Diameter of 4-Colourable Graphs

E. Czabarka, University of South Carolina, czabarka@math.sc.edu P. Dankelmann, University of KwaZulu-Natal, dankelma@ukzn.ac.za L. A. Székely, University of South Carolina, szekely@math.sc.edu

September 23, 2008

Abstract

We prove that for every connected 4-colourable graph G of order n and minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$, diam $(G) \leq \frac{5n}{2\delta} - 1$. This is a first step toward proving a conjecture of Erdős, Pach, Pollack and Tuza [4] from 1989.

1 Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a simple, finite, connected graph on n vertices, with minimum degree $\delta \geq 2$ and diameter diam(G). The natural problem of bounding the diameter of a graph in terms of its order and minimum degree was solved by several authors [5, 4, 6, 7], who independently proved that, for fixed $\delta \geq 2$ and large n,

$$\operatorname{diam}(G) \le \frac{3n}{\delta + 1} + O(1). \tag{1}$$

In 1989, Erdős, Pach, Pollack, and Tuza [4] showed that this upper bound on the diameter can be improved if G is triangle-free, or if G does not contain a 4-cycle. Their results were extended in [1] to graphs not containing a subgraph isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,s}$, for $s \ge 2$, and in [2] to graphs not containing a complete subgraph $K_{3,3}$.

In the same paper [4], Erdős, Pach, Pollack, and Tuza also conjectured that the upper bound (1) can be improved further if G does not contain a large complete subgraph K_k :

Conjecture 1 Let $r, \delta \geq 2$ be fixed integers and let G be a connected graph with n vertices and minimum degree δ .

(i) If G is K_{2r} -free and δ is a multiple of (r-1)(3r+2) then, for large n,

diam(G)
$$\leq \frac{2(r-1)(3r+2)}{(2r^2-1)\delta}n + O(1)$$

(ii) If G is K_{2r+1} -free and δ is a multiple of 3r-1, then, for large n,

$$\operatorname{diam}(G) \le \frac{3r-1}{r\delta}n + O(1).$$

^{*}This author was supported in part by the NSF DMS contract 0701 1111.

They also constructed graphs showing that, if the above bounds hold, then they are sharp, apart from an additive constant. For r = 2, which is relevant for our paper, the graph construction is the following. Let X_i and Y_i be disjoint sets of vertices, such that $|X_0| = |Y_0| = 3\delta/5 = |X_d| = |Y_d|$ and for 0 < i < d, $|X_i| = |Y_i| = \delta/5$; and join vertices of X_i to the vertices of Y_i , and vertices of $X_i \cup Y_i$ to vertices of $X_{i-1} \cup Y_{i-1}$ and $X_{i+1} \cup Y_{i+1}$

So far, no progress on the above conjecture, even for specific values of r, has been reported. In this paper, we consider a slight weakening of the above conjecture for K_5 -free graphs. We show that the conjecture holds for all $\delta \geq 1$ under the somewhat stronger assumption that G is 4-colourable.

2 Proof of theorem

Custom-taylored Bonferroni-type inequalities have a large literature, see [3]. The following variant will be central to our proof.

Lemma 1 Let $\{A_i \mid i = 1, 2, ..., d\}$ be a finite set system. If no element of $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ is contained in more than 4 sets among the A_i , then

$$3|\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} A_i| \ge 2\sum_{1 \le i \le d} |A_i| - \sum_{1 \le i,j \le d} |A_i \cap A_j| + \sum_{1 \le i < j < k < l \le d} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k \cap A_l|.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$. Then x contributes exactly 3 to the left hand side of the above inequality. If x is in p sets A_i then x contributes $2p - \binom{p}{2} + \binom{p}{4}$ to the right hand side, which for $0 \le p \le 4$ is at most 3. Summing this over all x yields the lemma. \Box

We use standard notation. Specifically, we denote the vertex set and the edge set of a graph by V and E, respectively. The neighbourhood of a vertex v is denoted by $N_G(v)$. If $P = v_1 v_2, \ldots v_k$ is a sequence of vertices, and v_0, v_{k+1} are two further vertices, then we denote the extended sequence $v_0 v_1 \ldots v_k v_{k+1}$ by $v_0 P v_{k+1}$.

