This is a method which was developed in 1982 by Arjen Lenstra, Hendrik Lenstra and László Lovász to prove that factoring polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  can be done in polynomial time.

This is a method which was developed in 1982 by Arjen Lenstra, Hendrik Lenstra and László Lovász to prove that factoring polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  can be done in polynomial time. It is sometimes called the LLL-algorithm or the L<sup>3</sup>-algorithm.

Definitions and Notations.

This is a method which was developed in 1982 by Arjen Lenstra, Hendrik Lenstra and László Lovász to prove that factoring polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  can be done in polynomial time. It is sometimes called the LLL-algorithm or the L<sup>3</sup>-algorithm.

Definitions and Notations. Let  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  denote the set of vectors  $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$  with  $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

This is a method which was developed in 1982 by Arjen Lenstra, Hendrik Lenstra and László Lovász to prove that factoring polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  can be done in polynomial time. It is sometimes called the LLL-algorithm or the L<sup>3</sup>-algorithm.

Definitions and Notations. Let  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  denote the set of vectors  $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$  with  $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $\vec{b} = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and  $\vec{b}' = \langle a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , define the usual dot product  $\vec{b} \cdot \vec{b}'$  by

$$ec{b}\cdotec{b}'=a_1a_1'+a_2a_2'+\cdots+a_na_n',$$

and set

$$\|ec{b}\| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2}.$$

Definitions and Notations. Let  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  denote the set of vectors  $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$  with  $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $\vec{b} = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and  $\vec{b}' = \langle a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , define the usual dot product  $\vec{b} \cdot \vec{b}'$  by

$$ec{b}\cdotec{b}'=a_1a_1'+a_2a_2'+\dots+a_na_n',$$

and set

$$\|ec{b}\| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2}.$$

Definitions and Notations. Let  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  denote the set of vectors  $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$  with  $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $\vec{b} = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and  $\vec{b}' = \langle a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , define the usual dot product  $\vec{b} \cdot \vec{b}'$  by

$$ec{b}\cdotec{b}'=a_1a_1'+a_2a_2'+\cdots+a_na_n',$$

and set

$$\|ec{b}\| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2}.$$

Further, we use  $A^T$  to denote the transpose of a matrix A, so the rows and columns of A are the same as the columns and rows of  $A^T$ , respectively.

Definitions and Notations. Let  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  denote the set of vectors  $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$  with  $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $\vec{b} = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and  $\vec{b}' = \langle a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ ,

define the usual dot product  $\vec{b} \cdot \vec{b}'$  by

$$ec{b}\cdotec{b}'=a_1a_1'+a_2a_2'+\cdots+a_na_n',$$

and set

$$\|ec{b}\| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2}.$$

Further, we use  $A^T$  to denote the transpose of a matrix A, so the rows and columns of A are the same as the columns and rows of  $A^T$ , respectively. Let  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , and let  $A = (\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n)$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix with column vectors  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$ . Definitions and Notations. Let  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  denote the set of vectors  $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$  with  $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $\vec{b} = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and  $\vec{b}' = \langle a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ ,

define the usual dot product  $\vec{b} \cdot \vec{b}'$  by

$$ec{b}\cdotec{b}'=a_1a_1'+a_2a_2'+\dots+a_na_n',$$

and set

$$\|ec{b}\| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2}.$$

Further, we use  $A^T$  to denote the transpose of a matrix A, so the rows and columns of A are the same as the columns and rows of  $A^T$ , respectively. Let  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , and let  $A = (\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n)$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix with column vectors  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$ . The lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  generated by  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

Definitions and Notations. Let  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  denote the set of vectors  $\langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$  with  $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $\vec{b} = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  and  $\vec{b}' = \langle a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_n \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ ,

define the usual dot product  $\vec{b} \cdot \vec{b}'$  by

$$ec{b}\cdotec{b}'=a_1a_1'+a_2a_2'+\dots+a_na_n',$$

and set

$$\|ec{b}\| = \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2}.$$

Further, we use  $A^T$  to denote the transpose of a matrix A, so the rows and columns of A are the same as the columns and rows of  $A^T$ , respectively. Let  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ , and let  $A = (\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n)$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix with column vectors  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$ . The lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  generated by  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We typically want  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  to be linearly independent; in this case,  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We typically want  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  to be linearly independent; in this case,  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We typically want  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  to be linearly independent; in this case,  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Comment:** 

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We typically want  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  to be linearly independent; in this case,  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Comment: Different A can determine the same  $\mathcal{L}$ .

