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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

In this thesis we lay down a mathematical framework for the study of the $H_{3}$ molecular system. $H_{3}$ denotes a system of 3 protons and 3 electrons. The protons are treated as classical particles but the electrons must be treated quantum mechanically. Several topics essential to the understanding of the $H_{3}$ system will be addressed in the following chapters.

In chapter 2, we develop in parallel the mathematical formalism for classical systems and quantum systems. The former is a good preparation to understand the latter. Quantum theory is the proper physical language to describe the phenomena in the microscopic world including the $H_{3}$ molecular system. We consider the following questions.

- How do we represent the state of the quantum system, which contains all the information the observer knows about the system at a given level of theory and approximation?
- How does the state evolve with time?
- How do we represent the physical quantities (called observables) associated to the system, such as position, momentum, angular momentum, energy etc. in $H_{3}$ ?
- How do we predict the measurement outcome of some observable for a given quantum state?
- The quantum system will change after observables are measured, therefore how do we take the measured value into account to update the state?

In chapter 3, we apply the quantum formalism to several microscopic systems from simple to complicated: the spin system, the artificially spinless $H$ atom and $H_{2}^{+}$ ion, and the real $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ molecule systems.

In chapter 4 , the symmetry information of a molecular system is examined. This information is important because it allows us to simplify the electron distribution when the nuclear configuration is symmetric. The following questions are investigated.

- What are the symmetries of a molecule? How can they be mathematically represented? We will show that the symmetries of a molecule form a group called the symmetry group.
- How can the symmetry group be represented by matrices?
- When are two matrix representations of the same symmetry group equivalent?
- What type of representations of a symmetry group are the simplest?
- How can more complex representations be understood in terms of the simple ones?

Moreover, we show that the information of a symmetry group can be organized by a table called its character table. We explain the theory through three examples: the isosceles triangle, the equilateral triangle and the tetrahedron.

In chapter 5, we discuss the conformation (i.e. the shape) and the configuration (i.e. the coordinates) of the $H_{3}$ system. We first discuss the shapes of the $H_{3}$ system in general Euclidean coordinates: how to mathematically represent a shape and how to classify the shapes into the three different categories: the non-collinear, the collinear, and the one-point-coincident. And then we discuss the shape space of the $H_{3}$ system using three particular internal coordinate systems which treat the three nuclei in a symmetrical way.

In chapter 6 we introduce the differential geometric concepts: fiber bundles, and the special case of Hermitian vector bundles, on which we define connections, parallel translation, and the covariant derivative. We illustrate these concepts and results in two concrete cases: a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and the $H_{3}$ system.

In chapter 7 holonomy groups and Berry phase are considered. Suppose a quantum system undergoes an evolution so that after some time it comes back to its original state. Such an evolution traces out a cycle in quantum mechanical state space. The result of the evolution will be reflected in the phase of the wave function in the form of a geometric phase factor, usually called Berry phase. This phase factor can be measured by interfering the initial and the final states. In this chapter, we explain the Berry phase of the $H_{3}$ system using the geometric language i.e. in terms of holonomy in a Hermitian vector bundle.

We will see in chapter 6 and chapter 7 that there are several unsolved problems in the $H_{3}$ system mentioned.

- Given a nuclear conformation, what is the dimension of the vector space of all ground state electronic wave functions of the $H_{3}$ system?
- The ground state electronic energy is a function of the conformation, so where on the shape space is it a smooth function? Where are its singularities, if any?
- For each conformation, the symmetry group of that conformation has a representation in the vector space of all electronic wave functions. How can the representation be understood in terms of irreducible representations?
- Does there exist an atlas of smooth local trivializations for the Hermitian vector bundle of the $H_{3}$ system?
- Each fiber $E_{b}$ should be a direct sum of eigenspaces of $\mathbf{S}^{2}$ (since $\tilde{H}, S_{3}, \mathbf{S}^{2}$ commute). How does this direct sum decomposition depend on the base point $b$ ?

Our work in this thesis provides a mathematical foundation for this future study.

## Chapter 2

## Survey on Mathematical Formalism of Classical Mechanics and Quantum Mechanics

### 2.1. Preliminaries: Tensor Products

### 2.1.1. Tensor Product of Two Vector Spaces.

Definition. The tensor product of two $\mathbb{F}$-vector spaces $V$ and $W$, denoted $V \otimes W$, is a vector space spanned by elements of the form $v \otimes w$, where $v \in V, w \in W$, and such that the following rules are satisfied, for any scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$,
(1) $\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right) \otimes w=v_{1} \otimes w+v_{2} \otimes w$,
(2) $v \otimes\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)=v \otimes w_{1}+v \otimes w_{2}$,
(3) $\alpha(v \otimes w)=(\alpha v) \otimes w=v \otimes(\alpha w)$

The definition is the same no matter which scalar field $\mathbb{F}$ is used.

Here is another equivalent definition of tensor product using the language of the Universal Property:

Definition. ( Tensor Product by Universal Property) If $V_{1}, V_{2}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces, then $V_{1} \otimes V_{2}$ is another $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, and $\otimes: V_{1} \times V_{2} \rightarrow V_{1} \otimes V_{2}:$ $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \mapsto v_{1} \otimes v_{2}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear mapping with property that if $W$ is an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-vector space and $b: V_{1} \times V_{2} \rightarrow W$ is an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear mapping, then there exists one and only one $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $\tilde{b}: V_{1} \otimes V_{2} \rightarrow W$ s.t. $b\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\tilde{b}\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2}\right)$ for all $v_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $v_{2} \in V_{2}$.

Example. Let $V_{1}=V_{2}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$. We can define the tensor product in two ways:
definition $1:\binom{a}{b} \otimes\binom{c}{d}=\left(\begin{array}{l}a c \\ a d \\ b c \\ b d\end{array}\right)$, and $V_{1} \otimes V_{2}=\mathbb{C}^{4}$.
definition 2: $\binom{a}{b} \tilde{\otimes}\binom{c}{d}=\left(\begin{array}{c}a c \\ b c \\ a d \\ b d\end{array}\right)$, and $V_{1} \tilde{\otimes} V_{2}=\mathbb{C}^{4}$.
Both definitions have the desired properties. Also $V_{1} \otimes V_{2}$ is obviously isomorphic to $V_{1} \tilde{\otimes} V_{2}$ by the rule $\alpha:\left(\begin{array}{l}a \\ b \\ c \\ d\end{array}\right) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{l}a \\ c \\ b \\ d\end{array}\right)$.

Definition. Let $V$ be a vector space. The vector space symmetric tensor product $V \otimes_{S} V$ is defined to be a vector space together with a symmetric bilinear mapping $\otimes_{S}: V \times V \rightarrow V \otimes_{S} V$ such that if $b: V \times V \rightarrow W$ is any bilinear and symmetric mapping, then there is a unique linear mapping $\tilde{b}: V \otimes_{S} V \rightarrow W$ such that $b\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=$ $\tilde{b}\left(v_{1} \otimes_{S} v_{2}\right)$, for all $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$.

Example. For any $\binom{a}{b},\binom{c}{d} \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, we define $\binom{a}{b} \otimes_{S}\binom{c}{d}:=\frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{a}{b} \otimes\binom{c}{d}+\binom{c}{d} \otimes\binom{a}{b}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{c}{d} \otimes\binom{a}{b}+\binom{a}{b} \otimes\binom{c}{d}\right]=\binom{c}{d} \otimes_{S}\binom{a}{b}$.

Definition. Let $V$ be a vector space. The vector space wedge product ( or vector space antisymmetric tensor product) $V \wedge V$ is defined to be a vector space together with a antisymmetric bilinear mapping $\wedge: V \times V \rightarrow V \wedge V$ such that if $b: V \times V \rightarrow W$ is any bilinear and alternating mapping, then there is a unique linear mapping $\tilde{b}: V \wedge V \rightarrow W$ such that $b\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\tilde{b}\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2}\right)$ for all $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$.

Example. For any $\binom{a}{b},\binom{c}{d} \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, we define $\binom{a}{b} \wedge\binom{c}{d}:=\frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{a}{b} \otimes\binom{c}{d}-\binom{c}{d} \otimes\binom{a}{b}\right]=-\frac{1}{2}\left[\binom{c}{d} \otimes\binom{a}{b}-\binom{a}{b} \otimes\binom{c}{d}\right]=-\binom{c}{d} \wedge\binom{a}{b}$. If we define $\binom{a}{b} \otimes\binom{c}{d}=\left(\begin{array}{c}a c \\ a d \\ b c \\ b d\end{array}\right)$, then $\binom{a}{b} \wedge\binom{c}{d}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\begin{array}{c}a c \\ a d \\ b c \\ b d\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c}c a \\ c b \\ d a \\ d b\end{array}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}a 0 \\ b c-b c \\ b c-a d \\ 0\end{array}\right)=$ $-\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ b c-a d \\ a d-b c \\ 0\end{array}\right)$. Then $\mathbb{C}^{2} \wedge \mathbb{C}^{2}:=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ b \\ c \\ 0\end{array}\right): b+c=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$.