Theorem 1 For every connected 4-colourable graph G of order n and minimum degree $\delta \geq 1$,

$$\operatorname{diam}(G) \le \frac{5n}{2\delta} - 1.$$

Proof. Let d := diam(G). We can assume that G is edge-maximal, i.e., addition of any edge decreases the diameter or increases the chromatic number. It suffices to show that there exists a sequence of vertices $P = \alpha_0 \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_d$ of G such that, with $A_i := N_G(a_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, we have

$$\sum_{0 \le i < j \le d} |A_i \cap A_j| - \sum_{0 \le i < j < k < l \le d} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k \cap A_l| \le 2n.$$

$$\tag{2}$$

since then, by $|A_i| \ge \delta$ and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} 3n &\geq 3 | \bigcup_{i=0}^{d} A_i | \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{d} |A_i| - \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} |A_i \cap A_j| + \sum_{0 \leq i < j < k < l \leq d} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k \cap A_l| \\ &\geq 2(d+1)\delta - 2n, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $d \leq \frac{5n}{2\delta} - 1$, as desired.

For a subset V' of V we define g(P, V') to be the contribution of V' to the right hand side of (2), i.e.,

$$g(P,V') = \sum_{0 \le i < j \le d} |A_i \cap A_j \cap V'| - \sum_{0 \le i < j < k < l \le d} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k \cap A_l \cap V'|.$$

So equation (2) becomes $g(P, V) \leq 2n$. Often we need only the first sum of the right hand side above, so we also let

$$f(P,V') = \sum_{0 \le i < j \le d} |A_i \cap A_j \cap V'|.$$

Note that $g(P, V') \leq f(P, V')$. Let u and v be two vertices at distance d, let V_i be the set of all vertices at distance i from u, and for $i \leq j$ let $V_{i,j} := V_i \cup V_{i+1} \cup \ldots \cup V_j$. Denote by χ_i the number of colours that occur in V_i . Note that $\chi_i = 1$ implies $\chi_{i+1} \leq 3$ since no vertex of V_{i+1} can have the colour of the vertices in V_i . Note that all vertices in V_i of the same colour have the same neighbourhood by the assumption on edge-maximality.

Consider the sequence $C = \chi_0 \chi_1 \dots \chi_d$. We will provide an algorithm that shows that there exist integers $0 = c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_t = d + 1$ such that, if we let $r = c_i$ and $s = c_{i+1} - 1$, each of the t - 1 segments $S_i = \chi_r \chi_{r+1} \chi_{r+2} \dots \chi_s$ is of one of the 4 types described below. (For shortness, we sometimes also say that $V_{r,s}$ is the corresponding type as well.)

- Type 1: $\chi_r = \chi_{r+1} = \ldots = \chi_s = 1, s \ge r;$
- Type 2: $\chi_r \ge 1$ and $\chi_{r+1}, \chi_{r+2}, \dots, \chi_s \ge 2, s \ge r+1$. If s < d then $\chi_{s+1} = 1$. If $\chi_r > 1$, then $r \ge 1$ and $\chi_{r-1} = 1$. If s = r+1 then $(\chi_r, \chi_{r+1}) \ne (1,3)$;
- Type 3: s r is even and positive; $\chi_r = \chi_{r+2} = \chi_{r+4} = ... = \chi_s = 1$ and $\chi_{r+1} = 3$ and $\chi_{r+3}, \chi_{r+5}, ..., \chi_{s-1} \ge 2$;
- Type 4: $s = r = d, \chi_r \ge 2$ and $\chi_{r-1} = 1$.

During the algorithm we will consider the sequence $\chi_a \chi_{a+1} \dots \chi_b$ that still needs to be processed with $a \leq b$; initially a = 0 and b = d. The preliminary step decides whether a sequence of type 4 will be used at the end, and the final step will take care of processing the c_i 's for the type 4 sequence. After the preliminary step $V_{a,b}$ will have the property that $\chi_a = 1, b = d$ or b = d - 1 depending on the existence of a type 4 sequence, and if $\chi_b \neq 1$ then $\chi_{b-1} \neq 1$. This property will be maintained during the processing step, where there only the value of a is changed. By contraposition, in the processing step the set $V_{a,b}$ must satisfy the conditions that if $\chi_{b-1} = 1$ then $\chi_b = 1$. Some remarks that may be necessary to see the correctness of the algorithm are included between // dividers and set in italic.