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We typically want  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  to be linearly independent; in this case,  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Comment: Different A can determine the same  $\mathcal{L}$ . But given  $\mathcal{L}$ , the value of  $|\det A|$  is the same for all such A.

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We typically want  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  to be linearly independent; in this case,  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Comment: Different A can determine the same  $\mathcal{L}$ . But given  $\mathcal{L}$ , the value of  $|\det A|$  is the same for all such A. To see this, observe that if  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  and  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  are two bases for  $\mathcal{L}$ , there are matrices U and V with integer entries such that

$$ig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig)UV=ig(ec{b}_1',\ldots,ec{b}_nig)V=ig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig).$$

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We typically want  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  to be linearly independent; in this case,  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Comment: Different A can determine the same  $\mathcal{L}$ . But given  $\mathcal{L}$ , the value of  $|\det A|$  is the same for all such A. To see this, observe that if  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  and  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  are two bases for  $\mathcal{L}$ , there are matrices U and V with integer entries such that

$$ig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig)UV=ig(ec{b}_1',\ldots,ec{b}_n'ig)V=ig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig).$$

Given that  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it follows that UV is the identity matrix and det  $V = \pm 1$ .

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We typically want  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  to be linearly independent; in this case,  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Comment: Different A can determine the same  $\mathcal{L}$ . But given  $\mathcal{L}$ , the value of  $|\det A|$  is the same for all such A. To see this, observe that if  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  and  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  are two bases for  $\mathcal{L}$ , there are matrices U and V with integer entries such that

$$ig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig)UV=ig(ec{b}_1',\ldots,ec{b}_n'ig)V=ig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig).$$

Given that  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it follows that UV is the identity matrix and det  $V = \pm 1$ . The second equation above then implies

$$|\detig(ec{b}_1',\ldots,ec{b}_n'ig)|=|\detig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig)|.$$

$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We typically want  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  to be linearly independent; in this case,  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Comment: Different A can determine the same  $\mathcal{L}$ . But given  $\mathcal{L}$ , the value of  $|\det A|$  is the same for all such A. To see this, observe that if  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  and  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  are two bases for  $\mathcal{L}$ , there are matrices U and V with integer entries such that

$$ig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig)UV=ig(ec{b}_1',\ldots,ec{b}_n'ig)V=ig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig).$$

Given that  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it follows that UV is the identity matrix and det  $V = \pm 1$ . The second equation above then implies

$$|\det\left(ec{b}_1',\ldots,ec{b}_n'
ight)| = |\det\left(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_n
ight)|.$$

We set det  $\mathcal{L}$  to be this common value.

Example. In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the lattice formed from the basis  $\langle 1, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  is the same as the lattice formed from the basis  $\langle 1, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ . This can be seen geometrically and algebraically.

Example 2. The lattice  $\mathcal{L}_1$  with basis  $\langle 2, 1 \rangle$  and  $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$  and the lattice  $\mathcal{L}_2$  with basis  $\langle 3, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle 3, 1 \rangle$  are such that det  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \det \mathcal{L}_2$ . But the lattices are quite different.









$$\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(A)=ec{b}_1\mathbb{Z}+\dots+ec{b}_n\mathbb{Z}.$$

We typically want  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  to be linearly independent; in this case,  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is called a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Comment: Different A can determine the same  $\mathcal{L}$ . But given  $\mathcal{L}$ , the value of  $|\det A|$  is the same for all such A. To see this, observe that if  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  and  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  are two bases for  $\mathcal{L}$ , there are matrices U and V with integer entries such that

$$ig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig)UV=ig(ec{b}_1',\ldots,ec{b}_n'ig)V=ig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig).$$

Given that  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it follows that UV is the identity matrix and det  $V = \pm 1$ . The second equation above then implies

$$|\det\left(ec{b}_1',\ldots,ec{b}_n'
ight)| = |\det\left(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_n
ight)|.$$

We set det  $\mathcal{L}$  to be this common value.

The Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process

Define recursively

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} ec{b}_j^*, \qquad ext{for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where

$$\mu_{ij}=\mu_{i,j}=rac{ec{b}_i\cdotec{b}_j^*}{ec{b}_j^*\cdotec{b}_j^*},$$

for  $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ .

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} ec{b}_j^*, \qquad ext{for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where

$$\mu_{ij}=\mu_{i,j}=rac{ec{b}_i\cdotec{b}_j^*}{ec{b}_j^*\cdotec{b}_j^*},$$

for 
$$1 \leq j < i \leq n$$
.

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} ec{b}_j^*, \qquad ext{for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu_{i,j} = rac{ec{b}_i \cdot ec{b}_j^*}{ec{b}_j^* \cdot ec{b}_j^*}, \qquad ext{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq n.$$

Then for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , the vectors  $\vec{b}_1^*, \ldots, \vec{b}_i^*$  span the same subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_i$ . In other words,

$$egin{aligned} &\{a_1ec{b}_1^*+\dots+a_iec{b}_i^*:a_j\in\mathbb{R} ext{ for }1\leq j\leq i\}\ &=\{a_1ec{b}_1+\dots+a_iec{b}_i:a_j\in\mathbb{R} ext{ for }1\leq j\leq i\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} ec{b}_j^*, \qquad ext{for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu_{i,j} = rac{ec{b}_i \cdot ec{b}_j^*}{ec{b}_j^* \cdot ec{b}_j^*}, \qquad ext{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq n.$$

Then for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , the vectors  $\vec{b}_1^*, \ldots, \vec{b}_i^*$  span the same subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_i$ . In other words,

$$egin{aligned} &\{a_1ec{b}_1^*+\dots+a_iec{b}_i^*:a_j\in\mathbb{R} ext{ for }1\leq j\leq i\}\ &=\{a_1ec{b}_1+\dots+a_iec{b}_i:a_j\in\mathbb{R} ext{ for }1\leq j\leq i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the vectors  $\vec{b}_1^*, \ldots, \vec{b}_n^*$  are linearly independent (hence, non-zero) and pairwise orthogonal (i.e., for distinct i and j, we have  $\vec{b}_i^* \cdot \vec{b}_j^* = 0$ ).

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} ec{b}_j^*, \qquad ext{for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu_{i,j} = rac{ec{b}_i \cdot ec{b}_j^*}{ec{b}_j^* \cdot ec{b}_j^*}, \qquad ext{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq n.$$

Then for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , the vectors  $\vec{b}_1^*, \ldots, \vec{b}_i^*$  span the same subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_i$ . In other words,

$$egin{aligned} &\{a_1ec{b}_1^*+\dots+a_iec{b}_i^*:a_j\in\mathbb{R} ext{ for }1\leq j\leq i\}\ &=\{a_1ec{b}_1+\dots+a_iec{b}_i:a_j\in\mathbb{R} ext{ for }1\leq j\leq i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the vectors  $\vec{b}_1^*, \ldots, \vec{b}_n^*$  are linearly independent (hence, non-zero) and pairwise orthogonal (i.e., for distinct i and j, we have  $\vec{b}_i^* \cdot \vec{b}_j^* = 0$ ).

## Hadamard's Inequality

The value of det  $\mathcal{L}$  can be viewed as the volume of the polyhedron with edges parallel to and the same length as  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$ .

## Hadamard's Inequality

The value of det  $\mathcal{L}$  can be viewed as the volume of the polyhedron with edges parallel to and the same length as  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$ . This volume is independent of the basis that is used for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

## Hadamard's Inequality

The value of det  $\mathcal{L}$  can be viewed as the volume of the polyhedron with edges parallel to and the same length as  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$ . This volume is independent of the basis that is used for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Geometrically (in low dimensions),

 $\det \mathcal{L} \leq \|ec{b}_1\| \, \|ec{b}_2\| \cdots \|ec{b}_n\|.$ 

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} ec{b}_j^*$$

The value of det  $\mathcal{L}$  can be viewed as the volume of the polyhedron with edges parallel to and the same length as  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$ . This volume is independent of the basis that is used for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Geometrically (in low dimensions),

$$\det \mathcal{L} \leq \ \|ec{b}_1\| \, \|ec{b}_2\| \cdots \|ec{b}_n\|.$$

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} ec{b}_j^*$$

The value of det  $\mathcal{L}$  can be viewed as the volume of the polyhedron with edges parallel to and the same length as  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$ . This volume is independent of the basis that is used for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Geometrically (in low dimensions),

$$\det \mathcal{L} \leq \|\vec{b}_1\| \|\vec{b}_2\| \cdots \|\vec{b}_n\|.$$

This is Hadamard's inequality.

