Dixon's Factoring Algorithm

Basic (Important) Idea (Not Just For Dixon's Algorithm)

• Suppose

$$n=p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2}\cdots p_r^{e_r}$$

with p_j "odd" distinct primes and $e_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

- Then $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j^{e_j}}$ has two solutions which implies $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ has 2^r solutions.
- If x and y are random and $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$, then with probability $(2^r - 2)/2^r$ we can factor n (nontrivially) by considering gcd(x + y, n).

Dixon's Factoring Algorithm

- 1. Randomly choose a number $a > \sqrt{n}$ and compute $s(a) = a^2 \mod n$.
- 2. A bound B = B(n) is chosen (specified momentarily). Determine if s(a) has a prime factor > B. We choose a new a if it does. Otherwise, we obtain a complete factorization of s(a).
- 3. Let p_1, \ldots, p_t denote the primes $\leq B$. We continue steps (1) and (2) until we obtain t + 1 different *a*'s, say a_1, \ldots, a_{t+1} .
- 4. From the above, we have the factorizations $s(a_i)=p_1^{e(i,1)}p_2^{e(i,2)}\cdots p_t^{e(i,t)} \quad ext{for } i\in\{1,2,\ldots,t+1\}.$

Dixon's Factoring Algorithm

4. From the above, we have the factorizations

$$egin{aligned} &s(a_i)=p_1^{e(i,1)}p_2^{e(i,2)}\cdots p_t^{e(i,t)} & ext{for } i\in\{1,2,\ldots,t+1\}. \end{aligned}$$
 For $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,t+1\}, ext{ compute the vectors} &ec{v}_i=\langle e(i,1),e(i,2),\ldots,e(i,t)
angle & ext{ mod } 2. \end{aligned}$

These vectors are linearly dependent modulo 2. Use Gaussian elimination (or something better) to find a non-empty set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, t+1\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} \vec{v_i} \equiv \vec{0} \pmod{2}$. Calculate $x \in [0, n-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ (in an obvious way) satisfying

$$\prod_{i\in S} s(a_i)\equiv x^2 \pmod{n}.$$

4. From the above, we have the factorizations

$$egin{aligned} &s(a_i) = p_1^{e(i,1)} p_2^{e(i,2)} \cdots p_t^{e(i,t)} & ext{for } i \in \{1,2,\ldots,t+1\}. \end{aligned}$$
 For $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,t+1\}, ext{ compute the vectors } &ec v_i = \langle e(i,1), e(i,2), \ldots, e(i,t)
angle & ext{ mod } 2. \end{aligned}$

These vectors are linearly dependent modulo 2. Use Gaussian elimination (or something better) to find a non-empty set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, t+1\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} \vec{v_i} \equiv \vec{0} \pmod{2}$. Calculate $x \in [0, n-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ (in an obvious way) satisfying

$$\prod_{i\in S} s(a_i)\equiv x^2 \pmod{n}.$$

5. Calculate $y = \prod_{i \in S} a_i \mod n$. Then $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$. Compute gcd(x + y, n). Hopefully, a nontrivial factorization of n results. The number of different a's we expect to consider before we get enough good s(a)'s in the algorithm is

$$(\pi(B)+1)\exp\left((1+o(1))\log n\log u/\log B
ight).$$

We also expect $\leq B$ steps to factor each value of s(a). This means we should take

$$B = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log u} / \sqrt{2}
ight) = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log \log n} / 2
ight),$$

and the expected running time for Dixon's Algorithm is about

$$\exp\left(2\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n}
ight),$$

including Gaussian elimination.

Comment: This is a rough estimate. A closer analysis would give a running time of

$$\expig((2\sqrt{2}+o(1))\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n}ig).$$

With some more work, Pomerance and later Vallée reduced the constant $2\sqrt{2}$ so that now we know it can be replaced to $\sqrt{4/3}$.

