

Show your work to get credit. An answer with no work will not get credit.

1. (15 Points) Define the following:

(a) Linear independence.

The vectors v_1, \dots, v_m in the vector space V are linearly independent iff the only scalars $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{F}$ with $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_mv_m = 0$ are $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_m = 0$.

(b) The span of a subset S of a vector space V .

The span of S is the set of all linear combinations formed from elements of S .

(c) The vector space V is direct sum of its subspaces U and W .

The V is direct sum of its subspaces U and W (written $V = U \oplus W$) iff $V = U + W$ and $U \cap W = \{0\}$. □

2. (10 Points) Find (no proof required) a linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ so that

$$T \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

First solution: We first write the vector $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ as a linear combination of the basis $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = x \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + y \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = x \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + y \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = (x - y) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + y \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now use that we know the values of T on the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$:

$$\begin{aligned} T \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} &= T \left((x - y) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + y \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = (x - y)T \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + yT \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (x - y) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + y \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2x - 2y \\ 2x - 3y \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Second Solution: We look for T as being given by a matrix:

$$T \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \\ e & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the conditions on T yield

$$\begin{aligned} T \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \\ e & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \\ e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ T \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \\ e & f \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a + b \\ c + d \\ e + f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to the equations $a = 1$, $c = 2$, $e = 3$, $a + b = 1$, $c + d = 0$, and $e + f = 0$. This gives the values of a , c , and e . Then it is easy to see that $b = 0$, $d = -2$, and $f = -3$. Therefore

$$T \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 \\ 3 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 2x - 2y \\ 2x - 3y \end{bmatrix}.$$

□

3. (10 Points) Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be linearly independent vectors in a vector space V . Then show that the vectors $v_1, 2v_1 + v_2, 3v_1 + 2v_2 + v_3$ are also linearly independent.

Solution: Let $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{F}$ be scalars so that

$$c_1 v_1 + c_2(2v_1 + v_2) + c_3(3v_1 + 2v_2 + v_3) = 0.$$

To finish we need to show that $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$. Regrouping gives

$$(1) \quad (c_1 + 2c_2 + 3c_3)v_1 + (c_2 + 2c_3)v_2 + c_3v_3 = 0.$$

Because v_1, v_2, v_3 are linearly independent (and if you did not say very explicitly say this, you lost most of the points on the problem) the coefficients of v_1, v_2, v_3 vanish in (1) and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 + 2c_2 + 3c_3 &= 0 \\ c_2 + 2c_3 &= 0 \\ c_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Back solving in this gives $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$, which completes the proof. □

4. (10 Points) Let $M_{2 \times 2}$ be the 2 by 2 matrices over the field \mathbb{F} and let

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

be the subspace of diagonal matrices. Show that any three dimensional subspace of $M_{2 \times 2}$ contains a nonzero diagonal matrix.

Solution: First note that $\dim \mathcal{D} = 2$ (which is clear as $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{D}). Let \mathcal{V} be a three dimensional subspace of $M_{2 \times 2}$. Then

$$\dim(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{D}) = \dim(\mathcal{D}) + \dim(\mathcal{V}) - \dim(\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{D}) = 5 - \dim(\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{D}) \geq 1$$

as $\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{D} \subset M_{2 \times 2}$ so that $\dim(\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{D}) \leq \dim(M_{2 \times 2}) = 4$. But $\dim(\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{D}) \geq 1$ implies that $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{D}$ contains a nonzero element, which is the desired nonzero diagonal matrix in \mathcal{V} . □

5. (10 Points) Find (no proof required) a basis for the set of the space of vectors $(x, y, z, w) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ that satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} x + y + z + w &= 0 \\ x + y + 2z + 3w &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solution: Row reducing the matrix of coefficients of the system leads to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies the system is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} x &= -y + w \\ z &= -2w. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the subspace in question is

$$\{(-y + w, y, -2w, w) : y, w \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{y(-1, 1, 0, 0) + w(1, 0, -2, 1) : y, w \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

so that $\{(-1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, -2, 1)\}$ is the required basis. \square

6. (15 Points) Show that if v_1, \dots, v_k are vectors in the vector space V and $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{F}$ are scalars so that

$$c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_kv_k = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad c_k \neq 0$$

then

$$\text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\} = \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}.$$

Solution: The inclusion $\text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\} \subseteq \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is clear and so we are done if we can show $\text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$. From the relation $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_kv_k = 0$ and using $c_k \neq 0$ we can solve for v_k to get

$$v_k = -\frac{c_1}{c_k}v_1 - \frac{c_2}{c_k}v_2 - \dots - \frac{c_{k-1}}{c_k}v_{k-1}.$$

This shows v_k is a linear combination of $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$. Therefore, by the definition of the span of a set of vectors, $v_k \in \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$. As $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\} \subseteq \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ we therefore have $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k\} \subseteq \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$. Thus

$$\text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k\} \subseteq \text{Span}\text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\} = \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$$

and we are done. \square

7. (15 Points) Let U and W be subspaces of a vector space so that

$$\dim U = 3, \quad \dim W = 4, \quad \dim(U \cap W) = 2.$$

Then show directly, that is without using the theorem that $\dim(U + W) = \dim U + \dim W - \dim U \cap W$, that $\dim(U + W) = 5$. (So you are being asked to prove $\dim(U + W) = \dim U + \dim W - \dim(U \cap W)$ in this special case.)