We can see that vector space symmetric tensor product and wedge products are vector space tensor products having addition structures: symmetry and antisymmetry respectively.

### 2.1.2. Tensor Product of Two Linear Maps.

Definition. Let $\hat{A}_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow W_{1}, \hat{A}_{2}: V_{2} \rightarrow W_{2}$ be two linear maps between vector spaces, then the tensor product of $\hat{A}_{1}$ and $\hat{A}_{2}$ is:

$$
\hat{A}_{1} \otimes \hat{A}_{2}: V_{1} \otimes V_{2} \rightarrow W_{1} \otimes W_{2}: v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \mapsto\left(\hat{A}_{1} v_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\hat{A}_{2} v_{2}\right)
$$

extended uniquely by the universal property.
ExAmple. Define $\binom{a}{b} \otimes\binom{c}{d}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}a\left(\begin{array}{c}c \\ d \\ b \\ b \\ d\end{array}\right)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}a c \\ a d \\ b c \\ b d\end{array}\right)$. For $i=1,2$, define linear maps $\hat{M}_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$, where $\hat{M}_{i}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{i} & b_{i} \\ c_{i} & d_{i}\end{array}\right)$. The tensor product of these two linear maps is defined to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{M}_{1} \otimes \hat{M}_{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1} \\
c_{1} & d_{1}
\end{array}\right) \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2} \\
c_{2} & d_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& :=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2} \\
c_{2} & d_{2}
\end{array}\right) & b_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2} \\
c_{2} & d_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
c_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2} \\
c_{2} & d_{2}
\end{array}\right) & d_{1}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{2} & b_{2} \\
c_{2} & d_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1} a_{2} & a_{1} b_{2} & b_{1} a_{2} & b_{1} b_{2} \\
a_{1} c_{2} & a_{1} d_{2} & b_{1} c_{2} & b_{1} d_{2} \\
c_{1} a_{2} & c_{1} b_{2} & d_{1} a_{2} & d_{1} b_{2} \\
c_{1} c_{2} & c_{1} d_{2} & d_{1} c_{2} & d_{1} d_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We need to check that it is well-defined. Let $\binom{a}{b},\binom{c}{d} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. On one hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1} \\
c_{1} & d_{1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{a}{b}\right] \otimes\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2} \\
c_{2} & d_{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{c}{d}\right]} \\
& =\binom{a_{1} a+b_{1} b}{c_{1} a+d_{1} b} \otimes\binom{a_{2} c+b_{2} d}{c_{2} c+d_{2} d} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(a_{1} a+b_{1} b\right)\left(a_{2} c+b_{2} d\right) \\
\left(a_{1} a+b_{1}\right)\left(c_{2} c+d_{2} d\right) \\
\left(c_{1} a+d_{1} b\right)\left(a_{2} c+b_{2} d\right) \\
\left(c_{1} a+d_{1} b\right)\left(c_{2} c+d_{2} d\right)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & b_{1} \\
c_{1} & d_{1}
\end{array}\right) \otimes\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & b_{2} \\
c_{2} & d_{2}
\end{array}\right)\right]\left[\binom{a}{b} \otimes\binom{c}{d}\right]} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1} a_{2} & a_{1} b_{2} & b_{1} a_{2} & b_{1} b_{2} \\
a_{1} c_{2} & a_{1} d_{2} & b_{1} c_{2} & b_{1} d_{2} \\
c_{1} a_{2} & c_{1} b_{2} & d_{1} a_{2} & d_{1} b_{2} \\
c_{1} c_{2} & c_{1} d_{2} & d_{1} c_{2} & d_{1} d_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
a c \\
a d \\
b c \\
b d
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(a_{1} a+b_{1} b\right)\left(a_{2} c+b_{2} d\right) \\
\left(a_{1} a+b_{1} b\right)\left(c_{2} c+d_{2} d\right) \\
\left(c_{1} a+d_{1} b\right)\left(a_{2} c+b_{2} d\right) \\
\left(c_{1} a+d_{1} b\right)\left(c_{2} c+d_{2} d\right)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Done.
2.1.3. Tensor Product of Two Hilbert Spaces. For the purpose of this thesis a Hilbert space is a complete inner product space over the complex field, and is always separable, i.e. there is a countable maximal orthogonal set (see [19]).

Definition. (Tensor Product of Two Hilbert Spaces by Universal Property) Assume that $\mathcal{H}_{1}, \mathcal{H}_{2}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-Hilbert spaces. Let $\mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-Hilbert space, and $\otimes: \mathcal{H}_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2}:\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \mapsto \phi_{1} \otimes \phi_{2}$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear continuous mapping with property that if $W$ is an arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-Hilbert space and $b: \mathcal{H}_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{2} \rightarrow W$ is an arbitrary bilinear continuous mapping, then there exist one and only one $\mathbb{C}$-linear continuous mapping $\tilde{b}: \mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2} \rightarrow W$ s.t. $b\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\tilde{b}\left(\phi_{1} \otimes \phi_{2}\right)$ for all $\phi_{1} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\phi_{2} \in \mathcal{H}_{2}$.

Here is one construction of $\mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2}$ followed by Reed and Simon [34]. Let $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ be Hilbert spaces. For each $\phi_{1} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}, \phi_{2} \in \mathcal{H}_{2}$, let $\phi_{1} \otimes \phi_{2}$ denote the conjugate bilinear form which acts on $\mathcal{H}_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{2}$ by

$$
\left(\phi_{1} \otimes \phi_{2}\right)\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=\left(\psi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}\left(\psi_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)_{\mathcal{H}_{2}},
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}_{2}}$ are the inner products defined on $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ respectively.
Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of all finite linear combinations of such bilinear forms; we define an inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by defining

$$
(\phi \otimes \psi, \eta \otimes \mu)_{\mathcal{H}}=(\phi, \eta)_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}(\psi, \mu)_{\mathcal{H}_{2}}
$$

and extending by linearity to $\mathcal{H}$.

FACt. $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}}$ is well-defined and positive definite.[34]

Definition. We define $\mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2}$ to be the completion of $\mathcal{H}$ under the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}}$ defined above, which is a subspace of the space of all conjugate bilinear continuous functionals on $\mathcal{H}_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{2} . \mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2}$ is called the tensor product of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$.

Here we give an alternative construction of the Hilbert space tensor product (see $\S 2.2 .2$ and $\S 2.2 .4$ for definitions of $\sigma$-algebra and measures)

$$
\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

where for $i=1,2, X_{i}$ are sets, $\Sigma_{i}$ are the sigma algebras defined on $X_{i}, \mu_{i}$ are measures defined on $\Sigma_{i}, \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{i}, \Sigma_{i}, \mu_{i}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ are the sets of equivalence classes of square integrable complex valued functions defined on $X_{i}$.

We define the bilinear mapping

$$
\otimes: \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right) \times \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}, \Sigma_{1} \otimes \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{1} \times \mu_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

by the rule $\otimes\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=\psi_{1} \otimes \psi_{2}$, where $\left(\psi_{1} \otimes \psi_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) ; \Sigma_{1} \otimes \Sigma_{2}$ is the smallest sigma algebra generated by measurable rectangles [36]; $\mu_{1} \times \mu_{2}$ is the product measure of $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ (see [36] p. 304).

Then for any given continuous bilinear mapping $b: \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ $\times \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow W$, and for any Hilbert space $W$, we define the mapping

$$
\tilde{b}: \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}, \Sigma_{1} \otimes \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{1} \times \mu_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right) \rightarrow W
$$

by the rule $\tilde{b}\left(\psi_{1} \otimes \psi_{2}\right)=b\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$.
We must check that $\tilde{b}$ is well-defined and linear. To see $\tilde{b}$ is linear in the range of $\otimes$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{b}\left(\psi_{1} \otimes \psi_{2}+\alpha \psi_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \psi_{2}\right) & =\tilde{b}\left(\left(\psi_{1}+\alpha \psi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \psi_{2}\right) \\
& =b\left(\psi_{1}+\alpha \psi_{1}^{\prime}, \psi_{2}\right) \\
& =b\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)+\alpha b\left(\psi_{1}^{\prime}, \psi_{2}\right) \\
& =\tilde{b}\left(\psi_{1} \otimes \psi_{2}\right)+\alpha \tilde{b}\left(\psi_{1}^{\prime} \otimes \psi_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To see that $\tilde{b}$ is well-defined on the range of $\otimes$, we need to show that $\psi_{1} \otimes \psi_{2}=$ $\tilde{\psi}_{1} \otimes \tilde{\psi}_{2} \Rightarrow b\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=b\left(\tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}\right)$.