The description of the algorithm is self-explanatory:

PRELIMINARY STEP: $a \leftarrow 0$; $c_1 \leftarrow 0$; $m \leftarrow 2$; DONE \leftarrow FALSE; IF $(\chi_d > 1 \text{ and } \chi_{d-1} = 1)$ THEN $\{b \leftarrow d-1\} // This means \chi_d will be type 4.//$

PROCESSING STEP: REPEAT UNTIL DONE=TRUE

{IF (a = b or $\chi_{a+1} = 1$) // Removal of type 1 sequence.// Let c_m be the largest integer such that for all i : a \leq i \leq c_m we HAVE $\chi_i = 1$; //Clearly $c_m - 1 \ge a$ or $c_m = a = b$.// IF $c_m = b$ THEN { $c_m \leftarrow b+1$; DONE \leftarrow TRUE} // $V_{a,b}$ will be type 1.// ELSE // V_{a,c_m-1} will be type 1, $\chi_{c_m} = 1.// \{a \leftarrow c_m; m \leftarrow m+1\}$ } ELSEIF ($\chi_{a+1} \neq 3$ OR $\chi_{a+2} \neq 1$) // $\chi_{a+1} > 1$; removal of type 2 sequence.// IF $(\chi_i \neq 1 \text{ FOR ALL } i : a < i \le b)$ THEN $\{c_m \leftarrow b + 1; \text{ DONE} \leftarrow \text{TRUE}\}$ $// V_{a,b}$ will be type 2.// ELSE // now some χ_i is 1.// {LET c_m BE THE LEAST INTEGER SUCH THAT ($c_m > a$ AND $\chi_{c_m} = 1$); $// V_{a,c_m-1}$ will be type 2, $\chi_{c_m} = 1.//$ $a \leftarrow c_m; m \leftarrow m+1$ ELSE // Now $\chi_{a+1} = 3$ and $\chi_{a+2} = 1$; removal of type 3 sequence.// {SET k TO THE LARGEST INTEGER SUCH THAT FOR ALL i : 1 < i < k we have $(\chi_{a+2i} = 1 \text{ AND } \chi_{a+2i-1} > 1); \ c_m \leftarrow a + 2k + 1; // \ Clearly \ k \ge 1.//$ IF $c_m = b + 1$ THEN DONE \leftarrow TRUE // $V_{a,b}$ will be type 3.// ELSE // $V_{a,a+2k} = V_{a,c_m-1}$ will be type 3; but χ_{c_m} may not be 1.// IF ($\chi_i = 1$ FOR SOME $i : c_m \le i \le b$) (SET w TO THE LEAST INTEGER SUCH THAT ($w \ge c_m$ and $\chi_w = 1$); // Clearly $w \neq c_m + 1$, as this would contradict the maximality of k.// IF $w = c_m$ THEN $\{a \leftarrow c_m; m \leftarrow m+1\}$ // continue as $\chi_{c_m} = 1.//$ ELSE $//\chi_{c_m} \neq 1$, $V_{c_m,w-1}$ will be type 2 since $w > c_m + 2$, $\chi_w = 1.//$ $\{c_{m+1} \leftarrow w; a \leftarrow c_{m+1}; m \leftarrow m+2\}$ } ELSE DONE \leftarrow TRUE //In this case there are no more 1's among the χ_i 's. From $\chi_{c_m-1} = 1$, we get $b - 1 > c_m - 1$ and $V_{c_m,b}$ is type 2.// $// End of case \chi_{a+1} = 3 and \chi_{a+2} = 1. //$ } // End of repeat loop.//

FINAL STEP: IF $c_m = d + 1$ THEN $\{t \leftarrow m\}$ ELSE $\{t \leftarrow m + 1; c_t \leftarrow d + 1\}$

Consider a segment $S_i = \chi_r \chi_{r+1} \dots \chi_s$ of C of type 1, 2, 3, or 4. If r > 0, let $\beta_{r-1} \in V_{0,r-1}$ be arbitrarily fixed. We will show that for this arbitrarily fixed choice of β_{r-1} (if such a choice was made) there exists a sequence of vertices $P_{r,s} = \alpha_r \alpha_{r+1} \dots \alpha_s$ such that

Property (i): V_r (V_s) contains no vertex of $P_{r,s}$, except possibly α_r (α_s),

Property (ii):

(a) If r > 0 and s < d then for all $\beta_{s+1} \in V_{s+1,d}$ using $P' = \beta_{r-1}P_{r,s}\beta_{s+1}$ we have

 $g(P', V_{r,s}) \le 2|V_{r,s}|.$

(b) If r > 0 and s = d then using $P' = \beta_{r-1}P_{r,s}$ we have $g(P', V_{r,s}) \le 2|V_{r,s}|$.

