Let f be an irreducible monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let α be a root of f. Let m be an integer for which $f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Preliminaries: Let n be a large positive integer, and let b be an integer ≥ 3 smaller than n. Suppose we write n in base b, so

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, b-1\}$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} c_j x^j$. Then one of the following holds:

- (i) The polynomial f(x) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
- (ii) The polynomial f(x) = g(x)h(x) for g(x) and h(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n = g(b)h(b) is a non-trivial factorization of n.

Comment: We can use f(x) above and $m = b = \lfloor n^{1/d} \rfloor$.

Let f be an irreducible monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let α be a root of f. Let m be an integer for which $f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. The mapping $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\phi(g(\alpha)) = g(m) \mod n$ for all $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a homomorphism. (Recall what $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is.)

Let f be an irreducible monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let α be a root of f. Let m be an integer for which $f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. The mapping $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\phi(g(\alpha)) = g(m) \mod n$ for all $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a homomorphism. (Recall what $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is.) The idea is to find a set S of polynomials $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that both of the following hold:

(i)
$$\prod_{g \in S} g(m) = y^2$$
 for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$

$${
m (ii)} \ \prod_{g\in S} g(lpha) = eta^2 ext{ for some } eta\in \mathbb{Z}[lpha].$$

Taking $x = \phi(\beta)$, we deduce

$$x^2\equiv \phi(eta)^2\equiv \phi(eta^2)\equiv \phiigg(\prod_{g\in S}g(lpha)igg)\equiv \prod_{g\in S}g(m)\equiv y^2 \pmod{n}.$$

Thus, we can hope to factor n by computing gcd(x+y, n).

The idea is to find a set S of polynomials $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that both of the following hold:

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{i}) \ \prod_{g \in S} g(m) = y^2 \ \mathrm{for \ some} \ y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (\mathrm{ii}) \ \prod_{g \in S} g(\alpha) = \beta^2 \ \mathrm{for \ some} \ \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]. \\ \mathrm{Taking} \ x = \phi(\beta), \ \mathrm{we \ deduce} \\ x^2 \equiv \phi(\beta)^2 \equiv \phi(\beta^2) \equiv \phi\Big(\prod_{g \in S} g(\alpha)\Big) \equiv \prod_{g \in S} g(m) \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}. \end{array}$$

What do we choose for the g(x)?

Take g(x) of the form a - bx where $|a| \leq D$ and $0 < b \leq D$.

What do we choose for the g(x)?

Take g(x) of the form a - bx where $|a| \leq D$ and $0 < b \leq D$.

We want g(m) to have only small prime factors. This is done by first choosing b and then, with b fixed, letting a vary and sieving to determine the a for which g(m) has only small prime factors.

$$egin{aligned} ext{(i)} & \prod_{g\in S} g(m) = y^2 ext{ for some } y\in \mathbb{Z} \ ext{(ii)} & \prod_{g\in S} g(lpha) = eta^2 ext{ for some } eta\in \mathbb{Z}[lpha]. \end{aligned}$$

How do we obtain the desired square in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$?

What do we choose for the g(x)?

Take g(x) of the form a - bx where $|a| \leq D$ and $0 < b \leq D$.

We want g(m) to have only small prime factors. This is done by first choosing b and then, with b fixed, letting a vary and sieving to determine the a for which g(m) has only small prime factors.

How do we obtain the desired square in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$?

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ be the distinct roots of f(x) with $\alpha = \alpha_1$. We consider the norm map $N(g(\alpha)) = g(\alpha_1) \cdots g(\alpha_d)$, where $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. It has the two properties:

• If g(x) and h(x) are in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, then

 $N(g(\alpha)h(\alpha)) = N(g(\alpha)) N(h(\alpha)).$

• If $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, then $N(g(\alpha)) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

How do we obtain the desired square in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$?

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ be the distinct roots of f(x) with $\alpha = \alpha_1$. We consider the norm map $N(g(\alpha)) = g(\alpha_1) \cdots g(\alpha_d)$, where $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. It has the two properties:

- If g(x) and h(x) are in $\mathbb{Z}[x],$ then $\mathrm{N}\left(g(lpha)h(lpha)
 ight) = \mathrm{N}\left(g(lpha)
 ight)\mathrm{N}\left(h(lpha)
 ight).$
- If $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, then $\operatorname{N}(g(\alpha)) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Observe that the norm of a square in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a square in \mathbb{Z} . On the other hand,

$$egin{aligned} \mathrm{N}(a-blpha) &= b^d \prod_{j=1}^d \left(rac{a}{b}-lpha_j
ight) = b^d f(a/b) \ &= a^d + c_{d-1}a^{d-1}b + \dots + c_1ab^{d-1} + c_0b^d. \end{aligned}$$

How do we obtain the desired square in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$?