We will show that one of the following three conditions holds:
(1) $\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ for $\mu_{1}$-a.e. $x_{1} \in X_{1}$;
(2) $\psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ for $\mu_{2}$-a.e. $x_{2} \in X_{2}$;
(3) There is a constant $c$ such that $\psi_{2}=c \tilde{\psi}_{2} \mu_{2}$-a.e. on $X_{2}$, and $\tilde{\psi}_{1}=c \psi_{1} \mu_{1}$-a.e. on $X_{1}$.

Each of these three conditions imply that $b\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=b\left(\tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}\right)$.
Define $S:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in X_{1} \times X_{2} \mid \psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}$. Then $\left(\mu_{1} \times\right.$ $\left.\mu_{2}\right)\left(S^{c}\right)=0$, where $S^{c}=\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right) \backslash S . \int_{X_{1} \times X_{2}} \chi_{S^{c}} d \mu_{1} d \mu_{2}=\int_{X_{1}}\left(\int_{X_{2}} \chi_{S^{c}} d \mu_{2}\right) d \mu_{1}=0$.

Define $S_{1}=\left\{x_{1} \in X_{1} \mid \int_{X_{2}} \chi_{S^{c}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d \mu_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=0\right\}$. Then $\mu_{1}\left(X_{1} \backslash S_{1}\right)=0$. For all $x_{1} \in S_{1}, \chi_{S^{c}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0$ for $\mu_{2}$-a.e. $x_{2} \in X_{2} ; \chi_{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=1$ iff $\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=$ $\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for $\mu_{2}$-a.e. $x_{2} \in X_{2} . S_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\{x_{2} \in X_{2} \mid \psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}$ is meaningful for all $x_{1} \in S_{1}$, and $\mu_{2}\left(X_{2} \backslash S_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=0$.

If $\forall x_{1} \in S_{1}$ both $\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ then $b\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=0=b\left(\tilde{\psi}_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)$ regardless of $\psi_{2}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{2}$; if not then $\exists x_{1}^{*} \in S_{1}$ such that either $\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right) \neq 0$ or $\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right) \neq 0$. Interchanging $\psi_{1}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{1}$ as necessary we have $\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right) \neq 0$. We know that $\mu_{2}\left(X_{2} \backslash\right.$ $\left.S_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=0$ for all $x_{1} \in S_{1}$. If $\exists x_{1}^{*} \in S_{1}$ such that $\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right) \neq 0$ then define $c_{1}:=\frac{\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)}{\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)}$.

Define $S_{2}:=\left\{x_{2} \in X_{2} \mid \int_{X_{1}} \chi_{S^{c}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d \mu_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=0\right\}$. Then $\mu_{2}\left(X_{2} \backslash S_{2}\right)=0$ and $S_{2}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right):=\left\{x_{2} \in X_{2} \mid \psi_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right) \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right) \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}=\left\{x_{2} \in X_{2} \mid \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=c_{1} \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}$ and $\mu_{2}\left(X_{2} \backslash S_{2}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)\right)=0$. If $x_{2} \in S_{2} \cap S_{2}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)$ then $\int_{X_{1}} \chi_{S^{c}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d \mu_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ i.e. $\chi_{S^{c}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0 \mu_{1}$-a.e. $x_{1} \in X_{1}, \chi_{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=1 \mu_{1}$-a.e. $x_{1} \in X_{1}$, i.e. $\psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=$ $\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for $\mu_{1}$-a.e. $x_{1} \in X_{1} \Rightarrow c_{1} \psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for $\mu_{1}$-a.e. $x_{1} \in$ $X_{1} \Rightarrow\left[c_{1} \psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ for $\mu_{1}$-a.e. $x_{1} \in X_{1}$.

If $\forall x_{2} \in S_{2} \cap S_{2}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right) \quad \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ then $\psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ for all such $x_{2}$ as well and hence $b\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=0=b\left(\tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}\right)$ regardless of $\psi_{1}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{1}$. So otherwise $\exists x_{2}^{*} \in S_{2} \cap$ $S_{2}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)$ such that $\tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right) \neq 0$, then $S_{1}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right):=\left\{x_{1} \in X_{1} \mid c_{1} \psi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}$ satisfies $\mu_{1}\left(X_{1} \backslash S_{1}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right)\right)=0$. Hence $\psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=c_{1} \tilde{\psi}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for all $x_{2} \in S_{2}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right), \mu_{2}\left(S_{2}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)^{c}\right)=0$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=c_{1} \psi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ for all $x_{1} \in S_{1}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right), \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\left(x_{2}^{*}\right)^{c}\right)=0$ as desired.

$$
b\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=b\left(\psi_{1}, c \tilde{\psi}_{2}\right)=c b\left(\psi_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}\right) \text { and } b\left(\tilde{\psi}_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}\right)=b\left(c \psi_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}\right)=c b\left(\psi_{1}, \tilde{\psi}_{2}\right) . \text { So } \tilde{b} \text { is }
$$

well-defined in the range of $\otimes$.
The fact below shows that $\tilde{b}$ is densely defined.

FACT.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi= & \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi_{1}^{i} \otimes \psi_{2}^{i} \in \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}, \Sigma_{1} \otimes \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{1} \times \mu_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right. \\
& \mid \psi_{1}^{i} \in \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right) \\
& \left.\psi_{2}^{i} \in \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right), i=1, \cdots, n\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is dense in $\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}, \Sigma_{1} \otimes \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{1} \otimes \mu_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.(See [39] for the proof.)

Remark: the universal property ensures that

$$
\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}, \Sigma_{1} \otimes \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{1} \otimes \mu_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right) \cong \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}, \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

since if we take $W=\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(X_{2}\right)$, then the induced mapping $\tilde{b}$ is an isomorphism.

Example. As we will discuss in $\S 3.2 .1, \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ is the Hilbert space of one spinless electron system. $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ is the Hilbert space of one spin. The tensor product allows us to combine the two attributes. The Hilbert space of one real electron system (i.e. 1 electron system with spin ) is represented by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2} & =\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{2}(\{0,1\}, \mathcal{P}(\{0,1\}), \#, \mathbb{C}) \\
& \cong \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times\{0,1\}, \mathbb{C}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\{0,1\})$, i.e. the power set of $\{0,1\}$, is the sigma algebra of $\{0,1\}$, and $\#$ is the measure of counting.

For $\psi \in \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times\{0,1\}, \mathbb{C}\right)$, we have $\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \sigma\right) \in \mathbb{C}$, for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in$ $\{0,1\}$.

Notation: $\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right)^{2}=\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right) \oplus \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right)=\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times\{0,1\}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.

Given a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, define $\hat{\mathcal{I}}: \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ as $\psi_{1} \otimes \psi_{2} \mapsto \psi_{2} \otimes \psi_{1}$ uniquely extended by the universal property. Therefore
(1) $\hat{\mathcal{S}}:=\frac{1}{2}(\hat{1}+\hat{\mathcal{I}})$, called the symmetrization operator, is the projection of $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}_{+1}$, the eigenspace with eigenvalue +1 , because $\hat{\mathcal{I}}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\hat{1}+\hat{\mathcal{I}}) \psi\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{\mathcal{I}}+\hat{\mathcal{I}}^{2}\right) \psi=$ $\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathcal{I}}+\hat{1}) \psi$, i.e. $\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathcal{I}}+\hat{\mathcal{I}}) \psi$ is an eigenfunction of $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ with eigenvalue +1 . We define the Hilbert space symmetric tensor product $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{S} \mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{H}_{+1}$.
(2) $\hat{\mathcal{A}}:=\frac{1}{2}(\hat{1}-\hat{\mathcal{I}})$, called the anti-symmetrization operator, is the projection of $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}_{-1}$, the eigenspace with eigenvalue -1 , because $\hat{\mathcal{I}}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\hat{1}-\hat{\mathcal{I}}) \psi\right]=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{\mathcal{I}}-\hat{\mathcal{I}}^{2}\right) \psi=-\frac{1}{2}(\hat{1}-\hat{\mathcal{I}}) \psi$, i.e. $\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathcal{I}}-\hat{\mathcal{I}}) \psi$ is an eigenfunction of $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$ with eigenvalue -1 . We define the Hilbert space wedge product (or Hilbert space antisymmetric tensor product) $\mathcal{H} \wedge \mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{H}_{-1}$.

