Dixon's Factoring Algorithm

Basic (Important) Idea (Not Just For Dixon's Algorithm)

• Suppose

$$n=p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2}\cdots p_r^{e_r}$$

with p_j "odd" distinct primes and $e_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

- Then $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j^{e_j}}$ has two solutions which implies $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ has 2^r solutions.
- If x and y are random and $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$, then with probability $(2^r - 2)/2^r$ we can factor n (nontrivially) by considering gcd(x + y, n).

Dixon's Factoring Algorithm

- 1. Randomly choose a number $a > \sqrt{n}$ and compute $s(a) = a^2 \mod n$.
- 2. A bound B = B(n) is chosen (specified momentarily). Determine if s(a) has a prime factor > B. We choose a new a if it does. Otherwise, we obtain a complete factorization of s(a).
- 3. Let p_1, \ldots, p_t denote the primes $\leq B$. We continue steps (1) and (2) until we obtain t + 1 different *a*'s, say a_1, \ldots, a_{t+1} .
- 4. From the above, we have the factorizations $s(a_i)=p_1^{e(i,1)}p_2^{e(i,2)}\cdots p_t^{e(i,t)} \quad ext{for } i\in\{1,2,\ldots,t+1\}.$

Dixon's Factoring Algorithm

4. From the above, we have the factorizations

$$egin{aligned} &s(a_i)=p_1^{e(i,1)}p_2^{e(i,2)}\cdots p_t^{e(i,t)} & ext{for } i\in\{1,2,\ldots,t+1\}. \end{aligned}$$
 For $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,t+1\}, ext{ compute the vectors} &ec{v}_i=\langle e(i,1),e(i,2),\ldots,e(i,t)
angle & ext{ mod } 2. \end{aligned}$

These vectors are linearly dependent modulo 2. Use Gaussian elimination (or something better) to find a non-empty set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, t+1\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} \vec{v_i} \equiv \vec{0} \pmod{2}$. Calculate $x \in [0, n-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ (in an obvious way) satisfying

$$\prod_{i\in S} s(a_i)\equiv x^2 \pmod{n}.$$

4. From the above, we have the factorizations

$$egin{aligned} &s(a_i)=p_1^{e(i,1)}p_2^{e(i,2)}\cdots p_t^{e(i,t)} & ext{for } i\in\{1,2,\ldots,t+1\}. \end{aligned}$$
 For $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,t+1\}, ext{ compute the vectors } ec{v}_i=\langle e(i,1),e(i,2),\ldots,e(i,t)
angle & ext{ mod } 2. \end{aligned}$

These vectors are linearly dependent modulo 2. Use Gaussian elimination (or something better) to find a non-empty set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, t+1\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} \vec{v_i} \equiv \vec{0} \pmod{2}$. Calculate $x \in [0, n-1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ (in an obvious way) satisfying

$$\prod_{i\in S} s(a_i)\equiv x^2 \pmod{n}.$$

5. Calculate $y = \prod_{i \in S} a_i \mod n$. Then $x^2 \equiv y^2 \pmod{n}$. Compute gcd(x + y, n). Hopefully, a nontrivial factorization of n results. Small Example: n = 1189 and B = 11.

Homework: (due October 26 by class time) page 14, problem (1) about (1) on page 12 page 16 on Dixon's Factoring Algorithm New Problem below (not in Notes)

New Problem.

(a) Calculate accurate to 4 decimal places the value of

$$\lim_{x o\infty}rac{|\{n\leq x: orall ext{ primes } p ext{ dividing } n, ext{ we have } p\leq x^{1/3}\}|}{x}.$$

(b) Calculate accurate to 4 decimal places the value $a \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{x o\infty}rac{|\{n\leq x: orall ext{ primes } p ext{ dividing } n, ext{ we have } p\leq x^a\}|}{x}=rac{1}{2}.$$

Small Example: n = 1189 and B = 11.

Homework: (due October 26 by class time) page 14, problem (1) about (1) on page 12 page 16 on Dixon's Factoring Algorithm New Problem below (not in Notes)

Use Dixon's Algorithm to factor n = 80099. Suppose B = 15 and the a_j 's from the first three steps are the numbers 1392, 58360, 27258, 39429, 12556, 42032, and 1234. (Each of these squared mod n should have all of its prime factors $\leq B$.)

