

THE DEVIATION TWO GORENSTEIN RINGS OF HUNEKE AND ULRICH

ANDREW R. KUSTIN

ABSTRACT. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a regular local ring, $n \geq 3$ be an integer, X be a $2n \times 2n$ alternating matrix with entries from \mathfrak{m} , Y be a $1 \times 2n$ matrix with entries from \mathfrak{m} , I be the ideal $I = I_1(YX) + \text{Pf}(X)$, and A be the quotient ring R/I . Assume that the grade of I is at least $2n - 1$. (In this case, I is a Gorenstein ideal of grade equal to $2n - 1$ and I is minimally generated by $2n + 1$ elements.) Assume, also, that either $\text{char } k = 0$, or else, $(n + 1)/2 \leq \text{char } k$. We prove that the Poincaré series $P_A^M(z)$, which is equal to $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim_k \text{Tor}_i^A(M, k)z^i$, is a rational function for all finitely generated A -modules M . As a consequence, we prove that if the projective dimension of M is infinite, then, eventually, the betti numbers of M form an increasing sequence with strong exponential growth.

Fix a commutative noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) and an integer n , with $3 \leq n$. Consider matrices $X_{2n \times 2n}$ and $Y_{1 \times 2n}$ with entries from \mathfrak{m} . Assume that X is an alternating matrix. The ideal $I = I_1(YX) + \text{Pf}(X)$ was first studied by Huneke and Ulrich in [14]. They showed that the grade of I is no more than $2n - 1$; furthermore, if the maximum possible grade is attained, then I is a perfect Gorenstein ideal of deviation two (that is, the minimal number of generators of I is 2 more than grade I). Huneke and Ulrich also investigated the linkage history of I . They found that I is in the linkage class of a complete intersection; indeed, in the generic case, I is linked to a hypersurface section of a grade $2n - 2$ almost complete intersection ideal $I' = I_1(Y'X')$ (where X' and Y' have shape $2n - 1 \times 2n - 1$ and $1 \times 2n - 1$, respectively, and X' is an alternating matrix); furthermore, I' is linked to a hypersurface section of a grade $2n - 3$ Gorenstein ideal $I'' = I_1(Y''X'') + \text{Pf}(X'')$ (where X'' and Y'' have shape $2n - 2 \times 2n - 2$ and $1 \times 2n - 2$, respectively, and X'' is an alternating matrix).

The minimal R -resolution \mathbb{M} of $A = R/I$ was found in [17]. Srinivasan [24] proved \mathbb{M} is a DGF-algebra; and therefore, the machinery of Avramov [1, 2, 5] may be used to convert many interesting and difficult questions about A into questions about the algebra $T_{\bullet} = \text{Tor}_{\bullet}^R(A, k)$. In particular, if R is a regular local ring, then $P_A^k(z) = P_R^k(z)P_{T_{\bullet}}^k(z)$, where $P_A^M(z)$ is the Poincaré series

$$P_A^M(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim_k \text{Tor}_i^A(M, k)z^i$$

of the A -module M . This philosophy has lead to some striking theorems in the case that a noetherian local ring A has small codimension or small linking number. If any one of the following conditions hold:

- (a) $\text{codim } A \leq 3$, or
- (b) $\text{codim } A = 4$ and A is Gorenstein, or
- (c) A is one link from a complete intersection, or
- (d) A is two links from a complete intersection and A is Gorenstein, or
- (e) A is an almost complete intersection of codimension four in which two is a unit,

Key words and phrases. Betti numbers, DGF-algebra, Golod algebra, Golod homomorphism, Gorenstein ideal, Poincaré series, Tor-algebra.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C40, 13D40, 13H10, 18G15.

The author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

then it is shown in [15, 6, 3, 20] that all of the following conclusions hold:

- (1) The Poincaré series $P_A^M(z)$ is a rational function for all finitely generated A -modules M .
- (2) The Eisenbud Conjecture [8] holds for the ring A . That is, if M is a finitely generated A -module whose betti numbers are bounded, then the minimal resolution of M eventually becomes periodic of period at most two.
- (3) If R contains the field of rational numbers, then the Herzog Conjecture [12] holds for the ring A . That is, the cotangent modules $T_i(A/R)$ vanish for all large i if and only if A is a complete intersection.

The study of the rationality of Poincaré series has a long and distinguished history; see [23] or the introduction to [6] for a brief synopsis. Gasharov and Peeva [9] found counterexamples to the Eisenbud Conjecture. Ulrich [26, 2.9 and 1.3] has proved the Herzog Conjecture when A is in the linkage class of a complete intersection; the conjecture remains open for arbitrary rings.

The main result in the present paper is Theorem 4.2, where we prove that conclusion (1) also holds under the hypothesis

- (f) (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Huneke-Ulrich, deviation two, Gorenstein ring, of codimension $2n - 1$, with either $\text{char } k = 0$, or $(n + 1)/2 \leq \text{char } k$.

A strong form of conclusion (2) for the rings in (f) is established in Corollary 4.3. The rings of (f) are all in the linkage class of a complete intersection; and therefore, conclusion (3) is already known to hold for them by [26]. Recently, (indeed after the first version of the present paper was completed), it was found (see [19]) that conclusion (1) also holds under the presence of hypothesis

- (g) (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Huneke-Ulrich almost complete intersection of codimension $2n$, with either $\text{char } k = 0$, or $(n + 2)/2 \leq \text{char } k$.

For all of the rings of (a) – (d) and most of the rings of (e), the proof of conclusion (1) has included the proof (see [6]) that there exists a complete intersection C and a Golod homomorphism $C \rightarrow A$. This statement is false for the rings of (f). (The obstruction $T_2^2 \not\subseteq T_1 T_3$ can be quickly read from the algebra $T_\bullet = \text{Tor}_\bullet^R(R/I, k)$.) The key new technique is supplied by [5].

Finally, it is worth noting that the Poincaré series $P_A^k(z)$ of a Huneke-Ulrich deviation two Gorenstein ring A depends on the characteristic of k . In particular, if $A = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(I_1(YX) + \text{Pf}(X))$, where the entries of X and Y are indeterminates, then there is no minimal graded A -free resolution of $\mathbb{Z} = \frac{A}{I_1(X) + I_1(Y)}$. This example must be included with the growing list of “determinantal type” modules whose minimal resolution is characteristic dependent; see, for example, [11] and [22].

Section 1 introduces some notation and conventions. Section 2 is concerned with the R -resolution of the Huneke-Ulrich, deviation two, Gorenstein ring $A = R/I$. We calculate $\text{Tor}_\bullet^R(A, k)$ in section 3. The statement and proof of the main theorem are contained in section 4.

1. Preliminary results.

If \mathbb{E} is a DGF-algebra and e is a homogeneous element of \mathbb{E} of odd degree, then $\mathbb{E}\langle X; dX = e \rangle$ represents the DGF-algebra $\bigoplus_{0 \leq \ell} \mathbb{E}X^{(\ell)}$. We adopt the convention that $X^{(\ell)} = 0$ in $\mathbb{E}\langle X \rangle$, for all $\ell < 0$. More information about divided power algebras may be found in [10].

We sometimes consider binomial coefficients with negative parameters; consequently, we now recall the standard definition and properties of these objects.

Definition 1.1. For integers i and m , the binomial coefficient $\binom{m}{i}$ is defined to be

$$\binom{m}{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{m(m-1) \cdots (m-i+1)}{i!} & \text{if } 0 < i, \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 = i, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 0. \end{cases}$$

Observation 1.2. (a) If $0 \leq m < i$, then $\binom{m}{i} = 0$.

(b) For all integers i and m ,

$$\binom{m}{i-1} + \binom{m}{i} = \binom{m+1}{i}.$$

(c) If i and m are integers with $0 \leq m$, then $\binom{m}{i} = \binom{m}{m-i}$.

(d) If i is a nonnegative integer, then $\binom{-1}{i} = (-1)^i$.

Lemma 1.3. Let A , B , and C be integers. If $0 \leq A$, then

$$(a) \quad \sum_{K \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^K \binom{B+K}{C+K} \binom{A}{K} = (-1)^A \binom{B}{A+C}, \text{ and}$$

$$(b) \quad \sum_{K \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^K \binom{B-K}{C-K} \binom{A}{K} = \binom{B-A}{C}.$$

Proof. The proof of (a) proceeds by induction on A . Replace K with $A - K$ in order to deduce (b) from (a). A complete proof appears in [18]. \square

2. The minimal algebra resolution.

Data 2.1. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, $n \geq 3$ be an integer, F be a free R -module of rank $2n$, $\varphi \in \bigwedge^2 F$, and $Y \in F^*$. Fix orientation elements $\xi \in \bigwedge^{2n} F^*$ and $\eta \in \bigwedge^{2n} F$ which are compatible in the sense that $\xi(\eta) = (-1)^n$. Let g be the element $Y(\varphi)$ of F , \mathfrak{p} be the element $\varphi^{(n)}(\xi)$ of R , I be the ideal $I_1(g) + (\mathfrak{p})$ of R and A be the quotient R/I . Assume that grade $I \geq 2n - 1$.

We use the divided power structure on $\bigwedge^\bullet F$, the $\bigwedge^\bullet F^*$ -module structure on $\bigwedge^\bullet F$, and the $\bigwedge^\bullet F$ -module structure on $\bigwedge^\bullet F^*$. In particular, if $\beta_p \in \bigwedge^p F$ and $\alpha_i \in \bigwedge^i F^*$, then

$$\beta_p(\alpha_i) \in \bigwedge^{i-p} F^* \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i(\beta_p) \in \bigwedge^{p-i} F.$$

More information about multilinear algebra and divided power algebra may be found in [7] or [10]. The ideal I of Data 2.1 is, of course, a coordinate free representation of the ideal I of the introduction. For future convenience, we make this identification explicit.

Note 2.2. Let e_1, \dots, e_{2n} be a basis for F and let $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{2n}$ be the corresponding dual basis for F^* . It is then natural to choose $\xi = \varepsilon_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varepsilon_{2n}$ and $\eta = e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{2n}$. Write $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} y_i \varepsilon_i$ and $\varphi = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} x_{ij} e_i \wedge e_j$. Let X be the alternating matrix whose entry in row i and column j is x_{ij} whenever $i < j$. It is now easy to see that $I_1(g)$ is generated by the entries of the product $[y_1, \dots, y_{2n}]X$, and \mathfrak{p} is $(-1)^n$ times the pfaffian of X .

The minimal R -resolution of A was found in [17]. Srinivasan [24] proved that this resolution is a DGF-algebra. In the present section we reformulate Srinivasan's work and give a new proof that the complex \mathbb{F} of Theorem 2.4 is acyclic.