Therefore, $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{S} \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H} \wedge \mathcal{H}$ are subspaces of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$.

### 2.2. Mathematical Formalism of Classical Statistical MeCHANICS

To understand the mathematical formalism of quantum mechanics, we will first discuss the corresponding concepts in classical mechanics.

### 2.2.1. Phase Space.

Definition. The phase space determines all the constituents of the system, and all their possible configurations and dynamical behaviors. The phase space $X$ of a classical mechanical system with $n$ degrees of freedom is a $2 n$ dimensional manifold, with local coordinates $q_{1}, \cdots, q_{n}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}$, where $\left(q_{1}, \cdots, q_{n}\right)=\mathbf{q}$ represent the generalized position coordinates and $\left(p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}\right)=\mathbf{p}$ represent the generalized momentum coordinates.

Example. For a particle in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the phase space is $\mathbb{R}^{6}=\left\{\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \mid\right.$ $\left.q_{i}, p_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2,3\right\}$, where $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$ is the position of the particle, $\mathbf{p}=$ $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ is the momentum of the particle.

### 2.2.2. Logic.

Definition. Let $X$ be a set. Then a $\sigma$-algebra $\Sigma$ is a collection of subsets of $X$ such that the following hold:
(1) The empty set is in $\Sigma$;
(2) If $A$ is in $\Sigma$, then so is $X \backslash A$;
(3) If $A_{n}$ is a sequence of elements of $\Sigma$, then the union of the $A_{n} \mathrm{~s}$ is in $\Sigma$.

If $\Sigma$ is a $\sigma$-algebra and $A$ is a subset of $X$, then $A$ is called measurable if $A$ is a member of $\Sigma$. Measurable sets are also called events. If $S$ is any collection of subsets of $X$, then we can always find a $\sigma$-algebra containing $S$, namely the power set of $X$. By taking the intersection of all $\sigma$-algebras containing $S$, we obtain the smallest such $\sigma$-algebra, which is called the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $S$.

Definition. The Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is defined to be the $\sigma$-algebra generated by the collection of open sets (or equivalently, by the closed sets) of the topological space $X$. A Borel set is an element of the Borel $\sigma$-algebra.

Definition. The logic of a classical mechanical system is $\Sigma=\mathcal{B}(X)$, the Borel $\sigma$-algebra on the phase space $X$.

The events of the logic are in an idealized sense definable and testable in terms of experimentally relevant quantities such as the coordinates $q_{1}, \cdots, q_{n}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}$. $A \in \Sigma$ if there is an idealized decision algorithm for whether $s \in A$ involving only answering questions about the values $f(s)$ of various continuous functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

For $A, B \in \Sigma$, we define $A \leq B$ iff $A \subset B$. We define $A^{\perp}:=X-A$. We define $A \perp B$ iff $A \cap B=\emptyset$.
2.2.3. Observables. A physical quantity relative to this system is called an observable, defined as a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable with respect to the $\sigma$-algebra $\Sigma$, i.e. $f^{-1}(R) \in \Sigma, \forall R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

For instance, if the system is that of a single particle of mass $m$ which moves in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ under the influence of a potential force, then $n=3, X=\mathbb{R}^{6}, \Sigma=\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}\right)$, and an important observable is the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$, which is given by

$$
\hat{H}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2 m}\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right)+V\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)
$$

If $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{6}$, the function $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2 m}\left(p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}\right)$ is the kinetic energy observable of the particle. The function $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow V\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$ is the potential energy observable of the particle. These are also important observables.

Definition. Let be $u, v$ be any two smooth functions of the variables ( $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}$ ). Then the expression

$$
\{u, v\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial q_{i}}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial p_{i}}\right)
$$

is called the Poisson bracket of $u$ and $v$.

FACT. The collection $\mathcal{P}$ of all smooth observables have the following properties, for any $A, B, C \in \mathcal{P}$
(1) distributive law (Leibnitz rule): $\{A, B C\}=\{A, B\} C+B\{A, C\}$;
(2) antisymmetric law: $\{A, B\}=-\{B, A\}$;
(3) linearity: $\left\{A, \beta_{1} B_{1}+\beta_{2} B_{2}\right\}=\beta_{1}\left\{A, B_{1}\right\}+\beta_{2}\left\{A, B_{2}\right\}$;
(4) Jacobi identity: $\{A,\{B, C\}\}=\{\{A, B\}, C\}+\{B,\{A, C\}\}$.

### 2.2.4. State.

Definition. A measure is a map $m: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$ such that $m(\emptyset)=0$ and, if $A_{n}$ is a countable sequence in $\Sigma$ and the $A_{n}$ are pairwise disjoint, then

$$
m\left(\cup_{n} A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n} m\left(A_{n}\right)
$$

If, in addition, $m(X)=1$ for $X$ a measure space, then $m$ is said to be a probability measure.

Definition. A state in classical statistical mechanics is defined as a probability measure on the phase space:

$$
\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow[0,1] .
$$

The pure states are the extreme points of the convex set of all states, which are represented by the Dirac delta measures $\delta_{(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})}(S)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1, & \text { if }(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \in S \\ 0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{array}\right.$.

The state represents the observer's partial knowledge of the phase point ( $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}$ ) and/or describes an ensemble of identically prepared systems.

Definition. A measure $\nu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to another measure $\mu$, denoted as $\nu \ll \mu$, if for every set $E$ with $\mu(E)=0$, we have $\nu(E)=0$.

This makes sense as long as $\mu$ is a positive measure, such as Lebesgue measure, but $\nu$ can be any measure, possibly a complex measure.

By the Radon-Nikodym theorem [36], $\nu \ll \mu$ implies that

$$
\nu(E)=\int_{E} f d \mu, \forall E \in \Sigma
$$

where the integral is the Lebesgue integral, for some integrable function $f$. The function $f$, uniquely determined $\mu$ a.e. on $X$ by $\mu$ and $\nu$, is like a derivative, and is called the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{d \nu}{d \mu}$.

For an example of an absolutely continuous state, i.e. a state which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure $d^{n} \mathbf{q} d^{n} \mathbf{p}=d q_{1} \cdots d q_{n} d p_{1}, \cdots d p_{n}$, we define the density function $\rho(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})=\frac{e^{-\beta \hat{H}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})}}{Z(\beta)}$, where $\beta>0$ is a parameter inversely proportional to the temperature, and $Z(\beta)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} e^{-\beta \hat{H}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})} d^{n} \mathbf{q} d^{n} \mathbf{p}$ is called the partition function. $\rho$ is a nonnegative real valued function, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 n}} \rho d^{n} \mathbf{q} d^{n} \mathbf{p}=1$. The state $d \mu=\rho(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) d^{n} \mathbf{q} d^{n} \mathbf{p}$ is usually called the canonical ensemble.
2.2.5. State Evolution. The law of evolution in time of the state of the system is specified by a smooth function $\hat{H}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called the Hamiltonian. Let $(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t))=\left(q_{1}(t), \cdots, q_{n}(t), p_{1}(t), \cdots, p_{n}(t)\right)$ be a dynamical trajectory of the system; such a trajectory satisfies the Hamilton's equations of motion :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \mathbf{q}}{d t}=\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \hat{H} \\
\frac{d \mathbf{p}}{d t}=-\nabla_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{H}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\nabla_{\mathbf{q}}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{n}}\end{array}\right), \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}:=\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{n}}\end{array}\right)$.
Let $\phi_{t}\left(\mathbf{q}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{0}\right):=(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t))$ be the solution of these classical equations of motion, where $(\mathbf{q}(0), \mathbf{p}(0))=\left(\mathbf{q}_{0}, \mathbf{p}_{0}\right)$. Denote the initial state as $\mu_{0}$, and the state after time $t$ as $\mu_{t}$. Let $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, then define

$$
\mu_{t}(S)=\mu\left(\phi_{-t}(S)\right)
$$

For a pure initial state, i.e. if $\mu_{0}=\delta_{(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})}$, then

$$
\mu_{t}(S)=\delta_{\phi_{t}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})}(S)
$$

For a given absolutely continuous initial state $d \mu_{0}=\rho_{0} d^{n} \mathbf{q} d^{n} \mathbf{p}$, the density function $\rho_{t}$ of the state $d \mu_{t}=\rho_{t} d^{n} \mathbf{q} d^{n} \mathbf{p}$ at time $t$ satisfies the Liouville's equation [ $\left.\mathbf{9}\right]$ :

$$
\frac{d \rho_{t}}{d t}=-\{\hat{H}, \rho\}:=-\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \hat{H} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} \rho-\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \rho \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{H}\right)
$$