MAPLE EXAMPLE

What bound B on the primes is optimal (or at least good)? What is the running time for Dixon's algorithm?

$$egin{aligned} \psi(x,y) &= |\{n \leq x: p | n \implies p \leq y\}| \ \psiig(x,\sqrt{x}ig) &\sim (1-\log 2)x \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Dickman). For u fixed, $\psi(x, x^{1/u}) \sim \rho(u)x$ where $\rho(u)$ satisfies:

$$egin{aligned} (i) &
ho(u) \ is \ continuous \ for \ u > 0 \ \end{aligned}$$
 $egin{aligned} (ii) &
ho(u) &
ightarrow 0 \ as \ u &
ightarrow \infty \ \end{aligned}$
 $egin{aligned} (iii) &
ho(u) &= 1 \ for \ 0 < u \leq 1 \ \end{aligned}$
 $egin{aligned} (iv) \ for \ u > 1, \
ho(u) \ satisfies \ the \ differential \ delay \ equation \ u
ho'(u) &= -
ho(u-1). \end{aligned}$

What bound B on the primes is optimal (or at least good)? What is the running time for Dixon's algorithm?

$$egin{aligned} \psi(x,y) &= |\{n \leq x: p | n \implies p \leq y\}| \ \psiig(x,\sqrt{x}ig) &\sim (1-\log 2)x \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Dickman). For u fixed, $\psi(x, x^{1/u}) \sim \rho(u)x$ where $\rho(u)$ satisfies:

$$egin{aligned} (i) &
ho(u) \ is \ continuous \ for \ u > 0 \ \end{aligned}$$
 $egin{aligned} (ii) &
ho(u) &
ightarrow 0 \ as \ u &
ightarrow \infty \ \end{aligned}$
 $egin{aligned} (iii) &
ho(u) &= 1 \ for \ 0 < u \leq 1 \ \end{aligned}$
 $egin{aligned} (iv) \ for \ u > 1, \
ho(u) \ satisfies \ the \ differential \ delay \ equation \ u
ho'(u) &= -
ho(u-1). \end{aligned}$

$$egin{aligned} \psi(x,y) &= |\{n \leq x: p | n \implies p \leq y\}| \ &\psi(x,x^{1/u}) \sim
ho(u)x \end{aligned}$$

Comment: The following estimate was obtained by deBruijn:

$$ho(u)=\exp{(-(1+o(1))u\log{u})}pproxrac{1}{u^u}.$$

Maier showed that u does not need to be fixed in any of the above and instead one can take

$$u < (\log x)^{1-\varepsilon}$$
 for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$.

Note that $x^{1/\log x} = e$.

$$egin{aligned} \psi(x,y) &= |\{n \leq x: p | n \implies p \leq y\}| \ &\psi(x,x^{1/u}) \sim
ho(u)x \end{aligned}$$

Comment: The following estimate was obtained by deBruijn:

$$ho(u)=\exp{(-(1+o(1))u\log{u})}pproxrac{1}{u^u}.$$

Maier showed that u does not need to be fixed in any of the above and instead one can take

$$u < (\log x)^{1-\varepsilon}$$
 for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$.

Take $u = \log n / \log B$ so that (if $u < (\log n)^{1-\varepsilon}$)

 $\psi(n,B) = \psi(n,n^{1/u}) = n \exp(-(1+o(1)) \log n \log u / \log B)$. The number of different *a*'s we expect to consider before we get enough good s(a)'s in the algorithm is

$$(\pi(B)+1)\exp\left((1+o(1))\log n\log u/\log B
ight).$$

$$egin{aligned} \psi(x,y) &= |\{n \leq x: p | n \implies p \leq y\}| \ &\psi(x,x^{1/u}) \sim
ho(u)x \end{aligned}$$

Take $u = \log n / \log B$ so that (if $u < (\log n)^{1-\varepsilon}$)