Solution: Let v_1, v_2 be a basis for $U \cap W$. This can be extended to a basis v_1, v_2, u_3 of U and to a basis v_1, v_2, w_3, w_4 of W . We now claim that $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, u_3, w_3, w_4\}$ is a basis of $U + W$. As \mathcal{B} has 5 elements this will show that $\dim(U + W) = 5$. To show that \mathcal{B} is a basis of $U + W$ we to show two things. First that $\text{Span}\mathcal{B} = U + W$ and second that \mathcal{B} is linearly independent.

To see that $\text{Span}\mathcal{B} = U + W$ first note that $\{v_1, v_2, u_3\} \subset U$ and $\{w_3, w_4\} \subset W$ so that $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, u_3, w_3, w_4\} \subset U \cup W$. Therefore

$$\text{Span}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \text{Span}(U \cup W) = U + W.$$

To get set containment in the other direction let $x \in U + W$. Then $x = u + w$ where $u \in U$ and $w \in W$. As $\{v_1, v_2, u_3\}$ is a basis for U there are scalars $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{F}$ so that $u = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3u_3$. Likewise $\{v_1, v_2, w_3, w_4\}$ is a basis of W so that there are scalars $b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 \in \mathbb{F}$ so that $w = b_1v_1 + b_2v_2 + b_3w_3 + b_4w_4$. Adding these expressions for u and w and doing a bit of regrouping gives

$$x = u + w = (a_1 + b_1)v_1 + (a_2 + b_2)v_2 + a_3u_3 + b_3w_3 + b_4w_4$$

so that $x \in \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2, u_3, w_3, w_4\} = \text{Span}\mathcal{B}$. As x was an arbitrary element of $U + W$ this shows $U + W \subseteq \text{Span}\mathcal{B}$ and completes the proof that $\text{Span}\mathcal{B} = U + W$.

To see that \mathcal{B} is linearly independent assume that there are scalars c_1, \dots, c_5 so that

$$c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + c_3u_3 + c_4w_3 + c_5w_4 = 0.$$

We need to show that $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_5 = 0$. Toward this end rewrite the last equation as

$$(2) \quad c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + c_3u_3 = -c_4w_3 - c_5w_4.$$

Then setting $y = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + c_3u_3 = -c_4w_3 - c_5w_4$ we see from $y = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + c_3u_3$ that $y \in U$ and from $y = -c_4w_3 - c_5w_4$ that $y \in W$. Therefore $y \in U \cap W$. Thus y can be expressed

as a linear combination of the basis elements v_1, v_2 of $U \cap W$. That is $y = d_1v_1 + d_2v_2$. Equating two of our expressions for y gives $d_1v_1 + d_2v_2 = -c_4w_3 - c_5w_4$ which can be rewritten as

$$d_1v_1 + d_2v_2 + c_4w_3 + c_5w_4$$

and as $\{v_1, v_2, w_3, w_4\}$ is a basis of W , and thus linearly independent, this implies $d_1 = d_2 = c_4 = c_5 = 0$. Using $c_4 = c_5 = 0$ in (2) gives

$$c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + c_3u_3 = 0.$$

As $\{v_1, v_2, u_3\}$ is a basis for U this implies $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$. Thus we now have $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = c_5 = 0$ which completes both the proof that \mathcal{B} is linearly independent and the proof of the proposition. \square

8. (15 Points) Let $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ be two linearly independent sets in a vector space W such that $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{W}$ is linearly independent. Then show

$$\text{Span}(\mathcal{U}) \cap \text{Span}(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}.$$

Solution 1: It is clear that $\{0\} \subseteq \text{Span}(\mathcal{U}) \cap \text{Span}(\mathcal{W})$. Let $x \in \text{Span}(\mathcal{U}) \cap \text{Span}(\mathcal{W})$ then $x \in \text{Span}(\mathcal{U})$ implies that $x = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2$ for some scalars $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}$. Likewise $x \in \text{Span}(\mathcal{W})$ implies $x = b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + b_3w_3$ for scalars $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{F}$. Setting these expressions equal to each other gives $x = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 = b_1w_1 + b_2w_2 + b_3w_3$ which can be rewritten as

$$a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 - b_1w_1 - b_2w_2 - b_3w_3 = 0.$$

As $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{W} = \{u_1, u_2, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ is linearly independent this implies $a_1 = a_2 = b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 0$. So $x = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 = 0$. As x was an arbitrary element of $\text{Span}(\mathcal{U}) \cap \text{Span}(\mathcal{W})$ this completes the proof that $\text{Span}(\mathcal{U}) \cap \text{Span}(\mathcal{W}) = \{0\}$. \square

Remark: There are more hypothesis than needed in this problem. We only used that $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{W}$ is linearly independent. (However if $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{W}$ is linearly independent then its subsets \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{W} will each be linearly independent so it is not surprising that assuming that \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{W} are linearly independent is redundant.)

Solution 2: Note that $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{W} = \{u_1, u_2, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ will be a basis for $\text{Span}\mathcal{U} + \text{Span}\mathcal{W}$ and therefore $\dim(\text{Span}\mathcal{U} + \text{Span}\mathcal{W}) = 5$. Whence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\text{Span}\mathcal{U} \cap \text{Span}\mathcal{W}) &= \dim \text{Span}\mathcal{U} + \dim \text{Span}\mathcal{W} - \dim(\text{Span}\mathcal{U} + \text{Span}\mathcal{W}) \\ &= 2 + 3 - 5 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As $\{0\}$ is the only zero dimensional subspace this implies $\text{Span}\mathcal{U} \cap \text{Span}\mathcal{W} = \{0\}$. \square