Observe that the norm of a square in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a square in \mathbb{Z} . On the other hand,

$$egin{aligned} \mathrm{N}(a-blpha) &= b^d \prod_{j=1}^d \left(rac{a}{b} - lpha_j
ight) = b^d f(a/b) \ &= a^d + c_{d-1} a^{d-1} b + \dots + c_1 a b^{d-1} + c_0 b^d. \end{aligned}$$

The idea is to try to obtain a set S of pairs (a, b) as above. As we force the product $\prod(a - bm)$ to be a square (products over $(a, b) \in S$), we also force $\prod (a^d + c_{d-1}a^{d-1}b + \cdots + c_0b^d)$ to be a square.

This can be done by working with a matrix of exponents, in the prime factorizations of the above, modulo 2 similar to what is done in Dixon's algorithm.

Let f be an irreducible monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let α be a root of f. Let m be an integer for which $f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. The mapping $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\phi(g(\alpha)) = g(m) \mod n$ for all $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a homomorphism. (Recall what $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is.) The idea is to find a set S of polynomials $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that both of the following hold:

(i)
$$\prod_{g \in S} g(m) = y^2$$
 for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$

$${
m (ii)} \ \prod_{g\in S} g(lpha) = eta^2 ext{ for some } eta\in \mathbb{Z}[lpha].$$

Taking $x = \phi(\beta)$, we deduce

$$x^2\equiv \phi(eta)^2\equiv \phi(eta^2)\equiv \phiigg(\prod_{g\in S}g(lpha)igg)\equiv \prod_{g\in S}g(m)\equiv y^2 \pmod{n}.$$

Thus, we can hope to factor n by computing gcd(x+y, n).

The idea is to find a set S of polynomials $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that both of the following hold:

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{i}) \prod_{g \in S} g(m) = y^2 \ \mathrm{for \ some} \ y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (\mathrm{ii}) \prod_{g \in S} g(\alpha) = \beta^2 \ \mathrm{for \ some} \ \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]. \\ \mathrm{Taking} \ x = \phi(\beta), \ \mathrm{we \ deduce} \\ x^2 \equiv \phi(\beta)^2 \equiv \phi(\beta^2) \equiv \phi\Big(\prod_{g \in S} g(\alpha)\Big) \equiv \prod_{g \in S} g(m) \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}. \end{array}$$

Note that we have obtained $\prod_{g \in S} g(\alpha)$ having a square norm. Sadly, this does not mean that it is a square in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. But it is a start. How do we finish up?

Comment 1: The running time for the number field sieve is $\exp(c(\log n)^{1/3}(\log \log n)^{2/3})$ where $c = 4/(3^{2/3})$ will do.

Comment 2: In 1993, Lenstra, Lenstra, Manasse, and Pollard used the number field sieve to factor $F_9 = 2^{2^9} + 1$.

Public-Key Encryption

Problem: How do you communicate with someone you have never met before through the personals without anyone else understanding the private material you are sharing with this stranger.

Initial Idea: Take advantage of something you know that no one else knows.

Public-Key Encryption

Problem: How do you communicate with someone you have never met before through the personals without anyone else understanding the private material you are sharing with this stranger.

Initial Idea: Take advantage of something you know that no one else knows. Find two large primes p and q. Compute n = pq. If you are secretive about your choices for p and q and they are large enough, then you can tell the world what n is and you will know something no one else in the world knows, namely how n factors.

Public-Key Encryption

Problem: How do you communicate with someone you have never met before through the personals without anyone else understanding the private material you are sharing with this stranger.

Initial Idea: Take advantage of something you know that no one else knows. Find two large primes p and q. Compute n = pq. If you are secretive about your choices for p and q and they are large enough, then you can tell the world what n is and you will know something no one else in the world knows, namely how n factors. You also know what $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ is.

- ullet Choose $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (the "encrypting exponent") with $\gcd(s,\phi(n))=1.$
- Publish n and s in the personals.
- Tell them that to form a message M, concatenate the symbols 00 for blank, 01 for a, 02 for b, ..., 26 for z, 27 for a comma, 28 for a period, and whatever else you might want.

Example. M = 0805121215

- ullet Choose $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (the "encrypting exponent") with $\gcd(s,\phi(n))=1.$
- Publish n and s in the personals.
- Tell them that to form a message M, concatenate the symbols 00 for blank, 01 for a, 02 for b, ..., 26 for z, 27 for a comma, 28 for a period, and whatever else you might want.
- Tell the person to publish (back in the personals) the value of $E = M^s \mod n$. (The person should be told to make sure that $M^s > n$ by adding extra blanks if necessary and that M < n by breaking up a message into two or more messages if necessary.)