 $\psi(n,B) = \psi(n,n^{1/u}) = n \exp\left(-(1+o(1))\log n \log u / \log B\right).$

The number of different a's we expect to consider before we get enough good s(a)'s in the algorithm is

$$(\pi(B)+1)\exp\left((1+o(1))\log n\log u/\log B
ight).$$

We also expect $\leq B$ steps to factor each value of s(a).

$$egin{aligned} \psi(x,y) &= |\{n \leq x: p | n \implies p \leq y\}| \ &\psi(x,x^{1/u}) \sim
ho(u)x \end{aligned}$$

Take $u = \log n / \log B$ so that (if $u < (\log n)^{1-\varepsilon}$)

 $\psi(n,B) = \psi(n,n^{1/u}) = n \exp\left(-(1+o(1))\log n \log u / \log B\right).$

The number of different a's we expect to consider before we get enough good s(a)'s in the algorithm is

$$(\pi(B)+1)\exp\left((1+o(1))\log n\log u/\log B
ight).$$

We also expect $\leq B$ steps to factor each value of s(a). This means we should take

$$B = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log u} / \sqrt{2}
ight) = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log \log n} / 2
ight),$$

$$egin{aligned} \psi(x,y) &= |\{n \leq x: p | n \implies p \leq y\}| \ &\psi(x,x^{1/u}) \sim
ho(u)x \end{aligned}$$

Take $u = \log n / \log B$ so that (if $u < (\log n)^{1-\varepsilon}$)

 $\psi(n,B) = \psi(n,n^{1/u}) = n \exp\left(-(1+o(1))\log n \log u / \log B\right).$

The number of different a's we expect to consider before we get enough good s(a)'s in the algorithm is

$$(\pi(B)+1)\exp\left((1+o(1))\log n\log u/\log B
ight).$$

We also expect $\leq B$ steps to factor each value of s(a). This means we should take

$$B = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log u} / \sqrt{2}
ight) = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log \log n} / 2
ight),$$

$$\begin{split} \psi(x,y) &= |\{n \leq x: p | n \implies p \leq y\}| \\ \psi(x,x^{1/u}) \sim \rho(u)x \end{split}$$
 Take $u = \log n / \log B$ so that (if $u < (\log n)^{1-\varepsilon}$)
 $\psi(n,B) = \psi(n,n^{1/u}) = n \exp\left(-(1+o(1))\log n \log u / \log B\right)$. The number of different *a*'s we expect to consider before we get enough good $s(a)$'s in the algorithm is

 $(\pi(B)+1)\exp\left((1+o(1))\log n\log u/\log B
ight).$

We also expect $\leq B$ steps to factor each value of s(a). This means we should take

$$B = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log u} / \sqrt{2}
ight) = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log \log n} / 2
ight),$$

and the expected running time for Dixon's Algorithm is about

$$\exp\left(2\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n}
ight),$$

including Gaussian elimination.

The number of different a's we expect to consider before we get enough good s(a)'s in the algorithm is

$$(\pi(B)+1)\exp\left((1+o(1))\log n\log u/\log B
ight).$$

We also expect $\leq B$ steps to factor each value of s(a). This means we should take

$$B = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log u} / \sqrt{2}
ight) = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log \log n} / 2
ight),$$

and the expected running time for Dixon's Algorithm is about

$$\exp\left(2\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n}
ight),$$

including Gaussian elimination.

Comment: This is a rough estimate. A closer analysis would give a running time of

$$\expig((2\sqrt{2}+o(1))\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n}ig).$$

With some more work, Pomerance and later Vallée reduced the constant $2\sqrt{2}$ so that now we know it can be replaced to $\sqrt{4/3}$.