Proof (in any dimensions). Column operations imply

$$\det\left(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_n
ight)=\det\left(ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*
ight).$$

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} ec{b}_j^*$$

The value of det  $\mathcal{L}$  can be viewed as the volume of the polyhedron with edges parallel to and the same length as  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$ . This volume is independent of the basis that is used for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Geometrically (in low dimensions),

$$\det \mathcal{L} \leq \|ec{b}_1\| \, \|ec{b}_2\| \cdots \|ec{b}_n\|.$$

This is Hadamard's inequality.

Proof (in any dimensions). Column operations imply

$$\det\left(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_n
ight)=\det\left(ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*
ight).$$

Since  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ , we deduce that

$$(\det\mathcal{L})^2 = \det\left((ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*)^T(ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*)
ight) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \|ec{b}_i^*\|
ight)^2.$$

$$\det \mathcal{L} \leq \ \|ec{b}_1\| \, \|ec{b}_2\| \cdots \|ec{b}_n\|$$

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij}ec{b}_j^*$$

$$\detig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig) = \detig(ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*ig).$$

Since  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ , we deduce that

$$(\det\mathcal{L})^2 = \det\left((ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*)^T(ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*)
ight) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \|ec{b}_i^*\|
ight)^2.$$

$$\det \mathcal{L} \leq \ \|ec{b}_1\| \, \|ec{b}_2\| \cdots \|ec{b}_n\|$$

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij}ec{b}_j^*$$

$$\detig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig) = \detig(ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*ig).$$

Since  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ , we deduce that

$$(\det \mathcal{L})^2 = \det \left( (ec{b}_1^*, \dots, ec{b}_n^*)^T (ec{b}_1^*, \dots, ec{b}_n^*) 
ight) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \|ec{b}_i^*\| 
ight)^2.$$

Thus, det  $\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i=1}^n \|\vec{b}_i^*\|$ . So it suffices to show  $\|\vec{b}_i^*\| \le \|\vec{b}_i\|$ .

$$\det \mathcal{L} \leq \; \|ec{b}_1\| \, \|ec{b}_2\| \cdots \|ec{b}_n\|$$

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij}ec{b}_j^*$$

$$\detig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig) = \detig(ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*ig).$$

Since  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ , we deduce that

$$(\det\mathcal{L})^2 = \detig((ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*)^T(ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*)ig) = ig(\prod_{i=1}^n \|ec{b}_i^*\|ig)^2.$$

Thus, det  $\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \|\vec{b}_{i}^{*}\|$ . So it suffices to show  $\|\vec{b}_{i}^{*}\| \leq \|\vec{b}_{i}\|$ . The orthogonality of the  $\vec{b}_{i}^{*}$ 's implies

$$\|ec{b}_i\|^2 = \left\|ec{b}_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij}ec{b}_j^*
ight\|^2 = \|ec{b}_i^*\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij}^2 \|ec{b}_j^*\|^2.$$

$$\det \mathcal{L} \leq \; \|ec{b}_1\| \, \|ec{b}_2\| \cdots \|ec{b}_n\|$$

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij}ec{b}_j^*$$

$$\detig(ec{b}_1,\ldots,ec{b}_nig) = \detig(ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*ig).$$

Since  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ , we deduce that

$$(\det\mathcal{L})^2 = \detig((ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*)^T(ec{b}_1^*,\ldots,ec{b}_n^*)ig) = ig(\prod_{i=1}^n \|ec{b}_i^*\|ig)^2.$$

Thus, det  $\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \|\vec{b}_{i}^{*}\|$ . So it suffices to show  $\|\vec{b}_{i}^{*}\| \leq \|\vec{b}_{i}\|$ . The orthogonality of the  $\vec{b}_{i}^{*}$ 's implies

$$\|ec{b}_i\|^2 = \left\|ec{b}_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij}ec{b}_j^*
ight\|^2 = \|ec{b}_i^*\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij}^2\|ec{b}_j^*\|^2.$$

The inequality  $\|\vec{b}_i^*\| \leq \|\vec{b}_i\|$  follows.