- ullet Choose $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (the "encrypting exponent") with $\gcd(s,\phi(n))=1.$
- Publish n and s in the personals.
- Tell them that to form a message M, concatenate the symbols 00 for blank, 01 for a, 02 for b, ..., 26 for z, 27 for a comma, 28 for a period, and whatever else you might want.
- Tell the person to publish (back in the personals) the value of $E = M^s \mod n$. (The person should be told to make sure that $M^s > n$ by adding extra blanks if necessary and that M < n by breaking up a message into two or more messages if necessary.)

- ullet Choose $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (the "encrypting exponent") with $\gcd(s,\phi(n))=1.$
- Publish n and s in the personals.
- Tell them that to form a message M, concatenate the symbols 00 for blank, 01 for a, 02 for b, ..., 26 for z, 27 for a comma, 28 for a period, and whatever else you might want.

• Tell the person to publish (back in the personals) the value An outsider can't compute $\phi(n)$, What can and you expect me to compute $\phi(\phi(n))$? mod n?

Calculate t with $st \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ (one can use $t \equiv s^{\phi(\phi(n))-1} \mod{\phi(n)}$). Then compute $E^t \mod n$. This will be the same as M modulo n (unless p or q divides M, which isn't likely).

Certified signatures

Basic Set-Up. Imagine person A has published n and s in the personals, person B is corresponding with person A in the personals, and person C gets jealous. C decides to send A a message in the personals that reads something like, "Dear A, I think you are a jerk. Your dear friend, B." This of course would make A very upset with B and would make C very happy. What would be nice is if there were a way for B to sign his messages so that A can see the signature and know whether a message supposedly from B is really from B.

Certified signatures

- B has his very own n and s which he has shared with at least A. Call them n' and s', and let the corresponding t be t'.
- B informs A of some signature S that B will use.
- At the end of B's encrypted message E, he gives A the number $T = S^{t'} \mod n'$. This is part of E.
- After A decodes the message, he computes $T^{s'} \mod n'$ (remember n' and s' are public). The result will be S.

Comment: Since only B knows t', only B can determine T, and A will know that the message really came from B.

Factoring Polynomials

Notation. Let p be a prime, and let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $f(x) \not\equiv 0$ (mod p). We say

$$u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$$

where u(x) and v(x) are in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, if there exist g(x) and h(x)in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that u(x) = v(x) + f(x)g(x) + ph(x).

Properties:

• If

$$u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$$
 and $v(x) \equiv w(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$,
then $u(x) \equiv w(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$.

Properties:

• If

 $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$ and $v(x) \equiv w(x) \pmod{p, f(x)},$ then $u(x) \equiv w(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}.$

• If

 $u_1(x) \equiv v_1(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$ and $u_2(x) \equiv v_2(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$, then $u_1(x) \pm u_2(x) \equiv v_1(x) \pm v_2(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$. • If

$$u_1(x)\equiv v_1(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x))\ \ {
m and}\ \ u_2(x)\equiv v_2(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x)),$$

then $u_1(x)u_2(x)\equiv v_1(x)v_2(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x)).$

• If $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p}$ or $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{f(x)}$, then $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p}$, f(x).

Properties:

• If

 $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$ and $v(x) \equiv w(x) \pmod{p, f(x)},$ then $u(x) \equiv w(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}.$

• If

 $u_1(x) \equiv v_1(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$ and $u_2(x) \equiv v_2(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$, then $u_1(x) \pm u_2(x) \equiv v_1(x) \pm v_2(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$. • If

$$u_1(x)\equiv v_1(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x))\ \ {
m and}\ \ u_2(x)\equiv v_2(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x)),$$

then $u_1(x)u_2(x)\equiv v_1(x)v_2(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x)).$

• If $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p}$ or $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{f(x)}$, then $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p}$, f(x).

Properties:

• If

 $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$ and $v(x) \equiv w(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$, then $u(x) \equiv w(x) \pmod{p, f(x)}$.

• If

$$u_1(x)\equiv v_1(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x))\ {
m and}\ u_2(x)\equiv v_2(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x)),$$

then $u_1(x)\pm u_2(x)\equiv v_1(x)\pm v_2(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x)).$

• If

$$u_1(x)\equiv v_1(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x))\ {
m and}\ u_2(x)\equiv v_2(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x)),$$

then $u_1(x)u_2(x)\equiv v_1(x)v_2(x)\ ({
m mod}\ p,\ f(x)).$

- If $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p}$ or $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{f(x)}$, then $u(x) \equiv v(x) \pmod{p}$, f(x).
- We have $u(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, f(x) if and only if f(x) is a factor of u(x) modulo p.