Every real number α can be written uniquely as a *simple* continued fraction



where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for $j \geq 1$. The *convergents* obtained by truncating the above give approximations a/b to α satisfying

$$\left|lpha-rac{a}{b}
ight|<rac{1}{b^2}.$$

$$\left|lpha-rac{a}{b}
ight|<rac{1}{b^2}$$



$$\left| b^2 lpha^2 - a^2
ight| \ll lpha$$

Comment: Every convergent a/b of \sqrt{n} satisfies $|b^2n - a^2| < 2\sqrt{n}.$

EXAMPLE



Comment: Every convergent a/b of \sqrt{n} satisfies $|b^2n-a^2| < 2\sqrt{n}.$

Compute the numerators a_j of the convergents of \sqrt{n} . If the corresponding denominators are b_j , then $|a_j^2 - nb_j^2| < 2\sqrt{n}$. Recall $s(a) = a^2 \mod n$. Repeat Dixon's algorithm but now

- Define s(a) to be in (-n/2, n/2] with $s(a) \equiv a^2 \pmod{n}$. (mod n). Then $|s(a_j)| < 2\sqrt{n}$.
- Treat -1 (the possible negative sign in s(a)) as a prime.

The chance that a_j has the property that all its prime divisors are $\leq B$ is $\psi(2\sqrt{n}, B)$ instead of $\psi(n, B)$. The expected running time is

$$O\left(\exp(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n})
ight).$$

Comment: Brillhart and Morrison (1970) used the CFRAC algorithm to factor $F_7 = 2^{2^7} + 1$ (having 39 digits).

A Further Idea

An "early abort" strategy can be combined with the above ideas to reduce the running time of the algorithms. Given a, one stops trying to factor s(a) if it has no "small" prime factors. This leads to a running time of the form

$$O\left(\exp\left(\sqrt{3/2}\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n}\,
ight)
ight).$$

The Quadratic Sieve Algorithm

Consider $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$.

The Quadratic Sieve Algorithm

Consider $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$. Then $|F(x)| \ll |x|\sqrt{n}$ for $0 < |x| \le \sqrt{n}$. Consider $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$. Then $|F(x)| \ll |x|\sqrt{n}$ for $0 < |x| \le \sqrt{n}$. The idea of the quadratic sieve algorithm is to consider *a* in Dixon's Algorithm to be of the form $a = x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ with |x| small. Consider $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$. Then $|F(x)| \ll |x|\sqrt{n}$ for $0 < |x| \le \sqrt{n}$. The idea of the quadratic sieve algorithm is to consider *a* in Dixon's Algorithm to be of the form $a = x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ with |x| small. Here, we allow for s(a) to be negative as in the CFRAC Algorithm.

The Quadratic Sieve Algorithm

Consider $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$. Then $|F(x)| \ll |x|\sqrt{n}$ for $0 < |x| \le \sqrt{n}$. The idea of the quadratic sieve algorithm is to consider *a* in Dixon's Algorithm to be of the form $a = x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ with |x| small. Here, we allow for s(a) to be negative as in the CFRAC Algorithm. Thus, $|s(a)| \ll |x|\sqrt{n}$.

Why would this be better than the CFRAC Algorithm?

For n fixed, $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$ is a fixed quadratic polynomial that is used to obtain a's to apply the approach in Dixon's algorithm.

For n fixed, $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$ is a fixed quadratic polynomial that is used to obtain a's to apply the approach in Dixon's algorithm. For p a small prime, solve

(*)
$$F(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
.

For n fixed, $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$ is a fixed quadratic polynomial that is used to obtain a's to apply the approach in Dixon's algorithm. For p a small prime, solve

(*)
$$F(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
.

If (*) has one solution, typically it has two, say x_1 and x_2 .

For n fixed, $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$ is a fixed quadratic polynomial that is used to obtain a's to apply the approach in Dixon's algorithm. For p a small prime, solve

(*)
$$F(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
.

If (*) has one solution, typically it has two, say x_1 and x_2 . If $x \equiv x_1$ or x_2 modulo p and $a = x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$, then p|s(a).

For n fixed, $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$ is a fixed quadratic polynomial that is used to obtain a's to apply the approach in Dixon's algorithm. For p a small prime, solve

(*)
$$F(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
.

If (*) has one solution, typically it has two, say x_1 and x_2 . If $x \equiv x_1$ or x_2 modulo p and $a = x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$, then p|s(a). Otherwise, $p \nmid s(a)$.

For n fixed, $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$ is a fixed quadratic polynomial that is used to obtain a's to apply the approach in Dixon's algorithm. For p a small prime, solve

(*)
$$F(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
.

If (*) has one solution, typically it has two, say x_1 and x_2 . If $x \equiv x_1$ or x_2 modulo p and $a = x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$, then p|s(a). Otherwise, $p \nmid s(a)$. Therefore, one knows the different x's and hence the different a's for which p|s(a) when p is small and can partially factor the s(a)'s quickly.