Definition 2.3. Adopt Data 2.1. Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be the DGF-algebras

$$\mathbb{A} = \bigwedge^\bullet F^* \langle h \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{B} = \bigwedge^\bullet F \langle \lambda \rangle,$$

where $\bigwedge^\bullet F^*$ and $\bigwedge^\bullet F$ are exterior algebras and h and λ are divided power variables of degree two. The differential d on \mathbb{A} is given by $d|_{F^*} = g$ and $d(h) = Y$. The differential d on \mathbb{B} is given by $d|_F = Y$ and $d(\lambda) = g$.

Theorem 2.4. ([24]) *Adopt the notation of Definition 2.3.*

- (a) *There exists a map of complexes $v: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, such that the mapping cone (\mathbb{F}, f) of v is an R -resolution of A . In particular, the differential $f_t: \mathbb{F}_t = \mathbb{A}_t \oplus \mathbb{B}_{t-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{t-1} = \mathbb{A}_{t-1} \oplus \mathbb{B}_{t-2}$ is given by*

$$f_t = \begin{bmatrix} d_t & (-1)^{\frac{(t-1)(t-2)}{2}} v_{t-1} \\ 0 & (-1)^{t-1} d_{t-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (b) *There exists a right \mathbb{A} -module structure on \mathbb{B} such that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}[-1]$ is a DGT-algebra. In other words, the multiplication $\mathbb{F}_t \otimes \mathbb{F}_u \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{t+u}$ is given by*

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_t \\ b_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a_u \\ b_{u-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_t a_u \\ b_{t-1} a_u + (-1)^{tu} b_{u-1} a_t \end{bmatrix},$$

and the divided power structure on \mathbb{F} is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_t \\ b_{t-1} \end{bmatrix}^{(\ell)} = \begin{bmatrix} a_t^{(\ell)} \\ b_{t-1} a_t^{(\ell-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $a_i \in \mathbb{A}_i$, $b_i \in \mathbb{B}_i$, and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- (c) *The subcomplex*

$$\mathbb{M} = \left(\left[\sum_{i+j \leq n-1} \bigwedge^i F^* h^{(j)} \right] \oplus \left[\sum_{p+q \leq n-1} \bigwedge^p F \lambda^{(q)} \right] ; f|_{\mathbb{M}} \right)$$

is the minimal resolution of A .

- (d) *The resolution \mathbb{M} is a DGT-algebra and there is a projection $\pi: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ which is a homomorphism of DGT-algebras.*

Parts (a), (b), and (d) of Theorem 2.4 all guarantee the existence of certain maps. For the sake of completeness we record those maps here; however, the proof that these maps do what they are supposed to do is quite involved and may be found in [24]. (An alternate proof, which uses the same notation as is used here, but applies to a slightly different situation, may be found in [19].)

(2.5) The map $v_t: \mathbb{B}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_t$ is defined by

$$v_t \left(\beta_p \lambda^{(q)} \right) = (-1)^{p+n+1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j \binom{n-p-q+j-1}{q} \left(\varphi^{(n-p-q+j)} \wedge \beta_p \right) (\xi) h^{(j)}$$

for $\beta_p \in \bigwedge^p F$ and $p+2q=t$.

(2.6) Fix elements $\beta_p \in \bigwedge^p F$ and $\alpha_i \in \bigwedge^i F^*$. The \mathbb{A} -module structure on \mathbb{B} ,

$$\mathbb{B}_t \otimes \mathbb{A}_u \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{t+u},$$

is given by:

$$\left(\beta_p \lambda^{(q)} \right) \alpha_i = (-1)^{\frac{i(i+1)}{2}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^\ell \binom{q+i-1-\ell}{q} \alpha_i \left(\beta_p \wedge \varphi^{(q+i-\ell)} \right) \lambda^{(\ell)}$$

and

$$\left(\beta_p \lambda^{(q)} \right) h^{(j)} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{j+\ell} \binom{n+\ell-p-2q-1-j}{j} \binom{q+j-1-\ell}{q} \beta_p \wedge \varphi^{(q+j-\ell)} \lambda^{(\ell)}.$$

(2.7) Fix elements $\alpha_i \in \bigwedge^i F^*$ and $\beta_p \in \bigwedge^p F$ and fix integers j and q with $i + 2j = t$ and $p + 2q = t - 1$. Let $\text{proj}_t: \mathbb{F}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_t$ be the natural projection; that is,

$$\text{proj}_t \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i h^{(j)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i h^{(j)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } i + j \leq n - 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \leq i + j; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\text{proj}_t \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_p \lambda^{(q)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_p \lambda^{(q)} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } p + q \leq n - 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \leq p + q. \end{cases}$$

The map $\pi_t: \mathbb{F}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_t$ is defined by

$$\pi_t \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i h^{(j)} \\ \beta_p \lambda^{(q)} \end{bmatrix} = \text{proj}_t \left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i h^{(j)} \\ \beta_p \lambda^{(q)} \end{bmatrix} + (-1)^{\frac{t(t-1)}{2} + n} f_{t+1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_i(\eta) \lambda^{(i+j-n)} \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Observation 2.9 (together with the long exact sequence of homology associated to a mapping cone) gives a new proof of part (a) of Theorem 2.4. The proof of this result in [24] is “the exactness of \mathbb{F} follows once we identify it as the complex of [17].” Identifying the coordinate free complex \mathbb{F} with the coordinate dependent complex in [17] is thoroughly unpleasant; furthermore, the proof in [17] is quite awkward. The present proof is much more natural. Some of the arguments are simplified if we take the data of 2.1 to be generic; moreover, the ideal I is perfect so there is no loss of generality when we assume that

(2.8) R is the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_{2n}, \{x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 2n\}]$,

for y_i and x_{ij} as described in Note 2.2.

Observation 2.9. *Adopt the notation and hypotheses of Definition 2.3 and (2.8).*

(a) *The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(\mathbb{B}) \xrightarrow{v_0} H_0(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(b) *The homology of \mathbb{B}_+ is given by*

$$H_i(\mathbb{B}) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd, and} \\ \frac{R}{I_1(Y)}, & \text{if } i \geq 2 \text{ is even;} \end{cases}$$

furthermore, $H_{2\ell}(\mathbb{B})$ is generated by $[(\varphi - \lambda)^{(\ell)}]$.

(c) *The homology of \mathbb{A}_+ is given by*

$$H_i(\mathbb{A}) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd, and} \\ \frac{R}{I_1(Y)}, & \text{if } i \geq 2 \text{ is even;} \end{cases}$$

furthermore, $H_{2\ell}(\mathbb{A})$ is generated by $[z_{2\ell}]$, where

$$z_{2\ell} = \sum_{J=0}^{\ell} (-1)^J \varphi^{(n-\ell+J)}(\xi) h^{(J)} \in \mathbb{A}_{2\ell}.$$

(d) The map v induces an isomorphism $H_+(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow H_+(\mathbb{A})$; in particular,

$$v_{2\ell} \left((\varphi - \lambda)^{(\ell)} \right) = (-1)^{n+1} z_{2\ell}.$$

Proof. To prove (a) it suffices to show that $I_1(g) : \mathfrak{p} \subseteq I_1(Y)$; but this is clear because $I_1(Y)$ is a prime ideal. It is clear that $(\varphi - \lambda)^{(\ell)}$ is a cycle in the DGF-algebra \mathbb{B} , because

$$d((\varphi - \lambda)^{(\ell)}) = d(\varphi - \lambda)(\varphi - \lambda)^{(\ell-1)},$$

and $d(\varphi - \lambda) = Y(\varphi) - g = 0$. A straightforward calculation shows that $z_{2\ell}$ is a cycle in \mathbb{A} . Observe that

$$d(z_{2\ell}) = \sum_{J=0}^{\ell} (-1)^J \left[g \left(\varphi^{(n-\ell+J)}(\xi) \right) - \varphi^{(n-\ell+J+1)}(\xi) \wedge Y \right] h^{(J)}.$$

Let A be the fixed integer $n - \ell + J$. The module action of $\wedge^\bullet F$ on $\wedge^\bullet F^*$ gives

$$g \left(\varphi^{(A)}(\xi) \right) = [Y(\varphi)] \left(\varphi^{(A)}(\xi) \right) = \left(Y(\varphi) \wedge \varphi^{(A)} \right) (\xi) = \left(Y(\varphi^{(A+1)}) \right) (\xi).$$

Recall that the measuring identity [7, Proposition A.3]

$$(a(c))(b) = a \wedge c(b) + (-1)^{1+\deg c} c(a \wedge b)$$

holds for all homogeneous elements $c \in \wedge^\bullet F$ and $a, b \in \wedge^\bullet F^*$, with $\deg a = 1$. It follows that

$$g \left(\varphi^{(A)}(\xi) \right) = \left(Y(\varphi^{(A+1)}) \right) (\xi) = Y \wedge (\varphi^{(A+1)}(\xi));$$

and therefore, $d(z_{2\ell}) = 0$.

The proof of (b) follows from the fact that \mathbb{B} is the total complex of the following double complex:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \dots & \rightarrow & \wedge^2 F\lambda^{(2)} & \rightarrow & \wedge^1 F\lambda^{(2)} & \rightarrow & \wedge^0 F\lambda^{(2)} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & \wedge^3 F\lambda^{(1)} & \rightarrow & \wedge^2 F\lambda^{(1)} & \rightarrow & \wedge^1 F\lambda^{(1)} & \rightarrow & \wedge^0 F\lambda^{(1)} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \rightarrow & \wedge^4 F\lambda^{(0)} & \rightarrow & \wedge^3 F\lambda^{(0)} & \rightarrow & \wedge^2 F\lambda^{(0)} & \rightarrow & \wedge^1 F\lambda^{(0)} & \rightarrow & \wedge^0 F\lambda^{(0)}. \end{array}$$

Part (c) follows from Lemma 2.10 because $\mathbb{A}_\ell = (\mathbb{P}^q)_\ell$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq 2q + 1$. To prove (d), use the axioms of divided powers and the definition of v_t in order to see that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{2\ell} \left((\varphi - \lambda)^{(\ell)} \right) &= \sum_{q=0}^{\ell} (-1)^q v_{2\ell} \left(\varphi^{(\ell-q)} \lambda^{(q)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{q+n+1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j \binom{n-2\ell+q+j-1}{q} \left(\varphi^{(n-2\ell+q+j)} \wedge \varphi^{(\ell-q)} \right) (\xi) h^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

For all integers a and b the identity

$$\varphi^{(a)} \wedge \varphi^{(b)} = \binom{a+b}{a} \varphi^{(a+b)}$$

holds. It follows that $v_{2\ell} \left((\varphi - \lambda)^{(\ell)} \right)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{j+n+1} \left[\sum_{0 \leq q \leq \ell} (-1)^q \binom{n-2\ell+q+j-1}{q} \binom{n-\ell+j}{\ell-q} \right] \varphi^{(n-\ell+j)}(\xi) h^{(j)}.$$

Apply Lemma 1.3 in order to see that the sum inside the brackets is equal to 1, whenever $0 \leq n - \ell + j$. The conclusion now follows. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Adopt the notation and hypotheses of Observation 2.9. For each nonnegative integer q , let \mathbb{P}^q be the subcomplex of \mathbb{A} which is defined by*

$$(\mathbb{P}^q)_\ell = \sum_{j \leq q}^{\ell-2j} \bigwedge F^* h^{(j)}.$$

The following statements hold.