Example. For a 1-dimensional harmonic oscillator, the Hamiltonian is given by $\hat{H}(q, p)=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{1}{2} k q^{2}=\frac{p^{2}}{(\sqrt{2 m})^{2}}+\frac{q^{2}}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{k}}\right)^{2}}=E$, where $m$ is the mass of the system, $k$ is a constant, $q$ is the displacement, $p$ is the momentum, $\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}$ represents the kinetic energy, $\frac{1}{2} k q^{2}$ represents the potential energy, $E$ is the total energy of the system. For different $E$ values, the level curves of the Hamiltonian correspond to different ellipses having the same major axis and minor axis. Then $\nabla_{q} \hat{H}=\hat{H}_{q}=k q, \nabla_{p} \hat{H}=\hat{H}_{p}=p / m$ and
hence the Hamilton's equation is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d q}{d t}=\hat{H}_{p}=p / m \\
\frac{d p}{d t}=-\hat{H}_{q}=-k q
\end{array}\right.
$$

Given the initial state $\left(q_{0}, p_{0}\right)$, the state $\left(\tilde{q}\left(t ; q_{0}, p_{0}\right), \tilde{p}\left(t ; q_{0}, p_{0}\right)\right)$ at time $t$ can be solved from the above equations as $q=C \cos (\omega t+\phi), p=-m C \omega \sin (\omega t+\phi)$, where $\omega=\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} ; C, \phi$ are constants calculated as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{0}=C \cos \phi, p_{0}=-C m \omega \sin \phi \Rightarrow \cos \phi=q_{0} / C, \sin \phi=-\frac{p_{0}}{C m \omega} \\
& \hat{H}\left(q_{0}, p_{0}\right)=\frac{p_{0}^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{1}{2} k q_{0}^{2}=\frac{C^{2} m^{2} \omega^{2}}{2 m} \sin ^{2} \phi+\frac{1}{2} k C^{2} \cos ^{2} \phi=k C^{2} / 2 \\
& \Rightarrow C=\sqrt{\frac{2 \hat{H}\left(q_{0}, p_{0}\right)}{k}} \\
& \phi=\arg \left(q_{0} / C-i p_{0} /(C m \omega)\right)=\arg \left(q_{0}-i p_{0} /(m \omega)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q & =C \cos (\omega t+\phi)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \hat{H}\left(q_{0}, p_{0}\right)}{k}} \cos \left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} t+\arg \left(q_{0}-i p_{0} /(m \omega)\right)\right)=\tilde{q}\left(t ; q_{0}, p_{0}\right) \\
p & =-C m \omega \sin (\omega t+\phi)=-\sqrt{2 \hat{H}\left(q_{0}, p_{0}\right) m} \sin \left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} t+\arg \left(q_{0}-i p_{0} /(m \omega)\right)\right) \\
& =\tilde{p}\left(t ; q_{0}, p_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose the initial density function $\rho_{0}(q, p)=\rho(q, p, 0)$ is given. The Liouville's equation is $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}=-\frac{p}{m} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q}+k q \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p}$. We claim that the solution for the Liouville's equation is $\rho(q, p, t)=\rho(\tilde{q}(-t ; q, p), \tilde{p}(-t ; q, p), 0)=\rho_{0}(\tilde{q}(-t ; q, p), \tilde{p}(-t ; q, p))$.

To see,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(\tilde{q}(t ; q, p), \tilde{p}(t ; q, p), t) & =\rho_{0}(q, p) \\
0=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_{0}(q, p) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(\tilde{q}(t ; q, p), \tilde{p}(t ; q, p), t) \\
& =\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q} \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{q}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q} \cdot \frac{\tilde{p}}{m}+\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} \cdot(-k) \tilde{q}+\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}
$$

2.2.6. Predicting Measurement Outcomes. Suppose the observable $f: X \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ is to be measured and we wish to predict the distribution of the measured values of this observable when the system is in the state $\mu: \Sigma \rightarrow[0,1]$. If we suppose the measurement is noiseless then the probability distribution of the values of $f$ is the so-called marginal distribution $\nu_{f}: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow[0,1]$, defined by the rule: $\nu_{f}(R)=\mu\left(f^{-1}(R)\right)$ for all $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

Example. $X$ is a product space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with coordinates $(q, p), f(q, p)=q, d \mu=$ $\rho(q, p) d p d q$ then

$$
d \nu_{f}(q)=\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(q, p) d p\right) d q
$$

where $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(q, p) d p$ is called the marginal density function. We will use the notation $d \nu_{f}(q)$ and $\nu_{f}(d q)$ interchangeably.

Realistic measurements all have some noise, which means that instead of measuring $f(x)$ the measurement apparatus introduces small perturbations, which we model using a normally distributed random variable with mean zero and small variance $\epsilon^{2}$. Define

$$
\alpha_{\epsilon}(y)=\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \epsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 4}} \exp \left[-\frac{y^{2}}{4 \epsilon^{2}}\right]
$$

Then $\alpha_{\epsilon}(y)^{2}$ is the probability density function for the noise perturbations. The predicted probability distribution of the noisy measured values is $\nu_{f} * \alpha_{\epsilon}^{2}$. Thus the probability that the noisy measured values will be in the set $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nu_{f} * \alpha_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)(R) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{R}(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}(z-y)^{2} \nu_{f}(d y) d z \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{R}(z) \alpha_{\epsilon}(z-y)^{2} d z \nu_{f}(d y) \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\chi_{R} * \alpha_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)(y) \nu_{f}(d y)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$this expression tends to $\nu_{f}(R)$ as expected.
2.2.7. Updating the state after the measurement. First let us suppose a noiseless measurement of the observable $f$ has been conducted while the system is in the state $\mu$, and the outcome of the measurement is an observed probability distribution $\tilde{\nu}$ of the measured values of $f$. This observed distribution $\tilde{\nu}$ may be different from the predicted distribution $\nu_{f}$, and our problem is to know how to take this new information into account. If there is some $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\nu_{f}(R)=0$ and $\tilde{\nu}(R)>0$ then there is a genuine conflict between theoretical prediction and observation. Let us assume the contrary, namely that $\tilde{\nu} \ll \nu_{f}$.

The experimentally obtained information $\tilde{\nu}$ concerns the possible values $y=f(x)$ of the observable, if the phase point $x$ is in $X$. However, the experiment yields no information about which $x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})$ gives rise to a particular $y$ value. The state $\mu$ does however provide such information by means of the fibre measures $\mu_{y}$, which will altogether satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(S)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{y}(S) d \nu_{f}(y) \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $S \in \Sigma$. This can be understood as follows. Suppose $S \in \Sigma$ and define $\nu_{f, S}: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow[0, \mu(S)]$ by the rule $\nu_{f, S}(R)=\mu\left(S \cap f^{-1}(R)\right)$ for all $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Clearly $\nu_{f, S}$ is a positive measure and $\nu_{f, S} \ll \nu_{f}$. Therefore by the Radon-Nikodym theorem [36]

$$
\mu(S)=\nu_{f, S}(\mathbb{R})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \nu_{f, S}(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \nu_{f, S}}{d \nu_{f}}(y) d \nu_{f}(y)
$$

This suggests that $\mu_{y}(S)=\frac{d \nu_{f, S}}{d \nu_{f}}(y)$ except for $y$ in some $\nu_{f}$-null set (depending on $S$ ). The fact that there exists a universal $\nu_{f}$-null set $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R} \backslash N$ the fibre probability measure $\mu_{y}$ exists and satisfies $\mu_{y}(S)=\frac{d \nu_{f, S}}{d \nu_{f}}(y)$ for all $S \in \Sigma$ is a nontrivial theorem in measure theory, proved for example in [31] (Parthasarathy). $\mu_{y}$ is also called a conditional probability. It is concentrated on on the set $f^{-1}(\{y\})$ in the sense that $\mu_{y}\left(X \backslash f^{-1}(\{y\})\right)=0$.

No experimental information gives us any reason to modify the fibre measures $\mu_{y}$, so we define the updated state $\tilde{\mu}$ by the rule:

$$
\tilde{\mu}(S)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_{y}(S) d \tilde{\nu}(y)
$$

for all $S \in \Sigma$. This makes sense since $\mu_{y}(S)$ is defined for all $y \in \mathbb{R} \backslash N$, and $\tilde{\nu}(N)=0$. Hence we essentially replace $\nu_{f}$ in $\left(^{*}\right)$ by $\tilde{\nu}$. It is not difficult to check that $\tilde{\mu}\left(f^{-1}(R)\right)=\tilde{\nu}(R)$ for all $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\tilde{\mu}_{y}=\mu_{y}$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R} \backslash N$. The updated state thus encodes all the information the observer possesses about the system immediately after the measurement.