(a) If r = 0 and s < d then for all $\beta_{s+1} \in V_{s+1,d}$ using $P' = P_{r,s}\beta_{s+1}$ we have

$$g(P', V_{r,s}) \le 2|V_{r,s}|.$$

(d) If r = 0 and s = d then $g(P, V_{r,s}) \le 2|V_{r,s}|$.

If such sequence selections can indeed be made, we will achieve our goal because of the following. Recall that C is subdivided into t-1 segments, with the *i*th segment being $S_i = \chi_{c_i} \chi_{c_i+1} \chi_{c_i+2} \dots \chi_{c_{i+1}-1}$.

Since $c_1 = 0$, we can choose P_{c_1,c_2-1} according to properties (i)-(ii). Once the sequence $P_{c_{i-1},c_{i-1}}$ has been chosen for some i: 2 < i < t, choose the sequence $P_{c_i,c_{i+1}-1}$ for $\beta_{c_i-1} = \alpha_{c_i-1}$ according to properties (i) and (ii).

The sequence $P = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d = P_{c_1, c_2-1} P_{c_2, c_3-1} \ldots P_{c_{t-1}, c_t-1}$ is constructed by concatenating the sequences $P_{c_i, c_{i+1}-1}$ in order.

Now if $c_i > 0$ and $c_{i+1} \le d$ (i.e. 1 < i < t-1), then by properties (i) and (ii) and the fact that the value of $g(\cdot, V_{r,s})$ is uneffected by any vertices not in $V_{r-1,s+1}$, we have that

$$g(P, V_{c_i, c_{i+1}-1}) = g(\alpha_{c_i-1}P_{c_i, c_{i+1}-1}\alpha_{c_i+1}, V_{c_i, c_{i+1}-1}) \le 2|V_{c_i, c_{i+1}-1}|$$

Similarly, we get that $g(P, V_{c_i, c_{i+1}-1}) \leq 2|V_{c_i, c_{i+1}-1}|$ for all $i: 1 \leq i \leq t$. Therefore

$$g(P,V) = \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} g(P, V_{c_i, c_{i+1}-1}) \le \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} 2|V_{c_i, c_{i+1}-1}| = 2|V|,$$

as desired.

So what remains to show is that for each segment $S_i = \chi_r \dots \chi_s$ of type 1,2,3 or 4 we can choose the appropriate sequence $P_{r,s}$ satisfying properties (i)-(ii). We have already remarked that the value of $g(\cdot, V_{r,s})$ is uneffected by any vertices not in $V_{r-1,s+1}$, and therefore it is enough to assume that $\beta_{r-1} \in V_{r-1}$ and $\beta_{s+1} \in V_{s+1}$ instead of $\beta_{r-1} \in V_{0,r-1}$ and $\beta_{s+1} \in V_{s+1,d}$ in the proof of property (ii).

If r > 0, fix $\beta_{r-1} \in V_{r-1}$ arbitrarily. We consider each type of segment separately. We will use $a_{r-1} = \beta_{r-1}$ and $a_{s+1} = \beta_{s+1}$ for ease of notation below.

TYPE 1: For i = r, r + 1, ..., s choose a vertex $a_i \in V_i$ arbitrarily and let $P_{r,s} = a_r, a_{r+1}, ..., a_s$ (so $\alpha_i = a_i$). Clearly, $P_{r,s}$ satisfies property (i) above. First assume that r > 0 and s < d. Choose $a_{s+1} \in V_{s+1}$ arbitrarily and let $P' = a_{r-1}, P_{r,s}, a_{s+1}$. Since for $i \in \{r, r + 1, ..., s\}$ the distance layer V_i has only one colour class,

$$\begin{aligned} f(P',V_i) &= |N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_i) \cap V_i| + |N(a_i) \cap N(a_{i+1}) \cap V_i| + |N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_{i+1}) \cap V_i| \\ &\leq 0 + 0 + |V_i|, \text{ and} \\ f(P',V_{r,s}) &= \sum_{i=r}^s f(P',V_i) \le \sum_{i=r}^s |V_i| \le |V_{r,s}|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g(P', V_{r,s}) \leq f(P', V_{r,s}) \leq |V_{r,s}|$, independently of the choice of β_{s+1} , and so $P_{r,s}$ satisfies property (ii) as well.