(a) *The homology of \mathbb{P}^q is given by*

$$H_i(\mathbb{P}^q) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } i < 2q + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2q + 1 \leq i, \\ R/I_1(g) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ R/I_1(Y) & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq 2q \text{ and } i \text{ is even, and} \\ R/I & \text{if } i = 2q + 1. \end{cases}$$

(b) *If $1 \leq \ell \leq q$, then $[z_{2\ell}]$ generates $H_{2\ell}(\mathbb{P}^q)$.*

(c) *The homology $H_{2q+1}(\mathbb{P}^q)$ is generated by $[Yh^{(q)}]$.*

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on q . When $q = 0$, \mathbb{P}^q is the Koszul complex, $\bigwedge^\bullet F^*$, on the entries g_1, \dots, g_{2n} of the product $[y_1, \dots, y_{2n}]X$. It is known (see [14] or [17]) that g_1, \dots, g_{2n-1} form a regular sequence. The standard facts about Koszul complexes now yield that $H_i(\mathbb{P}^0) = 0$ for $2 \leq i$ and that

$$H_1(\mathbb{P}^0) \cong \frac{(g_1, \dots, g_{2n-1}) : g_{2n}}{(g_1, \dots, g_{2n-1})}.$$

The above isomorphism is induced by

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_{2n} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto r_{2n};$$

in particular, the homology class $[Y]$ in $H_1(\mathbb{P}^0)$ is sent to y_{2n} . The proof for $q = 0$ is complete because [14] and [17] show that

$$(g_1, \dots, g_{2n-1}) : g_{2n} = (g_1, \dots, g_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \quad \text{and} \quad (g_1, \dots, g_{2n-1}) : y_{2n} = I.$$

(In each case the inclusion \subseteq is obvious and the ideal on the right side is prime.)

We now assume, by induction, that the result holds for some fixed value of q . Observe that \mathbb{P}^{q+1} is the mapping cone of

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \bigwedge^\bullet F^* h^{(q+1)} & : & \dots & \rightarrow & (\bigwedge^1 F^*) h^{(q+1)} & \rightarrow & (\bigwedge^0 F^*) h^{(q+1)} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^q & : & \dots & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^q)_{2q+2} & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^q)_{2q+1} & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^q)_{2q} & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^q)_0. \end{array}$$

The homology of \mathbb{P}^q is known by induction. The complex $\bigwedge^\bullet F^* h^{(q+1)}$ is isomorphic to a shift of \mathbb{P}^0 ; thus, its homology is also known. In particular, $H_{2q+2}(\bigwedge^\bullet F^* h^{(q+1)})$ is isomorphic to $R/I_1(g)$ and is generated by $[h^{(q+1)}]$; and $H_{2q+3}(\bigwedge^\bullet F^* h^{(q+1)})$ is isomorphic to $R/I_1(Y)$ and is generated by $[Yh^{(q+1)}]$. The argument is completed by appealing to the long exact sequence of homology which is associated to a mapping cone. The critical step in this calculation involves the exact sequence

$$(2.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{2q+2}(\mathbb{P}^{q+1}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H_{2q+2}(\bigwedge^\bullet F^* h^{(q+1)}) \rightarrow H_{2q+1}(\mathbb{P}^q) \rightarrow H_{2q+1}(\mathbb{P}^{q+1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We know that $H_{2q+1}(\mathbb{P}^q)$ is isomorphic to R/I and is generated by $[Yh^{(q)}]$; furthermore, we also know that $d(h^{(q+1)}) = Yh^{(q)}$ in \mathbb{A} . Thus, $H_{2q+1}(\mathbb{P}^{q+1}) = 0$ and $H_{2q+2}(\mathbb{P}^{q+1}) \cong K$, where

$$K = \ker \left(H_{2q+2} \left(\bigwedge^{\bullet} F^* h^{(q+1)} \right) \rightarrow H_{2q+1}(\mathbb{P}^q) \right).$$

It is clear that $K \cong I/I_1(g)$. Recall that $I = I_1(g) + (\mathfrak{p})$. It follows that K is generated by $[\mathfrak{p}h^{(q+1)}]$, and that K is isomorphic to $R/I_1(g) : \mathfrak{p}$. In the proof of Observation 2.9 we saw that $I_1(g) : \mathfrak{p} = I_1(Y)$, and that z_{2q+2} is a cycle in \mathbb{P}^{q+1} . The map δ in (2.11) is induced by the projection

$$\mathbb{P}^{q+1} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{\bullet} F^* h^{(q+1)};$$

and therefore, $\delta([z_{2q+2}]) = \pm[\mathfrak{p}h^{(q+1)}]$. We conclude that $H_{2q+2}(\mathbb{P}^{q+1})$ is isomorphic to $R/I_1(Y)$ and is generated by $[z_{2q+2}]$. \square

3. The algebra $\mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^R(A, k)$.

In the present section

(3.1) (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a local ring, $n \geq 3$ is an integer, $X_{2n \times 2n}^{\mathrm{alt}}$ and $Y_{1 \times 2n}$ are matrices with entries in \mathfrak{m} , $I = I_1(YX) + \mathrm{Pf}(X)$ has grade $2n - 1$, and $A = R/I$.

In Theorem 3.4 we calculate the graded k -algebra $\mathrm{Tor}_{\bullet}^R(A, k)$. (The analogous calculation in [24] is not correct.)

Definition 3.2. Let V be a k -vector space of dimension $2n$, h be a divided power variable of degree two, S_{\bullet} be the graded k -algebra

$$\frac{\bigwedge^{\bullet} V \langle h \rangle}{\sum_{i=0}^n \bigwedge^i V h^{(n-i)}},$$

N_{\bullet} be the graded left S_{\bullet} -module $S_{\bullet}^*[-(2n - 1)]$, where $S_{\bullet}^* = \mathrm{Hom}_k(S_{\bullet}, k)$, and T_{\bullet} be the graded k -algebra $S_{\bullet} \rtimes N_{\bullet}$.

Notes. (a) The multiplication in T_{\bullet} has been defined so that

$$(s + n)(s' + n') = ss' + sn' + (-1)^{(\deg n)(\deg s')} s'n$$

for homogeneous elements $s, s' \in S_{\bullet}$ and $n, n' \in N_{\bullet}$.

(b) The S_{\bullet} -action on S_{\bullet}^* is given by $(s\psi)(s') = \psi(s's)$ for all $s, s' \in S_{\bullet}$ and all $\psi \in S_{\bullet}^*$.

(c) If $w_p \in \bigwedge^p V^*$ and q is an integer, then let $w_p x_q$ represent the k -homomorphism from S_{\bullet} to k which sends $v_i h^{(j)}$ to

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \neq i, \\ 0, & \text{if } q \neq j, \text{ and} \\ w_p(v_i), & \text{if } p = i \text{ and } q = j \end{cases}$$

for $v_i \in \bigwedge^i V$. Observe that $w_p x_q$ is a nonzero homomorphism when p and q are nonnegative integers with $p + q \leq n - 1$. Observe also, that, $w_p x_q$ has degree $2n - 1 - p - 2q$ as an element of N_{\bullet} . It

follows that the graded S_\bullet -module N_\bullet is equal to $\sum_{d=1}^{2n-1} N_d$, where $N_d = \sum \wedge^p V^* x_q$ and the sum is taken over all pairs of nonnegative integers p and q with $p+q \leq n-1$ and $p+2q = 2n-1-d$.

Caution: We use the symbol “ $w_p x_q$ ” to represent an element of T_\bullet ; no multiplication of w_p and x_q is involved. (Indeed, no multiplication of w_p and x_q is even defined.)

(d) One may combine (b) and (c) to give a clean description of the module action $S_t \times N_d \rightarrow N_{t+d}$. Let i and j be nonnegative integers with $i+j \leq n-1$ and $i+2j = t$; and let p and q be nonnegative integers with $p+q \leq n-1$ and $p+2q = 2n-1-d$. If $v_i \in \wedge^i V$ and $w_p \in \wedge^p V^*$, then

$$(3.3) \quad v_i h^{(j)} \cdot w_p x_q = \binom{q}{j} v_i (w_p) x_{q-j},$$

where $v_i(w_p)$ is the element in $\wedge^{p-i} V^*$ which is given by the $\wedge^\bullet V$ -action on $\wedge^\bullet V^*$.

Theorem 3.4. *Adopt the hypotheses of (3.1). If T_\bullet is the algebra of Definition 3.2, then the graded k -algebras $\text{Tor}_\bullet^R(A, k)$ and T_\bullet are isomorphic.*

Proof. The k -algebra $\text{Tor}_\bullet^R(A, k)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$, where \mathbb{M} is given in Theorem 2.4 and “ $-$ ” is the functor $_ \otimes_R k$. Identify V with \overline{F}^* and V^* with \overline{F} . Consider the map $\theta: T_\bullet \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{M}}$ which is given by

$$\theta \left(v_i h^{(j)} + w_p x_q \right) = \text{proj} \left[\begin{array}{c} v_i \overline{h}^{(j)} \\ (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} w_p \overline{\lambda}^{(n-1-q-p)} \end{array} \right]$$

for $v_i \in \wedge^i V$ and $w_p \in \wedge^p V^*$. The natural projection $\text{proj}: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ is defined in (2.7). It is clear that θ is an isomorphism of graded k -vector spaces. It remains to show that θ is a ring homomorphism. The only interesting calculation is

$$(3.5) \quad \theta(v_i h^{(j)}) \cdot \theta(w_p x_q) = \theta(v_i h^{(j)} \cdot w_p x_q).$$