If the measurement is noisy, this does not affect the formula for the updated state, since in classical mechanics we do not suppose that the noise in the measurement apparatus actually perturbs the system, so as to modify the fibre measures $\mu_{y}$. However in a noisy measurement one would expect the observed distribution $\tilde{\nu}$ to be "blurred" when compared to the distribution of noiselessly measured values. Thus the updated state represents what the observer knows about the system, not what "really is". Because of this it is not surprising that the state might need to be updated after a measurement.

Example. Let $X=\{1,2,3,4\} \times\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}=\{(1,1),(1,2), \cdots,(1,6)$, $(2,1),(2,2), \cdots,(2,6), \cdots,(4,1),(4,2), \cdots,(4,6)\}$. Let us see a formalistic construction on this classical system:

- define the logic to be $\Sigma=\mathcal{P}(X)$, the power set of $X$;
- define an observable to be $f: X \rightarrow\{1, \cdots, 6\}:(i, j) \mapsto j$;
- assume that the atomic probability at $(i, j) \in X$ is $P_{i, j}$, where $0 \leq P_{i, j} \leq$ $1, \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{6} P_{i, j}=1 ;$
- the state before measurement is $\mu(S)=\sum_{(i, j) \in S} P_{i, j} \forall S \in \Sigma$;
- for $R \subset\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$, the predicted distribution of the measured values is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{f}(R) & =\mu\left(f^{-1}(R)\right)=\sum_{(i, j) \in f^{-1}(R)} P_{i, j}=\sum_{f(i, j) \in R} P_{i, j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1, j \in R}^{6} P_{i, j} \\
& =\sum_{j=1, j \in R}^{6}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} P_{i, j}\right)=\sum_{j=1, j \in R}^{6} P_{j}^{f},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{j}^{f}:=\sum_{i=1}^{4} P_{i, j}$ is the marginal probability;

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\nu_{f, S}(R) & =\mu\left(f^{-1}(R) \cap S\right)=\sum_{(i, j) \in f^{-1}(R) \cap S} P_{i, j}=\sum_{j=1, j \in R}^{6}\left(\sum_{i=1 ;(i, j) \in S}^{4} P_{i, j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1, j \in R}^{6} \frac{\sum_{i=1 ;(i, j) \in S}^{4} P_{i, j}}{\sum_{i=1}^{4} P_{i, j}} \sum_{i=1}^{4} P_{i, j}=\sum_{j=1, j \in R}^{6} \frac{\sum_{i=1 ;(i, j) \in S}^{4} P_{i, j}}{P_{j}^{f}} P_{j}^{f} \\
& =\sum_{j=1, j \in R}^{6} \mu_{j}(S) P_{j}^{f}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

- assume that the observed distribution of measured value at $j \in\{1, \cdots, 6\}$ is $\tilde{\nu}(\{j\})=\tilde{P}_{j}$, where $0 \leq \tilde{P}_{j} \leq 1, \sum_{j=1}^{6} \tilde{P}_{j}=1$ and $\tilde{P}_{j}=0$ whenever $\sum_{i=1}^{4} P_{i j}=0$ (the absolute continuity condition). Then the observed distribution of measured value at $R \subset\{1, \cdots, 6\}$ is $\tilde{\nu}(R)=\sum_{j=1, j \in R}^{6} \tilde{P}_{j}$. Then the updated state defined for any $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ is $\tilde{\mu}(S)=\sum_{j=1}^{6} \frac{\nu_{f, S}(\{j\})}{\nu_{f}(\{j\})} \tilde{\nu}(\{j\})=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{6} \frac{\sum_{i s . t .}(i, j) \in S}{P_{j}^{f}} P_{i, j} \tilde{P}_{j}=\sum_{(i, j) \in S} \frac{P_{i j}}{P_{j}^{f}} \tilde{P}_{j}=\sum_{(i, j) \in S} \tilde{P}_{i j}$, where $\tilde{P}_{i, j}:=\tilde{\mu}(\{(i, j)\})$ $=\frac{P_{i, j}}{P_{j}^{f}} \tilde{P}_{j}$ is updated probability distribution. For instance, if $S=\{(1,2),(2,2)$, $(3,2),(3,3),(3,4)\}$, then $\tilde{\mu}(S)=\frac{P_{1,2}+P_{2,2}+P_{3,2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{4} P_{i, 2}} \tilde{P}_{2}+\frac{P_{3,3}}{\sum_{i=1}^{4} P_{i, 3}} \tilde{P}_{3}+\frac{P_{3,4}}{\sum_{i=1}^{4} P_{i, 4}} \tilde{P}_{4}=$ $\frac{P_{1,2}+P_{2,2}+P_{3,2}}{P_{2}^{f}} \tilde{P}_{2}+\frac{P_{3,3}}{P_{3}^{f}} \tilde{P}_{3}+\frac{P_{3,4}}{P_{4}^{f}} \tilde{P}_{4}$.

Example. Let $X=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, with coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, and we are suppose to measure $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{2}$. Let $\rho\left(x_{2}\right)$ be the predicted density function of $x_{2}$, and $\tilde{\rho}\left(x_{2}\right)$ the observed density function of $x_{2}$. Let $\eta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ be the density function of the state $\mu$
prior to the measurement, and $\tilde{\eta}$ the density function of the updated state $\tilde{\mu}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mu & =\eta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}, d \tilde{\mu}=\tilde{\eta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}, \\
\rho\left(x_{2}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1}, d \nu_{f}=\rho\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{2}, \\
\tilde{\rho}\left(x_{2}\right) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\eta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1}, d \tilde{\nu}_{f}=\tilde{\rho}\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{2}, \\
\frac{d \nu_{f, S}}{d \nu_{f}}\left(y_{0}\right) & =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\nu_{f, S}\left(\left[y_{0}-\epsilon, y_{0}+\epsilon\right]\right)}{\nu_{f}\left(\left[y_{0}-\epsilon, y_{0}+\epsilon\right]\right)} \\
& =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\int_{y_{0}-\epsilon}^{y_{0}+\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \eta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}}{\int_{y_{0}-\epsilon}^{y_{0} \epsilon \epsilon} \rho\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{2}} \\
& =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_{S}\left(x_{1}, y_{0}\right) \eta\left(x_{1}, y_{0}\right) d x_{1} 2 \epsilon}{\rho\left(y_{0}\right) 2 \epsilon} \\
& =\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_{S}\left(x_{1}, y_{0}\right) \eta\left(x_{1}, y_{0}\right) d x_{1}}{\rho\left(y_{0}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we find the updated state to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mu}(S) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d \nu_{f, S}}{d \nu_{f}} d \tilde{\nu}_{f} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \eta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1}}{\rho\left(x_{2}\right)} \tilde{\rho}\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{2} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \eta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \frac{\tilde{\rho}\left(x_{2}\right)}{\rho\left(x_{2}\right)} d x_{1} d x_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of $\tilde{\eta}$, for all $S \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$

$$
\tilde{\mu}(S)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tilde{\eta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}
$$

and therefore we have the relation $\eta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \frac{\tilde{\rho}\left(x_{2}\right)}{\rho\left(x_{2}\right)}=\tilde{\eta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

### 2.3. Mathematical Formalism of Quantum Mechanics

2.3.1. Hilbert Space. Each system is associated to a seperable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, which is not strictly analogous to the classical phase space, but plays roughly the
same role in quantum mechanics as the phase space plays in classical mechanics. It is difficult to give a systematic theory of the Hilbert spaces for each particle type, so we will assume that they are known and focus on deriving the Hilbert space for a system of many particles.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of all particle types, such as 'electron', 'proton', 'neutron', 'Helium nucleus', etc. Also assume $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\text {boson }} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\text {fermion }}$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ is the Hilbert space for a single particle of type $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of all particles in the system. Then $\mathcal{N}=\cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_{1}} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\alpha_{2}}=\emptyset$ if $\alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{2}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ is the set of all particles in $\mathcal{N}$ of type $\alpha$.

Then we have the following rules:
(1) Suppose $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {fermion }}$. Then the Hilbert space for the system of particles $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ is $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}}=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \wedge \ldots{ }^{1} \ldots \mathcal{N}^{\left|\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}\right|-1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$, where $\wedge$ is the Hilbert space wedge product.
(2) Suppose $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {boson }}$, then the Hilbert space for the system of particles $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}$ is $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}}=\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \otimes_{S}^{1} \ldots{ }^{\left|\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}\right|-1} \otimes_{S} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$, where $\otimes_{S}$ is the Hilbert space symmetric tensor product.
(3) The Hilbert space for the entire system of particles $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}=\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_{1}}} \otimes$ $\cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}_{\alpha_{k}}}$, where $\mathcal{A}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}\right\}$ and $\otimes$ is ordinary Hilbert space tensor product.