If r = 0 < s < d then $P' = P_{r,s}, a_{s+1}$, and the above estimate only changes when i = 0; and $f(P', V_0) = |N(a_i) \cap N(a_{i+1}) \cap V_i| = 0$. It is easy to see that the statement works in all other cases $(0 < r \le s < d \text{ or } 0 = r \text{ and } s = d)$ as well. TYPE 2: For $i = r, \ldots, s$ choose one vertex each from the largest two colour classes of V_i . (If $\chi_r = 1$ then we choose a vertex of V_r twice.) By edge maximality the graph induced by these vertices contains two geodesics $P_{r,s} = a_r, a_{r+1}, \ldots, a_s$ and $Q_{r,s} = b_r, b_{r+1}, \ldots, b_s$ from V_r to V_s that are vertex disjoint, except possibly for the first vertex. Clearly, $P_{r,s}$ and $Q_{r,s}$ satisfy property (i) above.

Assume first that 0 < r and s < d. Let $a_{s+1} \in V_{s+1}$ be arbitrary. Note that by $\chi_{s+1} = 1$ all vertices in V_{s+1} have the same neighbours. Therefore, what follows is independent of the choice of a_{s+1} . Let $P' = a_{r-1}P_{r,s}a_{s+1}$ and $Q' = a_{r-1}Q_{r,s}a_{s+1}$. We show that for each $i: r \leq i \leq s$

$$f(P', V_i) + f(Q', V_i) \le 4|V_i|.$$
 (3)

For ease of notation $b_{r-1} = a_{r-1}$ and $b_{s+1} = a_{s+1}$. Consider a distance layer V_i , $r \leq i \leq s$. For j = 1, 2, 3, 4 let x_j be the number of vertices of colour j in V_i . We can assume w.l.o.g. that $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4$, and that a_i and b_i have colour 1 and 2, respectively. Let $a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}$ have colour j, k, l, m, respectively.

Assume first that $\chi_i > 1$. Then a_{i-1} is adjacent to a_i and b_{i-1} is adjacent to b_i (by construction if i > r and by the fact that $\chi_r > 1$ implies $\chi_{i-1} = 1$ if i = r), so $j \neq 1$ and $k \neq 2$. If a_i (or b_i) is not adjacent to a_{i+1} (b_{i+1}), then we must have i = s, which implies that $a_{i+1} = b_{i+1}$ so l = m.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(P',V_i) &= |N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_i) \cap V_i| + |N(a_i) \cap N(a_{i+1}) \cap V_i| + |N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_{i+1}) \cap V_i| \\ &= (|V_i| - x_1 - x_j) + |N(a_i) \cap N(a_{i+1}) \cap V_i| + |N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_{i+1}) \cap V_i| \end{aligned}$$

If in addition $j \neq l$, then $|N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_{i+1}) \cap V_i| = |V_i| - x_j - x_l$.

The following 3 cases might occur: $j \neq l \neq 1$, $j \neq l = 1$ (in which case i = s and l = m = 1) and j = l.

If $j \neq l \neq 1$ then $x_j + x_l \ge x_3 + x_4$ and so

$$f(P', V_i) = (|V_i| - x_1 - x_j) + (|V_i| - x_1 - x_l) + (|V_i| - x_j - x_l)$$

= $3|V_i| - 2(x_1 + x_j + x_l) \le 3|V_i| - 2(x_1 + x_3 + x_4)$

If $j \neq l = 1$ then

$$f(P', V_i) = (|V_i| - x_1 - x_j) + (|V_i| - x_1) + (|V_i| - x_j - x_1)$$

= $3|V_i| - (3x_1 + 2x_j) \le 3|V_i| - 3x_1$

If j = l then

$$f(P', V_i) \leq (|V_i| - x_1 - x_j) + (|V_i| - x_1 - x_j) + (|V_i| - x_j) = 3|V_i| - (2x_1 + 3x_j)$$