Apply Lemma 3.6 to see that the left side of (3.5) is equal to

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} v_i \overline{h}^{(j)} \\ 0 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} w_p \overline{\lambda}^{(n-1-q-p)} \end{array} \right] = \varepsilon \binom{q}{j} \text{proj} \left[v_i (w_p) \overline{\lambda}^{(n-1-q-p+i+j)} \right]$$

for $\varepsilon = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} (-1)^{(i+2j)(2n-1-p-2q)}$. Apply (3.3) to see that the right side of (3.5) is equal to

$$\theta \left(\binom{q}{j} v_i (w_p) x_{q-j} \right) = \varepsilon' \binom{q}{j} \text{proj} \left[v_i (w_p) \overline{\lambda}^{(n-1-q-p+i+j)} \right]$$

for $\varepsilon' = (-1)^{\frac{(p-i)(p-i-1)}{2}}$. Observe that $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$; and therefore, (3.5) is established and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.6. *If $\overline{\mathbb{M}}$ is the graded k -algebra of Theorem 3.4, then the multiplication*

$$\overline{\mathbb{M}}_t \times \overline{\mathbb{M}}_{t'} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{M}}_{t+t'}$$

is given by

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{\alpha}_i \overline{h}^{(j)} \\ \overline{\beta}_p \overline{\lambda}^{(q)} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{\alpha}_{i'} \overline{h}^{(j')} \\ \overline{\beta}_{p'} \overline{\lambda}^{(q')} \end{array} \right] = \text{proj} \left[\frac{\binom{j+j'}{j} \overline{\alpha}_i \wedge \overline{\alpha}_{i'} \overline{h}^{(j+j')}}{(-1)^{\frac{i'(i'-1)}{2}} \binom{n-p-q-1}{j'} \overline{\alpha}_{i'} (\overline{\beta}_p) \overline{\lambda}^{(q+i'+j')}}} + (-1)^{tt'} (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} \binom{n-p'-q'-1}{j} \overline{\alpha}_i (\overline{\beta}_{p'}) \overline{\lambda}^{(q'+i+j)} \right],$$

where $\alpha_i \in \bigwedge^i F^*$, $\beta_p \in \bigwedge^p F$, and the indices satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} i + 2j = t, & & i' + 2j' = t', & & p + 2q = t - 1, & & p' + 2q' = t' - 1, \\ i + j \leq n - 1, & & i' + j' \leq n - 1, & & p + q \leq n - 1, & \text{and} & p' + q' \leq n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If m and m' are elements of \mathbb{M} , then $m \times_{\mathbb{M}} m' = \pi(m \times_{\mathbb{F}} m')$, where $\times_{\mathbb{M}}$ is multiplication in \mathbb{M} , $\times_{\mathbb{F}}$ is multiplication in \mathbb{F} , and π is given in (2.7). Write $m \equiv m'$ to mean $\overline{m} = \overline{m'}$. Keep in mind that $Y \equiv 0$, $g \equiv 0$, and

$$\varphi^{(j)} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 0, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{for any integer } j \text{ with } j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The interesting calculation involves

$$m = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_p \lambda^{(q)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad m' = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{i'} h^{(j')} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Use Theorem 2.4 and (2.6) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} m \times_{\mathbb{F}} m' &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ ((\beta_p \lambda^{(q)}) \alpha_{i'}) h^{(j')} \end{bmatrix}, \\ (\beta_p \lambda^{(q)}) \alpha_{i'} &\equiv (-1)^{i' + \frac{i'(i'+1)}{2}} \alpha_{i'} (\beta_p) \lambda^{(q+i')}, \text{ and} \\ (\alpha_{i'} (\beta_p) \lambda^{(q+i')}) h^{(j')} &\equiv \binom{n - q - p - 1}{j'} \alpha_{i'} (\beta_p) \lambda^{(q+i'+j')}. \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof by showing that $\pi \equiv \text{proj}$. It is clear that $\pi|_{\mathbb{M}}$ is the identity map and that

$$\pi \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_p \lambda^{(q)} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq p + q.$$

Finally, if $n \leq i + j$, then

$$\pi_t \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i h^{(j)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \pm \text{proj}_t f_{t+1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_i (\eta) \lambda^{(i+j-n)} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \pm \text{proj}_t \begin{bmatrix} v_t (\alpha_i (\eta) \lambda^{(i+j-n)}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv \pm \text{proj}_t \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i h^{(j)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

where $t = i + 2j$. The map v_t may be found in (2.5). \square

4. The main Theorem.

In the present section

(4.1) (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a regular local ring of embedding dimension e , $n \geq 3$ is an integer, $X_{2n \times 2n}^{\text{alt}}$ and $Y_{1 \times 2n}$ are matrices with entries in \mathfrak{m} , $I = I_1(YX) + \text{Pf}(X)$ has grade $2n - 1$, and $A = R/I$. The characteristic of k is denoted by $c \geq 0$.

Theorem 4.2. *Adopt the notation of (4.1). Let $\text{Den}_A(z)$ be the polynomial*

$$\text{Den}_A(z) = \begin{cases} (1+z)^{2n} [(1-z)^{2n} - z^2] & \text{if } c = 0 \text{ or } n \leq c \\ (1+z)^{2n} [(1-z)^{2n} (1 - z^{2c+1} - z^{2c+2}) - z^2] & \text{if } (n+1)/2 \leq c \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

If $0 = c$ or $(n+1)/2 \leq c$, then

(a) the Poincaré series $P_A^k(z)$ is given by

$$P_A^k(z) = \frac{(1+z)^e (1+z^3)}{\text{Den}_A(z)}, \text{ and}$$

(b) $\text{Den}_A(z) P_A^M(z)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[z]$ for every finitely generated A -module M .

Remarks. (a) In the notation of (4.1), if n is taken to be 2, then the ideal I is generated by the maximal order pfaffians of an alternating 5×5 matrix. The Poincaré series of every module over R/I is known to be rational in this case; see [1, section 9] and [3].

(b) Let R be a regular local ring in which 2 is a unit, and let I be a grade five, seven-generated Gorenstein ideal in R . If I is in the linkage class of a complete intersection, then it is shown in [21], that either I is described in (4.1) with $n = 3$, or I is a double hypersurface section of the the ideal in Remark (a). In either event, the Poincaré series of every module over R/I is rational.

Proof. We saw in Theorem 2.4 that the minimal R -resolution of A is a DGF-algebra; therefore, we may apply the technique of [5] which is summarized in [20, section 4]. In Theorem 3.4 we proved that the graded k -algebra $\text{Tor}_{\bullet}^R(R/I, k)$ is isomorphic to the algebra T_{\bullet} of Definition 3.2. Avramov's Theorem [1, Corollary 3.3] gives

$$P_A^k(z) = P_R^k(z)P_{T_{\bullet}}^k(z) = (1+z)^e P_{T_{\bullet}}^k(z).$$

The DGF-algebra \mathbb{B} of (4.6) is obtained from T_{\bullet} by adjoining $2n+1$ divided power variables of degree two and one divided power variable of degree three. It follows that

$$P_{T_{\bullet}}^k(z) = \frac{(1+z^3)}{(1-z^2)^{2n+1}} P_{\mathbb{B}}^k(z).$$

In Lemma 4.21 we prove that \mathbb{B} is a Golod DGF-algebra. It follows from [2, Theorem 2.3] that

$$P_{\mathbb{B}}^k(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \dim_k H_i(\mathbb{B}) z^i};$$

and therefore,

$$P_A^k(z) = \frac{(1+z)^e(1+z^3)}{\text{Den}_A(z)},$$

where

$$\text{Den}_A(z) = (1-z^2)^{2n+1} \left(1 - z \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \dim_k H_i(\mathbb{B}) z^i \right) \right).$$

The homology of \mathbb{B} is calculated in Lemma 4.21. The proof is completed by appealing to [5, Corollary 1.6] or [20, Theorem 4.1]. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Take A as in Theorem 4.2. Let M be a finitely generated A -module and let b_i be the i^{th} betti number of M ; in other words, $b_i = b_i^A(M) = \dim_k \text{Tor}_i^A(M, k)$. If the projective dimension of M is infinite, then*

- (a) *the betti numbers of M exhibit strong exponential growth; that is, there are real numbers M_0 and M_1 , with $1 < M_0 \leq M_1$, such that $M_0^i \leq b_i \leq M_1^i$ for all sufficiently large i , and*
- (b) *the betti numbers $\{b_i\}$ form an increasing sequence for all sufficiently large i .*

Proof. According to Theorem 4.2, the Poincaré series $P_A^M(z)$ is a rational function which does not have a pole at 1; consequently, we may apply the technique of [25]. Let $d(z) = \text{Den}_A(z)/(1+z)^{2n}$. Fix a real root, r , of $d(z) = 0$, with $0 < r < 1$. It suffices to show that

$$(4.4) \quad r \text{ is a root of multiplicity 1, and}$$

$$(4.5) \quad \text{if } z \text{ is a complex number with } |z| = r, \text{ but } z \neq r, \text{ then } d(z) \neq 0.$$

If $c = 0$ or $n \leq c$, then the analysis of $d(z) = (1 - z)^{2n} - z^2$ is straightforward. It is clear that $d'(r) < 0$. Write $d(z) = h_1(z) - h_2(z)$, for $h_1(z) = (1 - z)^{2n}$ and $h_2(z) = z^2$. It is easy to see that

$$\left| \frac{h_2(z)}{h_2(r)} \right| = 1 < \left| \frac{h_1(z)}{h_1(r)} \right| \quad \text{for all } z \text{ with } 0 < |z| = r < 1 \text{ and } z \neq r.$$

Conclusion (4.5) now follows readily.

The analysis of $d(z) = (1 - z)^{2n}(1 - z^{2c+1} - z^{2c+2}) - z^2$ is slightly more complicated. Write $d'(r) = e(r) - f(r)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} e(r) &= (1 - r)^{2n}(-(2c + 1)r^{2c} - (2c + 2)r^{2c+1}) \quad \text{and} \\ f(r) &= 2n(1 - r)^{2n-1}(1 - r^{2c+1} - r^{2c+2}) + 2r. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $e(r) < 0$. We prove that $d'(r) < 0$ by showing that $0 < f(r)$. Since $r^{2c+2} < r^{2c+1} < r$, we see that $f_0(r) < f(r)$, where

$$f_0(r) = 2n(1 - r)^{2n-1}(1 - 2r) + 2r.$$

If $0 < r < 1/2$, then $0 < 1 - 2r$ and $0 < f_0(r)$. If $1/2 \leq r < 1$, then

$$0 < 2n(1 - r)^{2n-2} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < 2n(1 - r)^{2n-2}[(1 - r)(1 - 2r) + 2r] < f_0(r).$$

Thus, (4.4) holds. For (4.5), write $d(z) = u(z)[h_1(z) - h_2(z)]$, where $u(z) = (1 - z)^{2n}$,

$$h_1(z) = 1 - z^{2c+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(z) = z^{2c+2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{z^{2c}(1 - z)^{2n}} \right).$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\left| \frac{h_2(z)}{h_2(r)} \right| < 1 \leq \left| \frac{h_1(z)}{h_1(r)} \right| \quad \text{for all } z \text{ with } 0 < |z| = r < 1 \text{ and } z \neq r.$$

Once again, conclusion (4.5) follows readily. \square

Remark. The statement of the above result, and its proof, imitate the work of Li-Chuan Sun. Without Sun's techniques, only the weaker conclusion

$$M_0^i \leq \sum_{j=0}^i b_j \leq M_1^i$$

can be drawn. This weaker conclusion is established by observing that $P_A^M(z)$ is a rational function which does not have a pole at 1. See [3] and [4], or [20, Corollary 5.2] for more details.