### 2.3.2. Logic.

Definition. A bounded linear operator $T: V \rightarrow W$ between two Banach spaces $V, W$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\|T(v)\|_{W} \leq C\|v\|_{V}, \forall v \in V
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $v$.

FACT. For any bounded linear functional $l: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, there is an unique $z \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $l(y)=(z, y)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$, where $(z, y)$ is the inner product of $z$ and $y$. We write $l=z^{\dagger}$.

For any fixed $x \in \mathcal{H}$, a bounded linear operator $P$ on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ defines a linear functional $l(y):=(x, P y), \forall y \in \mathcal{H}$. By the above fact, for any fixed $x \in \mathcal{H}$, there is an unique $z \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $(x, P y)=l(y)=(z, y)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$. We define the Hermitian conjugate (or adjoint) of $P$, denoted as $P^{\dagger}$, by the rule $P^{\dagger} x=z$. It is also a bounded linear operator on Hilbert space.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. We define the set of orthogonal projection operators as $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\hat{P}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \mid \hat{P}\right.$ is linear and bounded, $\left.\hat{P}=\hat{P}^{\dagger}=\hat{P}^{2}\right\}$. The Logic $\mathcal{L}$ of a quantum mechanical system is the set of all linear bounded Hermitian projection operators on $\mathcal{H}$. We say that orthogonal projection operators $\hat{P}$ and $\hat{Q}$ are perpendicular, denoted as $\hat{P} \perp \hat{Q}$, if and only if range $(\hat{P}) \perp \operatorname{range}(\hat{Q})$. For $\hat{P}, \hat{Q} \in \mathcal{L}$, we define $\hat{P} \leq \hat{Q}$ if $\operatorname{range}(\hat{P}) \subset \operatorname{range}(\hat{Q})$. We define $\hat{P}^{\perp}:=\hat{I}-\hat{P}$.

FACt. For $\hat{P}, \hat{Q} \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{P} \leq \hat{Q}$ iff $\hat{Q} \hat{P}=\hat{P}$.

FACT. For $\hat{P}, \hat{Q} \in \mathcal{L}, \hat{P} \leq \hat{Q}^{\perp}$ iff $\hat{P} \perp \hat{Q}$ iff $\hat{Q} \hat{P}=0$ iff $\hat{P} \hat{Q}=0$.

FACT. For $\hat{P}, \hat{Q} \in \mathcal{L}$, if $\hat{P} \perp \hat{Q}$, then $\hat{P}+\hat{Q} \in \mathcal{L}$.

FACT. The set of orthogonal projection operators $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ has the following properties:
(1) The zero projection $\hat{0}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow\{0\}$ is in $\mathcal{L}$;
(2) if $\hat{P} \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\hat{P}^{\perp}:=\hat{I}-\hat{P}$ is in $\mathcal{L}$;
(3) If a sequence of projectors $\hat{P}_{1}, \hat{P}_{2}, \cdots \in \mathcal{L}$ s.t. $\hat{P}_{i} \perp \hat{P}_{j}, \forall i \neq j$, then $\sum_{i} \hat{P}_{i}$ is in $\mathcal{L}$.

These properties are analogous to those of a $\sigma$-algebra.
2.3.3. Observables. A physical quantity $A$ relating to this system is called an observable, which is represented by a Hermitian unbounded linear operator $\hat{A}$ :
$\operatorname{Dom} \hat{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, where $\operatorname{Dom} \hat{A}$ is the domain of $\hat{A}$. Observables are closed and densely defined in $\mathcal{H}$ (the following definitions of $\operatorname{Dom} \hat{A}$, observables closed and densely defined are found in [19]).

Thus the set of observables is $\mathcal{U}=\{\hat{A}: \operatorname{Dom}(\hat{A}) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \mid \operatorname{Dom}(\hat{A})$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}, \hat{A}$ is linear, $\operatorname{graph}(\hat{A})$ is closed in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$, and $\operatorname{Dom}\left(\hat{A}^{\dagger}\right)=\{x \in$ $\left.\mathcal{H} \left\lvert\, \sup _{y \in \operatorname{Dom}(\hat{A}), y \neq 0} \frac{|(x, \hat{A} y)|}{\|y\|}<\infty\right.\right\}=\operatorname{Dom}(\hat{A})$, and $\left.(\hat{A} x, y)=(x, \hat{A} y) \forall x, y \in \operatorname{Dom}(\hat{A})\right\}$.

By spectral decomposition theorem [19], there is a spectral decomposition $F_{\hat{A}}$ : $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ s.t.

$$
\hat{A}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda d F_{\hat{A}}(\lambda) .
$$

Moreover, $F_{\hat{A}}$ has the following properties:
(1) $F_{\hat{A}}(\emptyset)=\hat{0}, F_{\hat{A}}(\mathbb{R})=\hat{I}$.
(2) if $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $U \cap V=\emptyset$, (i.e. $U \perp V$ ), then $F_{\hat{A}}(U) \perp F_{\hat{A}}(V)$.
(3) If $\left\{U_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of sets in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and $U_{n} \cap U_{m}=\emptyset, \forall n \neq m, U=$ $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_{n}$, then $F_{\hat{A}}(U)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\hat{A}}\left(U_{n}\right)$.

This shows that $F_{\hat{A}}$ is the quantum analog of the mapping $R \mapsto f^{-1}(R)$ when $f$ is a classical observable.

Bounded observables form a Jordan-Lie algebra (Landsman [23]) with the following two binary operations. If $\hat{A}, \hat{B}$ are bounded observables on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, then define $\hat{A} \circ \hat{B}=\frac{1}{2}(\hat{A} \hat{B}+\hat{B} \hat{A})$, which is analogous to $f g$ of classical observables $f$ and $g$; also define $\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\}=\frac{i}{\hbar}(\hat{A} \hat{B}-\hat{B} \hat{A})$, where $\hbar=1.055 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{Js}$ is the Planck's constant. $\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\}$ is analogous to $\{f, g\}$, the Poisson bracket of classical observables $f$ and $g$. The following properties hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{A} \circ \hat{B}=\hat{B} \circ \hat{A},\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\}=-\{\hat{B}, \hat{A}\} \\
& \hat{A} \circ\left(\beta_{1} \hat{B}_{1}+\beta_{2} \hat{B}_{2}\right)=\beta_{1} \hat{A} \circ \hat{B}_{1}+\beta_{2} \hat{A} \circ \hat{B}_{2} \\
& \left\{\hat{A}, \beta_{1} \hat{B}_{1}+\beta_{2} \hat{B}_{2}\right\}=\beta_{1}\left\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}_{1}\right\}+\beta_{2}\left\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Leibnitz rule: $\{\hat{A}, \hat{B} \circ \hat{C}\}=\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\} \circ \hat{C}+\hat{B} \circ\{\hat{A}, \hat{C}\}$

$$
\text { Jacobi identity: }\{\hat{A},\{\hat{B}, \hat{C}\}\}=\{\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\}, \hat{C}\}+\{\hat{B},\{\hat{A}, \hat{C}\}\}
$$

In the quantum case we have

$$
(\hat{A} \circ \hat{B}) \circ \hat{C}-\hat{A} \circ(\hat{B} \circ \hat{C})=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4}\{\{\hat{A}, \hat{C}\}, \hat{B}\}
$$

whereas in the classical case we have $(f g) h-f(g h)=0$.

Fact. If $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ are bounded observables, and $F_{\hat{A}}, F_{\hat{B}}$ are their spectral decompositions, then $F_{\hat{A}}(U) F_{\hat{B}}(U)=F_{\hat{B}}(U) F_{\hat{A}}(U), \forall U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, iff $\hat{A} \hat{B}=\hat{B} \hat{A}[\mathbf{4 0}]$. We usually use $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]:=\hat{A} \hat{B}-\hat{B} \hat{A}=0$ to represent that $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ commute.

This fact give us a hint about how to extend the notion of commuting bounded operators to the context of unbounded operators.