In summary, we have for P' (and similarly for Q') that

$$f(P', V_i) \leq \begin{cases} 3|V_i| - 2(x_1 + x_3 + x_4), & \text{if } j \neq l \neq 1\\ 3|V_i| - 3x_1 & \text{if } j \neq l = 1\\ 3|V_i| - (2x_1 + 3x_j), & \text{if } j = l \end{cases}$$

$$f(Q', V_i) \leq \begin{cases} 3|V_i| - 2(x_2 + x_3 + x_4), & \text{if } k \neq m \neq 2\\ 3|V_i| - 3x_2, & \text{if } k \neq m = 2\\ 3|V_i| - (2x_2 + 3x_k), & \text{if } k = m \end{cases}$$

Since we always have $f(Q', V_i) \leq 3|V_i| - 2x_2$, for $j \neq l \neq 1$ we get

$$f(P', V_i) + f(Q', V_i) \leq 3|V_i| - 2(x_1 + x_3 + x_4) + 3|V_i| - 2x_2 = 4|V_i|,$$

as claimed. This statement follows similarly if $k \neq m \neq 2$.

If $j \neq l = 1$, then l = m = 1. Therefore we are done when $k \neq m$, so we may assume that k = m = 1. Using $6x_1 \ge 2(x_1 + x_3 + x_4)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(P',V_i) + f(Q',V_i) &\leq 3|V_i| - 3x_1 + 3|V_i| - (2x_2 + 3x_1) \leq 6|V_i| - (6x_1 + 2x_2) \\ &\leq 6|V_i| - 2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) = 4|V_i|, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. A similar logic works when $k \neq m = 2$.

So the only case that still needs to be examined is j = l and k = m, when

 $f(P', V_i) + f(Q', V_i) \leq 6|V_i| - 2(x_1 + x_2 + x_j + x_k)$

If $j \neq k$ then, as before, $x_j + x_k \geq x_3 + x_4$ and we get that $f(P', V_i) + f(Q', V_i) \leq 4|V_i|$. If j = k, then we must have $\chi_{i-1} = \chi_{i+1} = 1$, which implies that i = s = r + 1. Since the sequence is type 2, this must mean that $\chi_i = 2$, so $x_3 = x_4 = 0$ and $|V_i| = x_1 + x_2$. Therefore in this case also $f(P', V_i) + f(Q', V_i) \leq 4|V_i|$, as claimed.

So the statement is true when $\chi_i > 1$. In the case when $\chi_i = 1$ (and so i = r) we get $f(P', V_i) + f(Q', V_i) \le 2|V_i|$, as before.

If r = 0 or s = d then the corresponding estimates for $f(P', V_r)$, $f(Q', V_r)$, $f(P', V_s)$ and $f(Q', V_s)$ can only decrease.

We can assume, without loss of generality, that $f(P', V_{r,s}) \leq f(Q', V_{r,s})$ and thus $g(P', V_{r,s}) \leq f(P', V_{r,s}) \leq 2|V_{r,s}|$. Hence $P_{r,s}$ satisfies property (i) and property (ii), as desired.

TYPE 3: We can assume that, possibly after recolouring, the vertices in $V_r \cup V_{r+2} \cup V_{r+4} \cup \ldots \cup V_s$ all have the same colour and that this colour does not occur inbetween. We consider two cases, depending on whether s = r + 2 or $s \ge r + 4$. Initially, we consider s < d only. Let $a_{s+1} \in V_{s+1}$ be arbitrary. Note that since $\chi_s = 1$, any member of V_s is adjacent to a_{s+1} .

Case 1: $s \ge r+4$.

For i = r + 1, r + 3, r + 5, ..., s - 1 let $a_i^1, a_i^2 \in V_i$ be vertices that belong to the largest and second largest, respectively, colour class of V_i , and for i = r, r + 2, r + 4, ..., s let $a_i \in V_i$. Define the following sequences of vertices, each with s - r + 1 vertices:

$$P_{r,s} = a_r a_{r+1}^1 a_{r+2} a_{r+3}^1 a_{r+4} a_{r+5} a_{r+6} \dots a_{s-1} a_{s-1}^1 a_s,$$

$$Q_{r,s} = a_r a_{r+1}^1 a_{r+1}^2 a_{r+3}^1 a_{r+3}^2 a_{r+5}^1 a_{r+5}^2 \dots a_{s-2}^2 a_{s-1}^1 a_{s-1}^2,$$

$$R_{r,s} = a_{r+1}^1 a_{r+1}^2 a_{r+3}^1 a_{r+3}^2 a_{r+5}^1 a_{r+5}^2 a_{r+5}^1 \dots a_{s-1}^1 a_{s-1}^2 a_s.$$

Clearly, $P_{r,s}$, $Q_{r,s}$ and $R_{r,s}$ have the required length and satisfy property (i) above.