The expected running time is

$$O\left(\exp\left(\sqrt{9/8}\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n}\,
ight)
ight)$$

for the Quadratic Sieve Algorithm.

For *n* fixed, $F(x) = (x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)^2 - n$ is a fixed quadratic polynomial that is used to obtain *a*'s to apply the approach in Dixon's algorithm. For *p* a small prime, solve

(*)
$$F(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
.

If (*) has one solution, typically it has two, say x_1 and x_2 . If $x \equiv x_1$ or x_2 modulo p and $a = x + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$, then p|s(a). Otherwise, $p \nmid s(a)$. Therefore, one knows the different x's and hence the different a's for which p|s(a) when p is small and can partially factor the s(a)'s quickly.

Comment: A variation of the Quadratic Sieve Algorithm developed by Peter Montgomery reduces the running time to

$$O\left(\exp\left((1/2)\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n}\,
ight)
ight).$$

Preliminaries: Let n be a large positive integer, and let b be an integer ≥ 3 smaller than n.

Preliminaries: Let n be a large positive integer, and let b be an integer ≥ 3 smaller than n. Suppose we write n in base b, so

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, b-1\}$.

Preliminaries: Let n be a large positive integer, and let b be an integer ≥ 3 smaller than n. Suppose we write n in base b, so

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, b-1\}.$ Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d c_j x^j.$

Preliminaries: Let n be a large positive integer, and let b be an integer ≥ 3 smaller than n. Suppose we write n in base b, so

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d c_j x^j$. Then one of the following holds:

(i) The polynomial f(x) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

(ii) The polynomial f(x) = g(x)h(x) for g(x) and h(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n = g(b)h(b) is a non-trivial factorization of n.

Comment 1: The conclusion (ii) holds for every non-trivial factorization f(x) = g(x)h(x).

Comment 2: What does this mean if n is a prime?

Theorem (F., Gross) Let f(x) be a polynomial with nonnegative integer coefficients with f(10) prime. If each of the coefficients of f(x) is

$\leq 49598666989151226098104244512918,$

then f(x) is irreducible. Furthermore, if each coefficient is $\leq 8592444743529135815769545955936773$

and f(x) is reducible, then f(x) is divisible by $x^2-20x+101$.

Comment: The result is sharp. If either of the big numbers above is increased by 1, the theorem is no longer true.

Preliminaries: Let n be a large positive integer, and let b be an integer ≥ 3 smaller than n. Suppose we write n in base b, so

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d c_j x^j$. Then one of the following holds:

(i) The polynomial f(x) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

(ii) The polynomial f(x) = g(x)h(x) for g(x) and h(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n = g(b)h(b) is a non-trivial factorization of n.

Comment 1: The conclusion (ii) holds for every non-trivial factorization f(x) = g(x)h(x).

Comment 2: What does this mean if n is a prime?

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, b-1\}$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^d c_j x^j$. Then one of the following holds:

(i) The polynomial f(x) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

(ii) The polynomial f(x) = g(x)h(x) for g(x) and h(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n = g(b)h(b) is a non-trivial factorization of n.

Idea: The polynomial f(x) cannot have a root in

$$\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - b| \leq 1\}.$$

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} c_j x^j$. Then one of the following holds:

(i) The polynomial f(x) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

(ii) The polynomial f(x) = g(x)h(x) for g(x) and h(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n = g(b)h(b) is a non-trivial factorization of n.

Idea: The polynomial f(x) cannot have a root in

$$\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - b| \leq 1\}.$$

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} c_j x^j$. Then one of the following holds:

(i) The polynomial f(x) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

(ii) The polynomial f(x) = g(x)h(x) for g(x) and h(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n = g(b)h(b) is a non-trivial factorization of n.