Comments: Hermite proved there is a constant  $c_n$  (depending only on n) such that for some basis  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have  $\|\vec{b}_1\| \|\vec{b}_2\| \cdots \|\vec{b}_n\| \leq c_n \det \mathcal{L}.$ 

Comments: Hermite proved there is a constant  $c_n$  (depending only on n) such that for some basis  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have  $\|\vec{b}_1\| \|\vec{b}_2\| \cdots \|\vec{b}_n\| \leq c_n \det \mathcal{L}.$ 

It is known that  $c_n \leq n^n$ .

Comments: Hermite proved there is a constant  $c_n$  (depending only on n) such that for some basis  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have  $\|\vec{b}_1\| \|\vec{b}_2\| \cdots \|\vec{b}_n\| \leq c_n \det \mathcal{L}.$ 

It is known that  $c_n \leq n^n$ . Minkowski has shown that there exist *n* linearly independent vectors  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that

$$\|ec{b}_1'\|\,\|ec{b}_2'\|\cdots\|ec{b}_n'\|\leq n^{n/2}\det\mathcal{L},$$

but  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  is not necessarily a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Comments: Hermite proved there is a constant  $c_n$  (depending only on n) such that for some basis  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have  $\|\vec{b}_1\| \|\vec{b}_2\| \cdots \|\vec{b}_n\| < c_n \det \mathcal{L}.$ 

It is known that  $c_n \leq n^n$ . Minkowski has shown that there exist *n* linearly independent vectors  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that

$$\|ec{b}_1'\|\,\|ec{b}_2'\|\cdots\|ec{b}_n'\|\leq n^{n/2}\det\mathcal{L},$$

but  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  is not necessarily a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Further, we note that the problem of finding a basis  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  for which  $\|\vec{b}_1\| \cdots \|\vec{b}_n\|$  is minimal is known to be NP-hard.

Comments: Hermite proved there is a constant  $c_n$  (depending only on n) such that for some basis  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have

$$\|ec{b}_1\| \, \|ec{b}_2\| \cdots \|ec{b}_n\| \leq c_n \det \mathcal{L}.$$

It is known that  $c_n \leq n^n$ . Minkowski has shown that there exist *n* linearly independent vectors  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that

 $\|ec{b}_1'\|\,\|ec{b}_2'\|\cdots\|ec{b}_n'\|\leq n^{n/2}\det\mathcal{L},$ 

but  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  is not necessarily a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Further, we note that the problem of finding a basis  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  for which  $\|\vec{b}_1\| \cdots \|\vec{b}_n\|$  is minimal is known to be NP-hard.

Hermite's result implies there is a constant  $c'_n$ , depending only on n, such that  $\|\vec{b}\| \leq c'_n \sqrt[n]{\det \mathcal{L}}$ .

Comments: Hermite proved there is a constant  $c_n$  (depending only on n) such that for some basis  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we have

$$\|ec{b}_1\|\,\|ec{b}_2\|\cdots\|ec{b}_n\|\leq c_n\det\mathcal{L}.$$

It is known that  $c_n \leq n^n$ . Minkowski has shown that there exist *n* linearly independent vectors  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that

 $\|ec{b}_1'\|\,\|ec{b}_2'\|\cdots\|ec{b}_n'\|\leq n^{n/2}\det\mathcal{L},$ 

but  $\vec{b}'_1, \ldots, \vec{b}'_n$  is not necessarily a basis for  $\mathcal{L}$ . Further, we note that the problem of finding a basis  $\vec{b}_1, \ldots, \vec{b}_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  for which  $\|\vec{b}_1\| \cdots \|\vec{b}_n\|$  is minimal is known to be NP-hard.

Hermite's result implies there is a constant  $c'_n$ , depending only on n, such that  $\|\vec{b}\| \leq c'_n \sqrt[n]{\det \mathcal{L}}$ . A lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  can contain a vector that is much shorter than this, but it is known that the best constant  $c'_n$  for all lattices  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies

$$\sqrt{n/(2e\pi)} \leq c'_n \leq \sqrt{n/(e\pi)}.$$

Hermite's result implies there is a constant  $c'_n$ , depending only on n, such that  $\|\vec{b}\| \leq c'_n \sqrt[n]{\det \mathcal{L}}$ . A lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  can contain a vector that is much shorter than this, but it is known that the best constant  $c'_n$  for all lattices  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies

$$\sqrt{n/(2e\pi)} \leq c'_n \leq \sqrt{n/(e\pi)}.$$

No one knows a polynomial time algorithm for finding  $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $\|\vec{b}\|$  minimal, but it is not known to be NP-complete.