Let $P' = a_{r-1}, P_{r,s}, a_{s+1}, Q' = a_{r-1}, Q_{r,s}, a_{s+1}$, and $R' = a_{r-1}, R_{r,s}, a_{s+1}$. We first consider $f(P', V_{r,s})$. Let $i \in \{r, r+1, r+2, \ldots, s\}$ with $\chi_i = 3$. So $a_{i-1} \in V_{i-1}, a_i^1 \in V_i$, and $a_{i+1} \in V_{i+1}$. Now $N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_i^1) \cap V_i$ and $N(a_i^1) \cap N(a_{i+1}) \cap V_i$ are contained in the union of the two smallest colour classes of V_i and thus have at most $\frac{2}{3}|V_i|$ vertices each, while $N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_{i+1}) \cap V_i$ has at most $|V_i|$ vertices each. Hence $f(P', V_i) \leq \frac{7}{3}|V_i|$ if $\chi_i = 3$. If $\chi_i = 2$, then similar considerations show that $f(P', V_i) \leq 2|V_i|$, while $\chi_i = 1$ implies that $f(P', V_i) \leq |V_i|$, even when i = r and perhaps r = 0. Hence,

$$f(P', V_{r,s}) \le (|V_r| + |V_{r+2}| + |V_{r+4}| + \ldots + |V_s|) + \frac{7}{3}(|V_{r+1}| + |V_{r+3}| + |V_{r+5}| + \ldots + |V_{s-1}|).$$

Now consider Q'. First let i = r + 1, so $\chi_i = 3$. Then $N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_i^1) \cap V_i$ and $N(a_{i-1}) \cap N(a_i^2) \cap V_i$ do not contain vertices in the largest and second largest colour class, respectively, of V_i , while $N(a_i^1) \cap N(a_i^2) \cap V_i$ does not contain vertices in the two largest colour classes of V_i . Hence $f(Q', V_i) \leq \frac{5}{3}|V_i|$ for i = r + 1. (Were $\chi_i = 2$, we would have got $f(Q', V_i) \leq |V_i|$ —this is an estimate that we will need for R' later.) Similarly we obtain for $i = r + 3, r + 5, \ldots, s - 1$ that $f(Q', V_i) = |N(a_i^1) \cap N(a_i^2) \cap V_i|$; therefore in this case $f(Q', V_i) \leq \frac{1}{3}|V_i|$ if $\chi_i = 3$ and $f(Q', V_i) = 0$ if $\chi_i = 2$. It is easy to see that $f(Q', V_r) \leq 3|V_r|$ and $f(Q', V_s) \leq 3|V_s|$. For $i = r + 2, r + 4, r + 6, \ldots, s - 2$ each vertex of V_i is in the neighbourhood of exactly four vertices, $a_{i-1}^1, a_{i-1}^2, a_{i+1}^1$ and a_{i+1}^2 . Hence $f(Q', V_i) = \binom{4}{2}|V_i| = 6|V_i|$ and $g(Q', V_i) = 5|V_i|$. In total

$$g(Q', V_{r,s}) \leq 3(|V_r| + |V_s|) + \frac{5}{3}|V_{r+1}| + \frac{1}{3}(|V_{r+3}| + |V_{r+5}| + |V_{r+7}| + \dots + |V_{s-1}|) + 5(|V_{r+2}| + |V_{r+4}| + |V_{r+6}| + \dots + |V_{s-2}|).$$

Similarly we obtain

$$g(R', V_{r,s}) \leq 3(|V_r| + |V_s|) + \frac{5}{3}|V_{s-1}| + \frac{1}{3}(|V_{r+1}| + |V_{r+3}| + |V_{r+5}| + \dots + |V_{s-3}|) + 5(|V_{r+2}| + |V_{r+4}| + |V_{r+6}| + \dots + |V_{s-2}|).$$