Every real number α can be written uniquely as a *simple* continued fraction



where $a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for $j \geq 1$. The *convergents* obtained by truncating the above give approximations a/b to α satisfying

$$\left|lpha-rac{a}{b}
ight|<rac{1}{b^2}.$$

$$\left|lpha-rac{a}{b}
ight|<rac{1}{b^2}$$



$$\left| b^2 lpha^2 - a^2
ight| \ll lpha$$

Comment: Every convergent a/b of \sqrt{n} satisfies $|b^2n-a^2| < 2\sqrt{n}.$

Compute the numerators a_j of the convergents of \sqrt{n} . If the corresponding denominators are b_j , then $|a_j^2 - nb_j^2| < 2\sqrt{n}$. Recall $s(a) = a^2 \mod n$. Repeat Dixon's algorithm but now

- Define s(a) to be in (-n/2, n/2] with $s(a) \equiv a^2 \pmod{n}$. (mod n). Then $|s(a_j)| < 2\sqrt{n}$.
- Treat -1 (the possible negative sign in s(a)) as a prime.

How is the running time of the algorithm affected?

The chance that a_j has the property that all its prime divisors are $\leq B$ is $\psi(2\sqrt{n}, B)$ instead of $\psi(n, B)$. The expected running time is

$$O\left(\exp(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n})
ight).$$

$$\begin{split} \psi(x,y) &= |\{n \leq x: p | n \implies p \leq y\}| \\ \psi(x,x^{1/u}) \sim \rho(u)x \end{split}$$
 Take $u = \log n / \log B$ so that (if $u < (\log n)^{1-\varepsilon}$)
 $\psi(n,B) = \psi(n,n^{1/u}) = n \exp\left(-(1+o(1))\log n \log u / \log B\right)$. The number of different *a*'s we expect to consider before we get enough good $s(a)$'s in the algorithm is

 $(\pi(B)+1)\exp\left((1+o(1))\log n\log u/\log B
ight).$

We also expect $\leq B$ steps to factor each value of s(a). This means we should take

$$B = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log u} / \sqrt{2}
ight) = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log n} \sqrt{\log \log n} / 2
ight),$$

and the expected running time for Dixon's Algorithm is about

$$\exp\left(2\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n}
ight),$$

including Gaussian elimination.

Compute the numerators a_j of the convergents of \sqrt{n} . If the corresponding denominators are b_j , then $|a_j^2 - nb_j^2| < 2\sqrt{n}$. Recall $s(a) = a^2 \mod n$. Repeat Dixon's algorithm but now

- Define s(a) to be in (-n/2, n/2] with $s(a) \equiv a^2 \pmod{n}$. (mod n). Then $|s(a_j)| < 2\sqrt{n}$.
- Treat -1 (the possible negative sign in s(a)) as a prime.

How is the running time of the algorithm affected?

The chance that a_j has the property that all its prime divisors are $\leq B$ is $\psi(2\sqrt{n}, B)$ instead of $\psi(n, B)$. The expected running time is

$$O\left(\exp(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n})
ight).$$

Compute the numerators a_j of the convergents of \sqrt{n} . If the corresponding denominators are b_j , then $|a_j^2 - nb_j^2| < 2\sqrt{n}$. Recall $s(a) = a^2 \mod n$. Repeat Dixon's algorithm but now

- Define s(a) to be in (-n/2, n/2] with $s(a) \equiv a^2 \pmod{n}$. (mod n). Then $|s(a_j)| < 2\sqrt{n}$.
- Treat -1 (the possible negative sign in s(a)) as a prime.

The chance that a_j has the property that all its prime divisors are $\leq B$ is $\psi(2\sqrt{n}, B)$ instead of $\psi(n, B)$. The expected running time is

$$O\left(\exp(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n})
ight).$$

Comment: Brillhart and Morrison (1970) used the CFRAC algorithm to factor $F_7 = 2^{2^7} + 1$ (having 39 digits).

A Further Idea

An "early abort" strategy can be combined with the above ideas to reduce the running time of the algorithms.

A Further Idea

An "early abort" strategy can be combined with the above ideas to reduce the running time of the algorithms. Given a, one stops trying to factor s(a) if it has no "small" prime factors.

A Further Idea

An "early abort" strategy can be combined with the above ideas to reduce the running time of the algorithms. Given a, one stops trying to factor s(a) if it has no "small" prime factors. This leads to a running time of the form

$$O\left(\exp\left(\sqrt{3/2}\sqrt{\log n}\sqrt{\log\log n}\,
ight)
ight).$$