Data 4.6. Let k be a field of characteristic $c \geq 0$, $n \geq 3$ be an integer, and T_\bullet be the graded k -algebra of Definition 3.2. Recall that, as a vector space, T_\bullet is generated by elements of the form $v_i h^{(j)}$ and $w_p x_q$, where $v_i \in \bigwedge^i V$, $w_p \in \bigwedge^p V^*$, and V is a vector space of dimension $2n$. The element $v_i h^{(j)}$ is zero if $i < 0$, or $j < 0$, or $n \leq i + j$; the element $w_p x_q$ is zero if $p < 0$, or $q < 0$, or $n \leq p + q$. The multiplication in T_\bullet is given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_i h^{(j)} \cdot v_{i'} h^{(j')} &= \binom{j+j'}{j} v_i \wedge v_{i'} h^{(j+j')}, \\ v_i h^{(j)} \cdot w_p x_q &= \binom{q}{j} v_i (w_p) x_{q-j}, \quad \text{and} \\ w_p x_q \cdot w_{p'} x_{q'} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The grading in T_\bullet is given by

$$\deg v_i h^{(j)} = i + 2j \quad \text{and} \quad \deg w_p x_q = 2n - 1 - p - 2q.$$

Let (\mathbb{B}, d) be the DGF-algebra

$$\mathbb{B} = T_\bullet \langle X_1, \dots, X_{2n}, Z, Y; d(X_i) = e_i, d(Z) = 1x_{n-1}, d(Y) = h \rangle,$$

where e_1, \dots, e_{2n} is a basis for V over k , the divided power variables X_1, \dots, X_{2n}, Z each have degree two, and the divided power variable Y has degree 3.

The rest of this section is devoted to calculating the homology of the complex \mathbb{B} . Our first step, in Proposition 4.8, is to decompose \mathbb{B} into a direct sum of subcomplexes.

Definition 4.7. Adopt Data 4.6. For each integer ℓ , let $(X, Z)^{(\ell)}$ be the k -subspace of \mathbb{B} which is generated by

$$\{X_1^{(a_1)} \dots X_{2n}^{(a_{2n})} Z^{(b)} \mid a_1 + \dots + a_{2n} + b = \ell\}.$$

For integers r and m , let $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ be the k -subspace

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\bigoplus_i \wedge^i V h^{(r-1)} Y (X, Z)^{(m-i)} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_i \wedge^i V h^{(r)} (X, Z)^{(m-i)} \right) \\ & \oplus \left(\bigoplus_p \wedge^p V^* x_{n-r} Y (X, Z)^{(m-1+p)} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_p \wedge^p V^* x_{n-r-1} (X, Z)^{(m-1+p)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

of \mathbb{B} .

Proposition 4.8. *Adopt the notation of Definition 4.7.*

- (a) *If m and r are integers, then the restriction of d from \mathbb{B} to $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ makes $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ a subcomplex of \mathbb{B} .*
- (b) *The complex \mathbb{B} is equal to the following direct sum of subcomplexes:*

$$\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{K}_{<0>}^{(0)} \oplus \left[\bigoplus_{r=0}^n \bigoplus_{m=1-r}^{\infty} \mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)} \right].$$

Proof. Recall, from (4.6), that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} v_i h^{(j)} d(X_\ell) &= v_i \wedge e_\ell h^{(j)}, & w_p x_q d(X_\ell) &= (-1)^{p+1} e_\ell (w_p) x_q, \\ v_i h^{(j)} d(Y) &= (j+1) v_i h^{(j+1)}, & w_p x_q d(Y) &= q w_p x_{q-1}, \\ v_i h^{(j)} d(Z) &= \delta_{i0} \binom{n-1}{j} v_i x_{n-1-j}, \text{ and} & w_p x_q d(Z) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $v_i \in \wedge^i V$ and $w_p \in \wedge^p V^*$. Assertion (a) is now established. Assertion (b) is not difficult. \square

We calculate the homology of the subcomplex $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ of \mathbb{B} by concentrating on one ‘‘graded strand’’ at a time. For example, the graded strand

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 V h^{(r)} (X, Z)^{(m)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^1 V h^{(r)} (X, Z)^{(m-1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n-r-1} V h^{(r)} (X, Z)^{(m-n+r+1)} \rightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ is studied in Lemma 4.10. Every graded strand from \mathbb{B} inherits the grading of \mathbb{B} ; in particular, the right most non-zero module in the above graded strand sits in position $2m - n + 3r + 1$. The differential in the above graded strand is the ‘‘partial derivative with respect to X ’’, which we denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial X}$, and which is defined to be the graded $T_\bullet \langle Y, Z \rangle$ -divided power algebra derivation which sends X_i to e_i , for all i . The partial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}$ are defined in a similar manner. It is clear that d is equal to the sum $\frac{\partial}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial Z}$.

Lemma 4.10. *Adopt Data 4.6.*

- (a) *Let m and r be integers with $0 \leq r \leq n-2$ and $0 \leq m$. If \mathbb{G} is the following graded strand of \mathbb{B} :*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 Vh^{(r)}(X, Z)^{(m)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^1 Vh^{(r)}(X, Z)^{(m-1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n-r-1} Vh^{(r)}(X, Z)^{(m-n+r+1)} \rightarrow 0,$$

then

$$\dim_k H_i(\mathbb{G}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-r} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1-r} (-1)^{n-1-r+\ell} \binom{2n}{\ell} \binom{2n+m-\ell}{2n}, & \text{if } i = 2m - n + 3r + 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } i = 2m + 2r, \text{ and} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Note: The modules $\bigwedge^0 Vh^{(r)}(X, Z)^{(m)}$ and $\bigwedge^{n-r-1} Vh^{(r)}(X, Z)^{(m-n+r+1)}$ sit in positions $2m + 2r$ and $2m - n + 3r + 1$, respectively, in \mathbb{G} .)

- (b) *Let m and r be integers with $0 \leq r \leq n-1$ and $1-r \leq m$. If $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ is the following graded strand of \mathbb{B} :*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^r V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m+r-1)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1} V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m+r-2)} \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^1 V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m-1)} \rightarrow 0,$$

then

$$\dim_k H_i(\tilde{\mathbb{G}}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\ell=0}^r (-1)^\ell \binom{2n}{r-\ell} \binom{2n+m+r-1-\ell}{2n}, & \text{if } i = 2m + 3r - 1, \text{ and} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Note: The module $\bigwedge^r V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m+r-1)}$ sits in position $2m + 3r - 1$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}$.)

Remark 4.11. The hypothesis of (a) ensures that $2m - n + 3r + 1 < 2m + 2r$. Also, the formula given in part (a) holds even when $i \leq 0$ or $m - n + r + 1 \leq 0$, because

$$2m - n + 3r + 1 \leq 0 \implies m - n + r + 1 \leq 0 \implies A = 1, \quad \text{where } A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1-r} (-1)^\ell \binom{2n}{\ell} \binom{m+2n-\ell}{2n}.$$

Indeed, Observation 1.2 and Lemma 1.3 show that

$$A = \sum_{\ell=0}^{2n} (-1)^\ell \binom{2n}{\ell} \binom{m+2n-\ell}{2n} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{2n} (-1)^\ell \binom{2n}{\ell} \binom{m+2n-\ell}{m-\ell} = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^\ell \binom{2n}{\ell} \binom{m+2n-\ell}{m-\ell} = 1.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.10. (a) Let \mathbb{E} represent the DGF-algebra

$$\bigwedge_k^\bullet V \langle X_1, \dots, X_{2n}; dX_i = e_i \rangle,$$

where $\bigwedge^\bullet V$ is the exterior algebra on the $2n$ -dimensional vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2n} ke_i$, the differential on $\bigwedge^\bullet V$ is identically zero, and each of the divided power variables X_i has degree two. It is well known (see, for example, [16, Theorem 5.2]) that \mathbb{E} is acyclic. It follows that the subcomplex

$$\mathbb{E}^{(\ell)}: \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 V(X)^{(\ell)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^1 V(X)^{(\ell-1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2n-1} V(X)^{(\ell-2n+1)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2n} V(X)^{(\ell-2n)} \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbb{E} is exact for every integer ℓ , except $\ell = 0$. If s is an integer, with $0 \leq s \leq 2n$, then let $\mathbb{E}^{(\ell)}|_s$ represent the quotient

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}^{(\ell)}}{\sum_{i=s+1}^{2n} \bigwedge^i V(X)^{(\ell-i)}}.$$

In other words, $\mathbb{E}^{(\ell)}|_s$ is the complex

$$\mathbb{E}^{(\ell)}|_s : 0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 V(X)^{(\ell)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^1 V(X)^{(\ell-1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^{s-1} V(X)^{(\ell-s+1)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^s V(X)^{(\ell-s)} \rightarrow 0.$$

It is clear that

$$\dim_k H_i(\mathbb{E}^{(\ell)}|_s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^s (-1)^j \dim \bigwedge^{s-j} V(X)^{(\ell-s+j)}, & \text{if } \ell \neq 0 \text{ and } i = 2\ell - s, \\ 1, & \text{if } i = \ell = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The complex \mathbb{G} may be decomposed into the direct sum of complexes $\sum_{\ell=0}^m \mathbb{G}^{(\ell)}$, where $\mathbb{G}^{(\ell)}$ is the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 Vh^{(r)}(X)^{(\ell)} Z^{(m-\ell)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^1 Vh^{(r)}(X)^{(\ell-1)} Z^{(m-\ell)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n-r-1} Vh^{(r)}(X)^{(\ell-n+r+1)} Z^{(m-\ell)} \rightarrow 0.$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{G}^{(\ell)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{E}^{(\ell)}|_{n-r-1}[2\ell - 2m - 2r]$; and therefore,

$$\dim_k H_i(\mathbb{G}^{(\ell)}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{n-r-1} (-1)^j \binom{2n}{n-r-1-j} \binom{2n-1+\ell-n+r+1+j}{2n-1}, & \text{if } \ell \neq 0 \text{ and} \\ & i = 2m - n + 3r + 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } \ell = 0 \text{ and } i = 2m + 2r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The calculation of $H_i(\mathbb{G})$ is complete for all i except $i = 2m - n + 3r + 1$; furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k H_{2m-n+3r+1}(\mathbb{G}) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{q=0}^{n-1-r} (-1)^{n-1-r+q} \binom{2n}{q} \binom{2n+\ell-q-1}{2n-1} \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{n-1-r} (-1)^{n-1-r+q} \binom{2n}{q} \left[-\binom{2n-q-1}{2n-1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^m \binom{2n+\ell-q-1}{2n-1} \right] \\ &= (-1)^{n-r} + \sum_{q=0}^{n-1-r} (-1)^{n-1-r+q} \binom{2n}{q} \binom{2n+m-q}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) For each integer ℓ , consider the complex