Note that each of the above three inequalities hold irrespective of the choice of a_{s+1} . moreover, they also hold when s = d. Now consider the weighted average of $g(P', V_{r,s})$ (counted six times) and $g(Q', V_{r,s})$ and $g(R', V_{r,s})$ (counted once each). By the above

$$\begin{aligned} 6g(P',V_{r,s}) + g(Q',V_{r,s}) + g(R',V_{r,s}) \\ &\leq 12(|V_r| + |V_s|) + 16(|V_{r+2}| + |V_{r+4}| + |V_{r+6}| + \ldots + |V_{s-2}|) \\ &\quad + 16(|V_{r+1}| + |V_{s-1}|) + \frac{44}{3}(|V_{r+3}| + |V_{r+5}| + |V_{r+7}| + \ldots + |V_{s-3}|) \\ &\leq 16|V_{r,s}|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence at least one of $P_{r,s}$, $Q_{r,s}$ and $R_{r,s}$ satisfies also property (ii) above, as desired. Case 2: s = r + 2.

Then $(\chi_r, \chi_{r+1}, \chi_{r+2}) = (1, 3, 1)$. Choose vertices $a_r \in V_r$, $a_{r+2} \in V_{r+2}$ and $a_{r+1}^1, a_{r+1}^2 \in V_{r+1}$ from the largest and the second largest colour class in V_{r+1} , respectively. Let $P_{r,s} = a_r a_{r+1}^1 a_{r+2}$ and $Q_{r,s} = a_r a_{r+1}^1 a_{r+1}^2$. Clearly, $P_{r,s}$ and $Q_{r,s}$ satisfy property (i) above. Let $a_{s+1} \in V_{s+1}$ be arbitrary and let $P' = a_{r-1} P_{r,s} a_{s+1}$ and $Q' = a_{r-1} Q_{r,s} a_{s+1}$. Then

$$f(P', V_{r,r+2}) \leq |V_r| + \frac{7}{3}|V_{r+1}| + |V_{r+2}|,$$

$$f(Q', V_{r,r+2}) \leq 3|V_r| + \frac{5}{3}|V_{r+1}| + 3|V_{r+2}|,$$

irrespective of the choice of a_{s+1} . Adding these two inequalities yields

$$f(P', V_{r,r+2}) + f(Q', V_{r,r+2}) \le 4|V_r| + 4|V_{r+1}| + 4|V_{r+2}|,$$

and so $f(P', V_{r,r+2}) \leq 2|V_{r,r+2}|$ for all $a_{s+1} \in V_{s+1}$ or $f(Q', V_{r,r+2}) \leq 2|V_{r,r+2}|$ for all $a_{s+1} \in V_{s+1}$. Hence at least one of $P_{r,s}$ and $Q_{r,s}$ satisfies also property (ii).

TYPE 4: In this case r = s = d > r Choose a vertex $\alpha_r \in V_r$ arbitrarily and set $P = \alpha_r$. Since $\chi_{r-1} = 1$, we must have β_{r-1} adjacent to α_r and therefore $g(\beta_{r-1}P, V_r) \leq f(\beta_{r-1}P, V_r) = 0$.

We remark that there appears to be no straightforward generalisation of the proof of Theorem 1 to 2k-colourable graphs. However, we expect that the methods presented in this proof points a way towards a possible proof of such a generalisation.

Acknowledgement The authors would like to thank Wayne Goddard for very helpful discussions on the topic of the paper.

References

- [1] P. Dankelmann, G. Dlamini, and H.C. Swart, Upper bounds on distance measures in $K_{2,l}$ -free graphs, Submitted.
- [2] P. Dankelmann, G. Dlamini, and H.C. Swart, Upper bounds on distance measures in $K_{3,3}$ -free graphs, Util. Math. **67** (2005), 205-221.
- [3] J. Galambos and I. Simonelli, Bonferroni-type inequalities with applications, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [4] P. Erdős, J. Pach, R. Pollack, and Z. Tuza, Radius, diameter, and minimum degree, J. Combin. Theory B 47 (1989), 279-285.
- [5] D. Amar, I. Fournier, and A. Germa, Odre minimum d'un graphe simple de diametre, degré minimum et connexité donnés, Ann. Discrete Math. 17 (1983), 7-10.
- [6] D. Goldsmith, B. Manvel, and V. Farber, A lower bound for the order of a graph in terms of the diameter and minimum degree, J. Combin. Inform. System Sciences 6 (1981), 315-319.
- [7] J.W. Moon, On the diameter of a graph, Mich. Math. J. 12 (1965), 349-351.