + 2^{3} + 3^{3} + \dots + n^{3} + (n+1)^{3} = \frac{n^{2}(n+1)^{2}}{4} + (n+1)^{3} = (n+1)^{2} \left(\frac{n^{2}}{4} + n + 1\right)$$
$$= (n+1)^{2} \frac{n^{2} + 4n + 4}{4} = \frac{(n+1)^{2}(n+2)^{2}}{4}.$$

Hence, (\*\*) holds. By induction, we deduce that (\*) holds for every positive integer n.

(3) We prove

$$(*) \qquad \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \le 2\sqrt{n}$$

for every integer  $n \ge 1$  by induction on n. Since the sum on the left of (\*) is simply  $1/\sqrt{1} = 1$  when n = 1 and since the right of (\*) is  $2\sqrt{1} = 2$  when n = 1, we see that (\*) holds when n = 1. Now, suppose that (\*) is true for some integer n. We want to prove

(\*\*) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \le 2\sqrt{n+1}.$$

From (\*), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \le 2\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} = \frac{2\sqrt{n(n+1)} + 1}{\sqrt{n+1}}$$

Since  $(n + \frac{1}{2})^2 = n^2 + n + \frac{1}{4}$ , we see that

$$\sqrt{n(n+1)} = \sqrt{n^2 + n} < \sqrt{\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} = n + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \le \frac{2\sqrt{n(n+1)}+1}{\sqrt{n+1}} < \frac{2(n+\frac{1}{2})+1}{\sqrt{n+1}} = \frac{2n+2}{\sqrt{n+1}} = \frac{2(n+1)}{\sqrt{n+1}} = 2\sqrt{n+1}.$$

Thus, (\*\*) holds, and we have by induction that (\*) holds for every positive integer n.

(4) Let  $\alpha$  be rational and  $\beta$  be irrational. We prove that  $\alpha\beta$  is irrational unless  $\alpha$  equals  $\boxed{0}$ . Assume  $\alpha\beta$  is rational where we consider only the case that  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then  $\alpha = a/b$ (since  $\alpha$  is rational) and  $\alpha\beta = c/d$  (since  $\alpha\beta$  is rational) for some integers a, b, c, and d with  $c \neq 0$  and  $d \neq 0$ . Since  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we deduce  $a \neq 0$ . Thus,

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha} = \frac{c/d}{a/b} = \frac{bc}{ad}$$

where bc and ad are integers and  $ad \neq 0$ . Hence,  $\beta$  is rational, contradicting that  $\beta$  is given to be irrational. Therefore,  $\alpha\beta$  is irrational.

(5) (a) We prove  $a_n \leq e$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$  by induction on n. Since e > 1, we deduce that  $a_1 = e^{1/e} \leq e$ . Suppose now that  $a_n \leq e$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . Since e > 1 and 1/e > 0,  $e^{1/e} > 1$ . Hence,

$$a_{n+1} = (e^{1/e})^{a_n} \le (e^{1/e})^e = e.$$

Therefore, by induction,  $a_n \leq e$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$ .

(b) The argument above made use of the fact that e > 1 and that  $e^{1/e} > 1$  (though you might not have noticed where the latter was used). We did not need to use that e > 1 since  $t^{1/t} \leq t$  is true for all t > 0. However,  $e^{1/e} > 1$  was needed. The same argument works fine if t > 1 (then  $t^{1/t} > 1$ ). The argument does not work if 0 < t < 1. In fact, in this case,  $a_2 > t$ .

(6) (a) The proof can be completed as follows:

**Proof:** We want to show that there is an integer q such that  $a^2 = 4q + r$  with r = 0 or r = 1. The remainder when a is divided by 4 is one of 0, 1, 2, or 3. If the remainder is 0, then a = 4k for some integer k so that  $a^2 = 16k^2 = 4(4k^2) + 0$ . Thus, in this case, one can take  $q = 4k^2$  and r = 0. If the remainder is 1, then a = 4k + 1 for some integer k so that  $a^2 = 16k^2 + 8k + 1 = 4(4k^2 + 2k) + 1$ . In this case, one can take  $q = 4k^2 + 2k$  and r = 1. If the remainder is 2, then

$$a = \boxed{4k+2}$$

for some integer k so that

$$a^{2} = \boxed{16k^{2} + 16k + 4} = 4(4k^{2} + 4k + 1) + 0$$

In this case, one can take

$$q = 4k^2 + 4k + 1$$
 and  $r = 0$ .

If the remainder is 3, then

$$a = \boxed{4k+3}$$

for some integer k so that

$$a^{2} = \boxed{16k^{2} + 24k + 9} = 4(4k^{2} + 6k + 2) + 1$$

In this case, one can take

$$q = \boxed{4k^2 + 6k + 2} \quad \text{and} \quad r = \boxed{1}.$$

Thus, no matter what the remainder is when a is divided by 4, we deduce that the remainder when  $a^2$  is divided by 4 is either 0 or 1. This completes the proof.

(b) Assume that N = 3420392835475334299902849348202261018908732920143 is the sum of two squares. Then  $N = a^2 + b^2$  for some integers *a* and *b*. Observe that

$$N = 3420392835475334299902849348202261018908732920143$$
  
= 34203928354753342999028493482022610189087329201 × 100 + 43.

In other words, there is an integer m such that N = 100m + 43. Since 100m + 43 = 4(25m + 10) + 3, there is an integer k (namely, k = 25m + 10) such that N = 4k + 3. By part (a), we know that the remainder when we divide  $a^2$  or  $b^2$  by 4 is in each case either 0 or 1. Hence,  $a^2 = 4q_1 + r_1$  and  $b^2 = 4q_2 + r_2$  for some integers  $q_1, q_2, r_1$ , and  $r_2$  with each of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  either 0 or 1. Since  $N = a^2 + b^2$ , we deduce that

$$0 = N - (a^2 + b^2) = (4k + 3) - (4q_1 + r_1 + 4q_2 + r_2) = 4(k - q_1 - q_2) + (3 - r_1 - r_2).$$

Thus,

$$3 - r_1 - r_2 = 4(-k + q_1 + q_2).$$

In other words,  $3 - r_1 - r_2$  is a multiple of 4. Each of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  is either 0 or 1 so that the only possible values for  $3 - r_1 - r_2$  are 3 - 0 - 0 = 3, 3 - 0 - 1 = 2, 3 - 1 - 0 = 2, and 3 - 1 - 1 = 1. Since none of these values (3, 2, and 1) is a multiple of 4, we have a contradiction. Therefore, N is not the sum of two squares.

**Comment:** The same argument works for any integer N which has a remainder of 3 when divided by 4. There are other numbers, like 21, which do not have this property and are not the sum of two squares.