Idea: The polynomial f(x) cannot have a root in

$$\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - b| \leq 1\}.$$



$$z = re^{i\theta}, \quad r \ge b - 1, \quad 0 < \theta < \sin^{-1}(1/b) < \pi/4$$

$$\left|rac{f(oldsymbol{z})}{oldsymbol{z}^d}
ight|$$

$$n=c_db^d+c_{d-1}b^{d-1}+\dots+c_1b+c_0$$



$$z = re^{i\theta}, \quad r \ge b - 1, \quad 0 < \theta < \sin^{-1}(1/b) < \pi/4$$

$$\left|rac{f(z)}{z^d}
ight| \geq \left|c_d + rac{c_{d-1}}{z}
ight| - \sum_{j=2}^d rac{b-1}{(b-1)^j}$$

 $n=c_db^d+c_{d-1}b^{d-1}+\cdots+c_1b+c_0$



$$z = re^{i\theta}, \quad r \ge b - 1, \quad 0 < \theta < \sin^{-1}(1/b) < \pi/4$$

$$\left|rac{f(z)}{z^d}
ight| \geq \left|c_d + rac{c_{d-1}}{z}
ight| - \sum_{j=2}^d rac{b-1}{(b-1)^j} > 1 - rac{1}{b-2}$$



$$z = re^{i\theta}, \quad r \ge b - 1, \quad 0 < \theta < \sin^{-1}(1/b) < \pi/4$$

$$\left|rac{f(z)}{z^d}
ight| \geq \left|c_d + rac{c_{d-1}}{z}
ight| - \sum_{j=2}^d rac{b-1}{(b-1)^j} > 1 - rac{1}{b-2} \geq 0$$

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} c_j x^j$. Then one of the following holds:

(i) The polynomial f(x) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

(ii) The polynomial f(x) = g(x)h(x) for g(x) and h(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n = g(b)h(b) is a non-trivial factorization of n.

Idea: The polynomial f(x) cannot have a root in

$$\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - b| \leq 1\}.$$

Let f be an irreducible monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Let f be an irreducible monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let α be a root of f. Let m be an integer for which $f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Preliminaries: Let n be a large positive integer, and let b be an integer ≥ 3 smaller than n. Suppose we write n in base b, so

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, b-1\}$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} c_j x^j$. Then one of the following holds:

- (i) The polynomial f(x) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
- (ii) The polynomial f(x) = g(x)h(x) for g(x) and h(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n = g(b)h(b) is a non-trivial factorization of n.

Comment: We can use f(x) above and $m = b = \lfloor n^{1/d} \rfloor$.

Let f be an irreducible monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let α be a root of f. Let m be an integer for which $f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Preliminaries: Let n be a large positive integer, and let b be an integer ≥ 3 smaller than n. Suppose we write n in base b, so

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, b-1\}$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} c_j x^j$. Then one of the following holds:

- (i) The polynomial f(x) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
- (ii) The polynomial f(x) = g(x)h(x) for g(x) and h(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n = g(b)h(b) is a non-trivial factorization of n.

Comment: We can use f(x) above and $m = b = \lfloor n^{1/d} \rfloor$. Is f(x) monic?

Let f be an irreducible monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let α be a root of f. Let m be an integer for which $f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Preliminaries: Let n be a large positive integer, and let b be an integer ≥ 3 smaller than n. Suppose we write n in base b, so

$$n = c_d b^d + c_{d-1} b^{d-1} + \dots + c_1 b + c_0,$$

for some positive integer d and each $c_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$. Set $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} c_j x^j$. Then one of the following holds:

- (i) The polynomial f(x) is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.
- (ii) The polynomial f(x) = g(x)h(x) for g(x) and h(x) in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and n = g(b)h(b) is a non-trivial factorization of n.

How long does it take to factor f(x)?

Let f be an irreducible monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let α be a root of f. Let m be an integer for which $f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. The mapping $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\phi(g(\alpha)) = g(m) \mod n$ for all $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a homomorphism. (Recall what $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is.)

Let f be an irreducible monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let α be a root of f. Let m be an integer for which $f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. The mapping $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\phi(g(\alpha)) = g(m) \mod n$ for all $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a homomorphism. (Recall what $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is.) The idea is to find a set S of polynomials $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that both of the following hold:

(i)
$$\prod_{g \in S} g(m) = y^2$$
 for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}$

$${
m (ii)} \ \prod_{g\in S} g(lpha) = eta^2 ext{ for some } eta\in \mathbb{Z}[lpha].$$

Taking $x = \phi(\beta)$, we deduce

$$x^2\equiv \phi(eta)^2\equiv \phi(eta^2)\equiv \phiigg(\prod_{g\in S}g(lpha)igg)\equiv \prod_{g\in S}g(m)\equiv y^2 \pmod{n}.$$

Thus, we can hope to factor n by computing gcd(x+y, n).