Hermite's result implies there is a constant  $c'_n$ , depending only on n, such that  $\|\vec{b}\| \leq c'_n \sqrt[n]{\det \mathcal{L}}$ . A lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  can contain a vector that is much shorter than this, but it is known that the best constant  $c'_n$  for all lattices  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies

$$\sqrt{n/(2e\pi)} \leq c'_n \leq \sqrt{n/(e\pi)}.$$

No one knows a polynomial time algorithm for finding  $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $\|\vec{b}\|$  minimal, but it is not known to be NP-complete. Lagarias has, however, proved that the problem of finding a vector  $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{L}$  which minimizes the maximal absolute value of a component is NP-hard.

# $ec{b}\in\mathcal{L}, \ ec{b} eq 0 \ \Longrightarrow \ ec{b}ec{b}ec{b} \geq \min\{ec{b}_1^*ec{v}, ec{b}_2^*ec{v}, \ldots, ec{b}_n^*ec{v}\}\}$

$$ec{b}_i = ec{b}_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij}ec{b}_j^*$$

$$ec{b}_i^* = ec{b}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} ec{b}_j^*$$

$$ec{b}\in\mathcal{L}, \ ec{b}
eq 0 \ \Longrightarrow \ ec{b}ec{b}ec{b} \geq \min\{ec{b}_1^*ec{v}, ec{b}_2^*ec{v}, \ldots, ec{b}_n^*ec{v}
vec\}$$

$$ec{b}_i = ec{b}_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij}ec{b}_j^* \qquad \qquad \mu_{i,j} = rac{ec{b}_i \cdot ec{b}_j^*}{ec{b}_j^* \cdot ec{b}_j^*}$$

 $ec{b} = u_1ec{b}_1 + \dots + u_kec{b}_k, \quad ext{where each } u_j \in \mathbb{Z} ext{ and } u_k 
eq 0$ 

 $ec{b} = v_1ec{b}_1^* + \dots + v_kec{b}_k^*, \quad ext{ where each } v_j \in \mathbb{Q} ext{ and } v_k = u_k$ 

 $\|ec{b}\|^2 = ig(v_1ec{b}_1^* + \dots + v_kec{b}_k^*ig) \cdot ig(v_1ec{b}_1^* + \dots + v_kec{b}_k^*ig)$ 

# $ec{b}\in\mathcal{L},\ ec{b} eq 0 \implies \|ec{b}\|\geq\min\{\|ec{b}_1^*\|,\|ec{b}_2^*\|,\dots,\|ec{b}_n^*\|\}$

$$ec{b}_{i} = ec{b}_{i}^{*} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} ec{b}_{j}^{*} \qquad \mu_{i,j} = rac{ec{b}_{i} \cdot ec{b}_{j}^{*}}{ec{b}_{j}^{*} \cdot ec{b}_{j}^{*}}$$

 $ec{b} = u_1ec{b}_1 + \dots + u_kec{b}_k, \quad ext{ where each } u_j \in \mathbb{Z} ext{ and } u_k 
eq 0$ 

$$ec{b} = v_1ec{b}_1^* + \dots + v_kec{b}_k^*, \quad ext{ where each } v_j \in \mathbb{Q} ext{ and } v_k = u_k$$

$$egin{aligned} \|ec{b}\|^2 &= ig(v_1ec{b}_1^*+\dots+v_kec{b}_k^*ig)\cdotig(v_1ec{b}_1^*+\dots+v_kec{b}_k^*ig)\ &= v_1^2\|ec{b}_1^*\|^2+\dots+v_k^2\|ec{b}_k^*\|^2 \geq \|ec{b}_k^*\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

 $ec{b} \in \mathcal{L}, \ k ext{ as above } \implies \|ec{b}\|^2 \geq \|ec{b}_k^*\|^2$ 

 $(\mathbf{*})$