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{(\ell)} : 0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2n} V^*(X)^{(\ell)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2n-1} V^*(X)^{(\ell-1)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 V^*(X)^{(\ell-2n)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\bigwedge^a V^*X^{(b)}$ sits in position $2b + 2n - a$, and the differential

$$\bigwedge^a V^*(X)^{(b)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{a-1} V^*(X)^{(b-1)}$$

is given by

$$w_a X_1^{(b_1)} \cdots X_{2n}^{(b_{2n})} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{2n} e_i(w_a) X_1^{(b_1)} \cdots X_i^{(b_i-1)} \cdots X_{2n}^{(b_{2n})}.$$

Fix an orientation isomorphism $[_] : \bigwedge^{2n} V \rightarrow k$. The module isomorphism $\bigwedge^{2n-a} V \rightarrow \bigwedge^a V^*$, given by $v \mapsto [_ \wedge v]$, gives rise to an isomorphism of complexes $\mathbb{E}^{(\ell)} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{(\ell)}$. (The signs are correct because $[_ \wedge e \wedge v]$ and $e([_ \wedge v])$ represent the same homomorphism $\bigwedge^{a-1} V \rightarrow k$, for all $e \in \bigwedge^1 V$.) It follows that $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{(\ell)}$ is exact for all ℓ , except $\ell = 0$. For each fixed integer t , with $0 \leq t \leq 2n$, let $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{(\ell)}|_t$ be the subcomplex

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{(\ell)}|_t : 0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^t V^*(X)^{(\ell+t-2n)} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{t-1} V^*(X)^{(\ell+t-2n-1)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 V^*(X)^{(\ell-2n)} \rightarrow 0$$

of $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{(\ell)}$. We see that

$$\dim_k H_i(\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{(\ell)}|_t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{q=0}^t (-1)^q \dim \bigwedge^{t-q} V^*(X)^{(\ell+t-2n-q)}, & \text{if } i = 2\ell + t - 2n, \text{ and} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(The above formula is obvious when $0 < \ell$. It continues to hold when $\ell \leq 0$.)

The complex $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}$ may be decomposed into the direct sum of complexes $\sum_{\ell=0}^{m+r-1} \tilde{\mathbb{G}}^{(\ell)}$, where $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}^{(\ell)}$ is the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^r V^* x_{n-r-1} X^{(\ell)} Z^{(m+r-\ell-1)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 V^* x_{n-r-1} X^{(\ell-r)} Z^{(m-\ell+r-1)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Use (3.3) to see that $\tilde{\mathbb{G}}^{(\ell)}$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}^{(2n+\ell-r)}|_r[2\ell - 4r + 2n - 2m + 1]$; and therefore,

$$\dim_k H_i(\tilde{\mathbb{G}}^{(\ell)}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{q=0}^r (-1)^q \binom{2n}{r-q} \binom{2n-1+\ell-q}{2n-1}, & \text{if } i = 2m + 3r - 1, \text{ and} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The rest of the argument is now straightforward. \square

Let $B(\mathbb{B})$ and $Z(\mathbb{B})$ represent the boundaries and cycles of \mathbb{B} , respectively.

Lemma 4.12. *Retain the notation and hypotheses of Definition 4.7. Assume that, either, $0 = c$ or $(n+1)/2 \leq c$.*

(1) *Let \mathbb{V}' be the k -subspace*

$$\mathbb{V}' = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigwedge^i V h^{(n-1-i)} + \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \bigwedge^p V^* x_{n-p-1} + \bigwedge^1 V^* x_0 \right) k \langle X, Y, Z \rangle$$

of \mathbb{B}_+ . If $0 = c$ or $n \leq c$, then $Z_+(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq \mathbb{V}' + B(\mathbb{B})$.

(2) *For each integer q , with $0 \leq q \leq n$, let $K^{(q)}$ be the following k -subspace of (\mathbb{B}, d) :*

$$K^{(q)} = \ker \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^{n-q-1} V^* x_q Y k \langle X, Z \rangle & & \bigwedge^{n-q-2} V^* x_q Y k \langle X, Z \rangle \\ \oplus & \xrightarrow{d} & \oplus \\ \bigwedge^{n-q} V^* x_{q-1} k \langle X, Z \rangle & & \bigwedge^{n-q-1} V^* x_{q-1} k \langle X, Z \rangle \end{array} \right).$$

If $(n+1)/2 \leq c \leq n-1$, and \mathbb{V}'' is the k -subspace

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigwedge^i V h^{(n-1-i)} k \langle X, Y, Z \rangle + h^{(c-1)} Y k \langle Z \rangle + h^{(c)} k \langle Z \rangle \\ & + \sum_{q=0}^{c-2} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1-q} \bigwedge^p V^* x_q k \langle X, Y, Z \rangle + \sum_{p=0}^{n-c} \bigwedge^p V^* x_{c-1} Y k \langle X, Z \rangle + \sum_{q=c}^n K^{(q)} \end{aligned}$$

of \mathbb{B} , then $Z_+(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq \mathbb{V}'' + B(\mathbb{B})$.

(3) Let i and m be integers.

(a) If $1 \leq m$, then

$$\dim_k H_i(\mathbb{K}_{\langle m \rangle}^{(0)}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^n + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{2n}{j} \binom{m+2n-j}{2n}, & \text{if } i = 2m - n + 1, \\ \binom{m-1+2n}{2n} - 1, & \text{if } i = 2m - 1, \text{ and} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) If $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ and $1-r \leq m$, then $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim_k H_i(\mathbb{K}_{\langle m \rangle}^{(r)}) z^i$ is equal to

$$\binom{2n}{n-r} \binom{m+n+r}{2n} z^{2m+3r-n+1} + \binom{2n}{r} \binom{2n+m+r-1}{2n} z^{2m+3r-1} + \varepsilon z^{2m+2c} (1+z),$$

$$\text{where } \varepsilon = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq m, \text{ and } (n+1)/2 \leq r = c \leq n-1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(c) If $2-n \leq m$, then

$$\dim_k H_i(\mathbb{K}_{\langle m \rangle}^{(n)}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{2n}{n-1-j} \binom{m+3n-2-j}{2n}, & \text{if } i = 2m + 3n - 1, \\ \binom{2n+m}{2n}, & \text{if } i = 2m + 2n + 1, \text{ and} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark. The formulas of part (3) give the correct dimension for H_i , even when $i \leq 0$. Indeed, Remark 4.11 establishes this fact for (a). If $2m + 3r - n + 1 \leq 0$ in (b), then $\binom{m+n+r}{2n} = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 4.12. The proof of (1) and (2) is incorporated in the proof of (3).

Fix an integer m with $1 \leq m$. The complex $\mathbb{K}_{\langle m \rangle}^{(0)}$ is the mapping cone of the following map of complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \Lambda^0 V h^{(0)}(X, Z)^{(m)} & \rightarrow & \Lambda^1 V h^{(0)}(X, Z)^{(m-1)} & \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow & \Lambda^{n-1} V h^{(0)}(X, Z)^{(m-n+1)} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \Lambda^0 V^* x_{n-1}(X, Z)^{(m-1)} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

(The horizontal maps are the derivative with respect to X . The vertical maps are the derivative with respect to Z .) According to Lemma 4.10, the top line of the above diagram has non-zero homology only at the far left and the far right. Furthermore, the homology at position $2m$ has dimension 1 and is generated by $1h^{(0)}Z^{(m)}$. The bottom line has homology of dimension $\binom{2n+m-1}{2n}$ at position $2m-1$. The vertical map in the above diagram sends

$$1h^{(0)}Z^{(m)} \mapsto 1x_{n-1}Z^{(m-1)}.$$

The long exact sequence of homology associated to a mapping cone establishes assertions (1), (2), and (3) for $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(0)}$.

The complex $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(n)}$ is the mapping cone of the following map of complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 Vh^{(n-1)}Y(X, Z)^{(m)} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-1} V^*x_0Y(X, Z)^{(m+n-2)} & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-2} V^*x_0Y(X, Z)^{(m+n-3)} & \rightarrow & \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 V^*x_0Y(X, Z)^{(m-1)} \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

(The horizontal maps are the derivative with respect to X . The vertical maps are the derivative with respect to Z .) The top line of the above diagram has homology of dimension $\binom{2n+m}{2n}$ at position $2m + 2n + 1$. Lemma 4.10 shows that the homology of the bottom line is concentrated at the far left side. We conclude that assertions (1), (2), and (3) hold for the complex $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(n)}$.

Henceforth, we assume that $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$. The complex $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ consists of four graded strands. Two of the strands involve elements of the form $v_i h^{(j)}$, where $v_i \in \bigwedge^i V$. We refer to this part of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ as “the right side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ ”. The other two strands involve elements of the form $w_p x_q$, where $w_p \in \bigwedge^p V^*$. We refer to this part of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ as “the left side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ ”. In other words, the right side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ is the mapping cone of the following map of complexes. The horizontal maps are the derivative with respect to X . The vertical maps are the derivative with respect to Y .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^0 Vh^{(r-1)}Y(X, Z)^{(m)} & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^1 Vh^{(r-1)}Y(X, Z)^{(m-1)} & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^0 Vh^{(r)}(X, Z)^{(m)} & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^1 Vh^{(r)}(X, Z)^{(m-1)} & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & & & & & \\ & & \dots \rightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-r-1} Vh^{(r-1)}Y(X, Z)^{(m-n+r+1)} & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-r} Vh^{(r-1)}Y(X, Z)^{(m-n+r)} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & \dots \rightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-r-1} Vh^{(r)}(X, Z)^{(m-n+r+1)} & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The left side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ is the mapping cone of the following map of complexes. The horizontal maps are the derivative with respect to X . The vertical maps are the derivative with respect to Y .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^{r-1} V^*x_{n-r}Y(X, Z)^{(m+r-2)} & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^r V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m+r-1)} & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^{r-1} V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m+r-2)} & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & \dots \rightarrow & \bigwedge^1 V^*x_{n-r}Y(X, Z)^{(m)} & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^0 V^*x_{n-r}Y(X, Z)^{(m-1)} & \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ & & & & \dots \rightarrow & \bigwedge^1 V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m)} & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^0 V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m-1)} & \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Finally, the complex $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ is the mapping cone of

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^0 Vh^{(r-1)}Y(X, Z)^{(m)} & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^1 Vh^{(r-1)}Y(X, Z)^{(m-1)} & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \bigoplus & & \\ & & & & \bigwedge^0 Vh^{(r)}(X, Z)^{(m)} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^0 V^*x_{n-r}Y(X, Z)^{(m-1)} & \rightarrow & \bigwedge^0 V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m-1)} & \rightarrow & 0, \\ & & \bigoplus & & & & \\ & & \bigwedge^1 V^*x_{n-r-1}(X, Z)^{(m)} & & & & \end{array}$$

where the top line is the right side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$, the bottom line is the left side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$, and the vertical maps are the derivative with respect to Z .

The homology of each graded strand of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ may be read from Lemma 4.10. (Keep in mind that, if $c \neq 0$, then

$$1 \leq r \leq n-1 < n+1 \leq 2c;$$

and therefore, c divides r if and only if $c = r$.) Use the long exact sequence of homology associated to a mapping cone in order to draw the following conclusions.

(4.14) The homology of the left side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ is concentrated in position $2m + 3r - 1$ and has dimension $\binom{2n}{r} \binom{2n+m+r-1}{2n}$.

(4.15) If $c \neq r$, or, if $m < 0$, then homology of the right side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ is concentrated in position $2m - n + 3r + 1$ and has dimension $\binom{2n}{n-r} \binom{m+n+r}{2n}$.

(4.16) If $c = r$ and $0 \leq m$, then

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim_k H_i(\text{right side of } \mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}) z^i = \binom{2n}{n-r} \binom{m+n+r}{2n} z^{2m-n+3r+1} + z^{2m+2c} (1+z).$$

A further comment about conclusions (4.15) and (4.16) is in order. Notice that $h^{(r-1)}YZ^{(m)}$ and $h^{(r)}Z^{(m)}$ are always cycles in the top strand, and the bottom strand, respectively, of the right side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$. The vertical map on the right side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ carries

$$(4.17) \quad h^{(r-1)}YZ^{(m)} \mapsto rh^{(r)}Z^{(m)}.$$

In (4.15), the map (4.17) is an injection; but in (4.16), (4.17) is the zero map.

Now that we know the homology of each side of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$, we compute the homology of the entire complex $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ by using the long exact sequence of homology which is associated to the mapping cone of (4.13). Notice, in the case $(n+1)/2 \leq c = r \leq n-1$, that the cycles $h^{(c-1)}YZ^{(m)}$ and

$$h^{(c)}Z^{(m)} - \binom{n-1}{c} e_1^* x_{n-c-1} X_1^{(1)} Z^{(m-1)}$$

are both elements of \mathbb{V}'' , where e_1^*, \dots, e_{2n}^* is the basis for V^* which is dual to the basis e_1, \dots, e_{2n} for V .

The subspaces \mathbb{V}' and \mathbb{V}'' contain many elements of \mathbb{B} which are not cycles. We have chosen them to be extra large so that they may be described quickly; however our ultimate use for them occurs in Lemma 4.21, where we prove that \mathbb{B} is Golod. For example, we have included all of

$$(4.18) \quad \bigwedge^1 V^* x_{n-2}(X, Z)^{(m)} + \bigwedge^0 V^* x_{n-1} Y(X, Z)^{(m-1)}$$

in \mathbb{V}' even though a quick examination of $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(1)}$ shows that

$$\left[\bigwedge^1 V^* x_{n-2}(X, Z)^{(m)} + \bigwedge^0 V^* x_{n-1} Y(X, Z)^{(m-1)} \right] \cap Z(\mathbb{B}) = K_{2m+2}^{(n-1)}.$$

If $c = 0$ or $n \leq c$, then we are able to put all of line (4.18) into \mathbb{V}' in our proof that \mathbb{B} is Golod; however, the more careful description $K_{2m+2}^{(n-1)} \subseteq \mathbb{V}''$ is needed in our proof that \mathbb{B} is Golod when $(n+1)/2 \leq c \leq n-1$. \square

The next calculation is used in our proof that \mathbb{B} is Golod when $(n+1)/2 \leq c \leq n-1$.

Lemma 4.19. *Retain the notation and hypotheses of Definition 4.7. Let \mathbb{V}'' be the k -subspace of \mathbb{B} which is described in Lemma 4.12. For integers ℓ and q , let $u = u[\ell, q]$ be the integer $n + 2\ell + 2c + 1 - q$, and let $L[\ell, q]$ and $M[\ell, q]$ be the k -subspaces*

$$L[\ell, q] = \ker \left(\left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_u \xrightarrow{d} \left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_{u-1} \right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$M[\ell, q] = \ker \left(\left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_{u+1} \xrightarrow{d} \left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_u \right)$$

of \mathbb{B} , where $\mathbb{L}_{\langle m \rangle}^{(r)}$ represents the left side of $\mathbb{K}_{\langle m \rangle}^{(r)}$. If $0 \leq \ell$, $(n+1)/2 \leq c \leq n-1$, and $c \leq q \leq n$, then

$$L[\ell, q] + M[\ell, q] \subseteq d\mathbb{V}''.$$

Proof. Consider the subcomplex

$$(4.20) \quad \left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_{u+2} \xrightarrow{d} \left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_{u+1} \xrightarrow{d} \left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_u \xrightarrow{d} \left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_{u-1}$$

of \mathbb{B} ; in other words, complex (4.20) is the same as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^{n-q+1} V^* x_{q-c} Y(X, Z)^{(\ell+1)} & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigwedge^{n-q} V^* x_{q-c} Y(X, Z)^{(\ell)} \\ \oplus & & \oplus \\ \bigwedge^{n-q+2} V^* x_{q-c-1}(X, Z)^{(\ell+2)} & \xrightarrow{d} & \bigwedge^{n-q+1} V^* x_{q-c-1}(X, Z)^{(\ell+1)} \\ & & \xrightarrow{d} \\ & & \bigwedge^{n-q-1} V^* x_{q-c} Y(X, Z)^{(\ell-1)} \\ & & \oplus \\ & & \bigwedge^{n-q-2} V^* x_{q-c} Y(X, Z)^{(\ell-2)} \\ & & \oplus \\ & & \bigwedge^{n-q} V^* x_{q-c-1}(X, Z)^{(\ell)} \\ & & \xrightarrow{d} \\ & & \bigwedge^{n-q-1} V^* x_{q-c-1}(X, Z)^{(\ell-1)}. \end{array}$$

We saw in the proof of Lemma 4.12 (see, in particular, (4.14)) that the homology of $\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)}$ is concentrated in degree

$$i = 2(\ell + q - n + 1) + 3(n + c - q) - 1.$$

Observe that $u < u + 1 < i$. We conclude that (4.20) is exact. It follows that

$$M[\ell, q] \subseteq d \left(\left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_{u+2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad L[\ell, q] \subseteq d \left(\left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_{u+1} \right).$$

On the other hand, the hypothesis $(n+1)/2 \leq c \leq q \leq n$ ensures that

$$q - c - 1 < q - c \leq c - 1;$$

thus, $\left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_{u+2} + \left(\mathbb{L}_{\langle \ell+q-n+1 \rangle}^{(n+c-q)} \right)_{u+1}$ is contained in

$$\sum_{q'=0}^{c-2} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1-q'} \bigwedge^p V^* x_{q'} k \langle X, Y, Z \rangle + \sum_{p=0}^{n-c} \bigwedge^p V^* x_{c-1} Y k \langle X, Z \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{V}'',$$

and $L[\ell, q] + M[\ell, q] \subseteq d\mathbb{V}''$. \square

Lemma 4.21. *Adopt the data of (4.6). If $c = 0$ or $(n+1)/2 \leq c$, then \mathbb{B} is a Golod algebra, and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \dim_k H_i(\mathbb{B})z^i = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2n+1}(1+z)} - \frac{z}{1-z^2} & \text{if } 0 = c \text{ or } n \leq c \\ \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2n+1}(1+z)} - \frac{z}{1-z^2} + \frac{z^{2c}}{1-z} & \text{if } (n+1)/2 \leq c \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Define the integer δ by

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (n+1)/2 \leq c \leq n-1, \\ 0, & \text{if } c = 0, \text{ or } n \leq c. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.8 shows that

$$(4.22) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \dim_k H_i(\mathbb{B})z^i = S_1 + S_2 + S_3,$$

$$\text{where } S_1 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \dim_k H_i(\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(0)})z^i, \quad S_2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1-r}^{\infty} \dim_k H_i(\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)})z^i, \text{ and}$$

$$S_3 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=2-n}^{\infty} \dim_k H_i(\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(n)})z^i.$$

(Notice that if $i \leq 0$, then the coefficient of z^i is zero in each S_j .) The homology of each complex $\mathbb{K}_{<m>}^{(r)}$ has been calculated in Lemma 4.12. The identity

$$\sum_{m=a-b}^{\infty} \binom{m+b}{a} z^{2m} = \frac{z^{2(a-b)}}{(1-z^2)^{a+1}},$$

which holds for all integers a and b provided $0 \leq a$, is the key to simplifying the S_i . The calculation

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{2n+1}} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \left[\binom{2n}{n-r} z^{n+r+1} + \binom{2n}{r} z^{1+r} \right] + \delta \frac{z^{2c}}{1-z}$$

requires the observation that if $1-r \leq m < n-r$, then $\binom{m+n+r}{2n} = 0$. The calculation

$$S_1 = (-1)^n z^{1-n} + \frac{(-1)^n z^{3-n} - z}{1-z^2} + \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{2n+1}} \left[z + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+1+j} \binom{2n}{j} z^{2j+1-n} \right]$$

requires the observation that if $1 \leq m < j$, then $\binom{m+2n-j}{2n} = 0$. The calculation

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{2n+1}} \left[z^{2n+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{2n}{n-1-j} z^{n+2j+3} \right]$$

requires the observations that

$$2-n \leq m < 0 \implies \binom{2n+m}{2n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2-n \leq m < j+2-n \implies \binom{m+3n-j-2}{2n} = 0.$$

It follows, from (4.22), that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \dim_k H_i(\mathbb{B})z^i = A + B + C$, where

$$A = \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{2n+1}} \left[z + z^{2n+1} + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{n-r} z^{n+r+1} + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n}{r} z^{1+r} \right],$$

$$B = \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{2n+1}} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+1+j} \binom{2n}{j} z^{2j+1-n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{2n}{n-1-j} z^{n+2j+3} \right],$$

and $C = (-1)^n z^{1-n} + \frac{(-1)^n z^{3-n} - z}{1-z^2} + \delta \frac{z^{2c}}{1-z}$.

Straightforward calculations yield

$$A = \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{2n+1}} \left[(1+z)^{2n} z - \binom{2n}{n} z^{1+n} \right],$$

$$B = \frac{1}{(1-z^2)^{2n+1}} \left[(-1)^{n+1} (1-z^2)^{2n} z^{1-n} + \binom{2n}{n} z^{1+n} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$C = \frac{(-1)^n z^{1-n} - z}{1-z^2} + \delta \frac{z^{2c}}{1-z}; \text{ thus,}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \dim_k H_i(\mathbb{B})z^i = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2n+1}(1+z)} - \frac{z}{1-z^2} + \delta \frac{z^{2c}}{1-z}.$$

To show that \mathbb{B} is a Golod algebra we exhibit a k -subspace \mathbb{V} of \mathbb{B}_+ such that

$$(4.23) \quad Z_+(\mathbb{B}) \subseteq \mathbb{V} + B(\mathbb{B}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(4.24) \quad \mathbb{V}^2 \subseteq d\mathbb{V};$$

and then we apply [6, Lemma 5.7] or [20, Lemma 2.6].

We first assume that $c = 0$ or $n \leq c$. Let \mathbb{V} be the subspace \mathbb{V}' of Lemma 4.12. We know that condition (4.23) holds. It is apparent that

$$\mathbb{V}^2 \subseteq \left(\bigwedge^0 V^* x_0 \right) k\langle X, Y, Z \rangle.$$

If

$$E = 1x_0X_1^{(a_1)} \dots X_{2n}^{(a_{2n})} Y^{(b)} Z^{(b')}$$

is an element of $(\bigwedge^0 V^* x_0)k\langle X, Y, Z \rangle$, then

$$(4.25) \quad d \left(e_1^* x_0 X_1^{(a_1+1)} X_2^{(a_2)} \dots X_{2n}^{(a_{2n})} Y^{(b)} Z^{(b')} \right) = E;$$

and condition (4.24) also holds. (The element e_1^* of V^* is defined between (4.17) and (4.18).)

Now we assume that $(n+1)/2 \leq c \leq n-1$. Let \mathbb{V} be the vector space \mathbb{V}'' from part (2) of Lemma 4.12. Lemma 4.12 shows that condition (4.23) holds. The hypothesis $(n+1)/2 \leq c$ ensures that $h^{(c-1)} \cdot h^{(c)} = 0$. This hypothesis also ensures that $h^{(c-1)} w_p x_q$ is equal to zero, whenever

$c \leq q \leq n-1$. Recall, also, that Y has degree 3; thus $Y^2 = 0$. Furthermore, $dZ \cdot K^{(q)} = 0$; and therefore, $Z^{(m)} \cdot K^{(q)} \subseteq K^{(q)}$. It now follows that

$$\mathbb{V}^2 \subseteq \bigwedge^0 V^* x_0 k \langle X, Y, Z \rangle + h^{(c-1)} Y K^{(c)} + h^{(c)} \cdot \sum_{q=c}^n K^{(q)}.$$

The argument of (4.25) shows that $\bigwedge^0 V^* x_0 k \langle X, Y, Z \rangle \subseteq d\mathbb{V}$. Fix an integer q , with $c \leq q \leq n$. We next prove that $h^{(c)} K^{(q)} \subseteq d\mathbb{V}$. Let $\ell \geq 0$ be an integer, and let $u = u[\ell, q]$ and $L = L[\ell, q]$ be the integer and vector space, respectively, of Lemma 4.19. The element $h^{(c)}$ of \mathbb{B} is a cycle; and therefore, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{u-2c}^{(q)} & \longrightarrow & \begin{array}{c} \bigwedge^{n-q-1} V^* x_q Y(X, Z)^{(\ell-1)} \\ \oplus \\ \bigwedge^{n-q} V^* x_{q-1}(X, Z)^{(\ell)} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{d} & \begin{array}{c} \bigwedge^{n-q-2} V^* x_q Y(X, Z)^{(\ell-2)} \\ \oplus \\ \bigwedge^{n-q-1} V^* x_{q-1}(X, Z)^{(\ell-1)} \end{array} \\ & & \downarrow h^{(c)} & & \downarrow h^{(c)} & & \downarrow h^{(c)} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & \begin{array}{c} \bigwedge^{n-q-1} V^* x_{q-c} Y(X, Z)^{(\ell-1)} \\ \oplus \\ \bigwedge^{n-q} V^* x_{q-c-1}(X, Z)^{(\ell)} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{d} & \begin{array}{c} \bigwedge^{n-q-2} V^* x_{q-c} Y(X, Z)^{(\ell-2)} \\ \oplus \\ \bigwedge^{n-q-1} V^* x_{q-c-1}(X, Z)^{(\ell-1)} \end{array} \end{array}$$

commutes and has exact rows, where all of the vertical maps are multiplication by $h^{(c)}$. It follows that $(h^{(c)} K^{(q)})_u \subseteq L$. Lemma 4.19 guarantees that $L \subseteq d\mathbb{V}$. Since ℓ is an arbitrary non-negative integer, we conclude that $h^{(c)} K^{(q)} \subseteq d\mathbb{V}$. The proof that $h^{(c-1)} Y K^{(c)}$ is contained in $d\mathbb{V}$ is very similar. This time, we let $u = u[\ell, c]$ and $M = M[\ell, c]$ for some $\ell \geq 0$. The element $h^{(c-1)} Y$ is a cycle of \mathbb{B} ; and therefore, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_{u-2c}^{(c)} & \longrightarrow & \begin{array}{c} \bigwedge^{n-c-1} V^* x_c Y(X, Z)^{(\ell-1)} \\ \oplus \\ \bigwedge^{n-c} V^* x_{c-1}(X, Z)^{(\ell)} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{d} & \begin{array}{c} \bigwedge^{n-c-2} V^* x_c Y(X, Z)^{(\ell-2)} \\ \oplus \\ \bigwedge^{n-c-1} V^* x_{c-1}(X, Z)^{(\ell-1)} \end{array} \\ & & \downarrow h^{(c-1)} Y & & \downarrow h^{(c-1)} Y & & \downarrow h^{(c-1)} Y \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \begin{array}{c} \bigwedge^{n-c} V^* x_0 Y(X, Z)^{(\ell)} \end{array} & \xrightarrow{d} & \begin{array}{c} \bigwedge^{n-c-1} V^* x_0 Y(X, Z)^{(\ell-1)} \end{array} \end{array}$$

also commutes and has exact rows. Thus, $(h^{(c-1)} Y K^{(c)})_{u+1} \subseteq M$. Once again, Lemma 4.19 ensures that $M \subseteq d\mathbb{V}$ and we let $\ell \geq 0$ vary in order to see that $h^{(c-1)} K^{(c)}$ is contained in $d\mathbb{V}$. Condition (4.24) has been established and the proof is complete. \square

Remark. The above proof fails when $2 \leq c \leq n/2$, because, in this case, $h^{(c-1)} Y \cdot h^{(c)}$, which is equal to $\binom{2c-1}{c} h^{(2c-1)} Y$, is not a boundary in \mathbb{B} ; and therefore, it is not in $d\mathbb{V}$ for any choice of \mathbb{V} . This observation makes it very likely that \mathbb{B} is not Golod. We do not know what form Theorem 4.2 takes under the present hypothesis on c .

REFERENCES

1. L. Avramov, *Small homomorphisms of local rings*, J. Alg. **50** (1978), 400–453.
2. L. Avramov, *Golod homomorphisms*, Algebra, Algebraic Topology and their Interactions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1183**, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1986, pp. 59–78.
3. L. Avramov, *Homological asymptotics of modules over local rings*, Commutative Algebra, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications **15**, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1989, pp. 33–62.
4. L. Avramov, *Modules of finite virtual projective dimension*, Invent. math. **96** (1989), 71–101.
5. L. Avramov, *Local rings over which all modules have rational Poincaré series*, preprint, (Purdue University, 1992).
6. L. Avramov, A. Kustin, and M. Miller, *Poincaré series of modules over local rings of small embedding codepth or small linking number*, J. Alg. **118** (1988), 162–204.

7. D. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, *Algebra structures for finite free resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension 3*, Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977), 447–485.
8. D. Eisenbud, *Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (1980), 35–64.
9. V. Gasharov and I. Peeva, *Boundedness versus periodicity over commutative local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **320** (1990), 569–580.
10. T. Gulliksen and G. Levin, *Homology of local rings*, Queen’s papers in pure and applied mathematics **20**, Queen’s University, Kingston, Ontario, 1969.
11. M. Hashimoto, *Determinantal ideals without minimal free resolutions*, Nagoya Math. J. **118** (1990), 203–216.
12. J. Herzog, *Homological properties of the module of differentials*, Atas da 6^a escola de álgebra Recife, Coleção Atas. Soc. Brasileira de Mat. **14**, Rio de Janeiro, 1981, pp. 33–64.
13. J. Herzog and M. Miller, *Gorenstein ideals of deviation two*, Comm. in Alg. **13** (1985), 1977–1990.
14. C. Huneke and B. Ulrich, *Divisor class groups and deformations*, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), 1265–1303.
15. C. Jacobsson, A. Kustin, and M. Miller, *The Poincaré series of a codimension four Gorenstein ring is rational*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **38** (1985), 255–275.
16. T. Józefiak, *Tate resolutions for commutative graded algebras over a local ring*, Fund. Math. **74** (1972), 209–231.
17. A. Kustin, *The minimal free resolutions of the Huneke-Ulrich deviation two Gorenstein ideals*, J. Alg. **100** (1986), 265–304.
18. A. Kustin, *Pfaffian identities, with applications to free resolutions, DG-algebras, and algebras with straightening law*, Proceedings of the Summer Research Conference on Commutative Algebra: Syzygies, Multiplicities, and Birational Algebra, Contemporary Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (to appear).
19. A. Kustin, *Huneke-Ulrich almost complete intersections of Cohen-Macaulay type two*, preprint (University of South Carolina, 1993).
20. A. Kustin and S. Palmer, *The Poincaré series of every finitely generated module over a codimension four almost complete intersection is a rational function*, J. Pure and Appl. Alg. (to appear).
21. E. Lopez, *Licci Gorenstein ideals of deviation two*, Ph. D. thesis, Michigan State University, 1988.
22. J. Roberts and J. Weyman, *A short proof of a theorem of M. Hashimoto*, J. Alg. **134** (1990), 144–156.
23. J.-E. Roos, *On the use of graded Lie algebras in the theory of local rings*, Commutative Algebra: Durham 1981, Lond. Math. Soc. **72**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982, pp. 204–230.
24. H. Srinivasan, *Minimal algebra resolutions for cyclic modules defined by Huneke-Ulrich ideals*, J. Alg. **137** (1991), 433–472.
25. L.-C. Sun, *Growth of Betti numbers of modules over local rings of small embedding codimension or small linking number*, preprint (Purdue University, 1993).
26. B. Ulrich, *Vanishing of cotangent functors*, Math. Zeit. **196** (1987), 463–484.
27. W. Vasconcelos and R. Villarreal, *On Gorenstein ideals of codimension four*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **98** (1986), 205–210.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SC 29208

E-mail address: kustin@milo.math.sc Carolina.edu