Definition. Observables $\hat{A}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{A}_{n}$ are commuting if $\forall R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
F_{\hat{A}_{i}}(R) F_{\hat{A}_{j}}(R)=F_{\hat{A}_{j}}(R) F_{\hat{A}_{i}}(R), \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n .
$$

Theorem. (Simultaneous Diagonalization theorem [19])
Suppose $\hat{A}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{A}_{N}$ are commuting observables. Then there exists a projection valued measure $F_{\left(\hat{A}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{A}_{N}\right)}: \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$
\hat{A}_{j}=\int_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{j} d F_{\left(\hat{A}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{A}_{N}\right)}(\lambda), \quad j=1, \cdots, N
$$

where $\lambda=\left(\begin{array}{c}\lambda_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{N}\end{array}\right)$.
Moreover, if $\hat{A}_{j}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda d F_{\hat{A}_{j}}(\lambda)$ then

$$
F_{\left(\hat{A}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{A}_{N}\right)}\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left(a_{N}, b_{N}\right]\right)=F_{\hat{A}_{1}}\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right]\right) \circ \cdots \circ F_{\hat{A}_{N}}\left(\left(a_{N}, b_{N}\right]\right)
$$

2.3.4. State. A state of a quantum system is given by a mapping $\mu: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow[0,1]$ s.t. $\mu(\hat{0})=0, \mu(\hat{I})=1$, and if $\left\{\hat{P}_{j}\right\}$ is a sequence of projection in $\mathcal{L}$, such that $\hat{P}_{j} \perp \hat{P}_{k}$, whenever $j \neq k$ then $\mu\left(\sum_{j} \hat{P}_{j}\right)=\sum_{j} \mu\left(\hat{P}_{j}\right)$. This concept is analogous to the classical state i.e. a probability measure.

Definition. A bounded operator $\hat{D}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is of trace class if, for any orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$, the series

$$
\sum_{i}\left|\left(e_{i}, \hat{D} e_{i}\right)\right|<\infty ;
$$

In this case the sum

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\hat{D})=\sum_{i}\left(e_{i}, \hat{D} e_{i}\right)
$$

exists for any orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, and is independent of the basis used; it is called the trace of $\hat{D}$. [40]

Definition. A bounded operator $\hat{D}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is non-negative if $(h, \hat{D} h) \geq 0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

Gleason's theorem [40] asserts that for every state $\mu$ there is a density operator $\hat{D}$, i.e. a Hermitian, non-negative, trace class operator with unit trace, such that

$$
\mu(\hat{P})=\operatorname{tr}(\hat{D} \hat{P}), \forall \hat{P} \in \mathcal{L}
$$

$\mu$ is identified with $\hat{D}$, so we usually write $\mu$ as $\mu_{\hat{D}}$. Moreover, $\hat{D}$ is analogous to the classical density function for an absolutely continuous state. The trace is analogous to integration with respect to Lebesgue measure $d^{n} \mathbf{p} d^{n} \mathbf{q}$.

A pure state is an extreme point of the convex set of all states. Gleason's Theorem also identifies all the pure states to be exactly those of the form $\hat{D}=f f^{\dagger}, \quad f \in$ $\mathcal{H}, \quad\|f\|=1$, where $f^{\dagger}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}: g \mapsto(f, g)$. Let us check that $\hat{D}=f f^{\dagger}$ is a density operator:
(1) $\hat{D} g=f f^{\dagger}(g)=f(f, g)$ is a linear function of $g$ with values in $\mathcal{H}$;
(2) $\|\hat{D} g\|=\|f\||(f, g)| \leq\|f\|\|f\|\|g\|=\|g\|$, so $\hat{D}$ is bounded;
(3) $(\hat{D} g, h)=(f(f, g), h)=\overline{(f, g)}(f, h)=(g, f)(f, h)=(g, f(f, h))$ $=(g, \hat{D} h)$, so $\hat{D}$ is Hermitian;
(4) because $(g, \hat{D} g)=(g, f(f, g))=(g, f)(f, g)=|(g, f)|^{2} \geq 0$, so $\hat{D}$ is nonnegative.
(5) $\operatorname{tr}(\hat{D})=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, \hat{D} e_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, f\right)\left(f, e_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left(e_{n}, f\right)\right|^{2}=\|f\|^{2}$ $=1$, where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is any orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$.

Remark: For the pure state $\hat{D}=f f^{\dagger}, \hat{D}$ is not to be identified with the unit vector in $f \in \mathcal{H}$. To see, if $e^{i \theta} \in S^{1}$, then $f e^{i \theta}\left(f e^{i \theta}\right)^{\dagger}=f e^{i \theta} e^{-i \theta} f^{\dagger}=f f^{\dagger}$. Thus $f e^{i \theta}$ and $f$ determine the same pure state. For this reason, pure states are often defined as rays in $\mathcal{H}$.
2.3.5. State Evolution. The state of an isolated system with a known Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}$ evolves according to the rule:

$$
\hat{D}(t)=U(t) \hat{D}(0) U(t)^{-1}
$$

where the unitary group $\{U(t)\}$ is the solution of the initial value problem for the differential equation(Schrodinger's equation)( Reference: converse of Stone's Theorem [19] for the existence of $U(t))$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d t} U(t)=\frac{1}{i \hbar} \hat{H} U(t) \\
U(0)=I
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is clear that $\hat{D}^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H}, \hat{D}(t)]=-\{\hat{H}, \hat{D}(t)\}$ which is called Liouville-von Neumann equation, and hence this evolution is analogous to classical density function evolution. If $\hat{D}(0)=f_{0} f_{0}^{\dagger}$, then $\hat{D}(t)=f_{t} f_{t}^{\dagger}$, where $f_{t}=U(t) f_{0}$, since $f_{t}^{\dagger} g=\left(f_{t}, g\right)=$ $\left(U(t) f_{0}, g\right)=\left(f_{0}, U(t)^{\dagger} g\right)=f_{0}^{\dagger} U(t)^{-1} g$ for all $g \in \mathcal{H}$.

By spectral decomposition theorem ([19] p.270), $\hat{H} f(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f(t)$, and $f=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f$. The Schrödinger's equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i \hbar f^{\prime}(t)=\hat{H} f(t) \\
& i \hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f^{\prime}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f(t) \\
& i \hbar \frac{d}{d t}\left[d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f(t)\right]-\lambda\left[d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f(t)\right]=0 \\
& \frac{d}{d t}\left[d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f(t)\right]+\frac{i \lambda}{\hbar}\left[d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f(t)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{d}{d t}\left[e^{\frac{i \lambda t}{\hbar}} d F_{\hat{H}} f(t)\right]=0 \\
& e^{\frac{i \lambda t}{\hbar}} d F_{\hat{H}} f(t)-d F_{\hat{H}} f(0)=0 \\
& d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f(t)=e^{-\frac{i \lambda t}{\hbar}} d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f(0) \\
& \Leftrightarrow f(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{i \lambda t}{\hbar}} d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda) f(0)=U(t)[f(0)],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{i \lambda t}{\hbar}} d F_{\hat{H}}(\lambda)$.

### 2.3.6. Predicting Measurement Outcomes.

Noiseless Measurement. Suppose the observable $\hat{A}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda d F_{\hat{A}}(\lambda)$ is to be measured and we wish to predict the distribution of the measured values of this observable when the system is in the state $\hat{D}$. If we suppose the measurement is noiseless then the probability that the measured values will be in the set $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is $\nu_{\hat{A}}(R)=\operatorname{tr}\left[F_{\hat{A}}(R) \hat{D}\right]$.

Suppose we wish to predict the outcome of simultaneous measurements of $n$ commuting observables $\hat{A}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{A}_{n}$; denote $\hat{\mathbf{A}}=\left(\hat{A}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{A}_{n}\right)$. Let $F_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}: \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be the associated spectral measure s.t. $\hat{A}_{j}=\int_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda_{j} d F_{\left(\hat{A}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{A}_{n}\right)}(\lambda), 1 \leq j \leq n$. The predicted joint distribution of measured values is

$$
\nu_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}(R)=\mu\left(F_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}(R)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}(R)\right)
$$

for all $R \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
For instance the predicted mean of the measured values of observable $\hat{A}_{j}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\hat{A}_{j}> & =\int_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda_{j} d \nu_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}(\lambda) \\
& =\int_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda_{j} \mu_{\hat{D}}\left(d F_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}(\lambda)\right) \\
& =\int_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda_{j} \operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} d F_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}(\lambda)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} \int_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \lambda_{j} d F_{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}(\lambda)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} \hat{A}_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Noisy Measurement. If the measurement apparatus has noise with mean zero and variance $\epsilon^{2}$ then by analogy with the classical expression we agree that the probability that the noisy measured values will be in the set $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$
\left(\nu_{\hat{A}} * \alpha_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)(R)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\chi_{R} * \alpha_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)(\lambda) \nu_{\hat{A}}(d \lambda)
$$

This prescription has been justified in [30]. Various equivalent expressions exist for this probability:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\chi_{R} * \alpha_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)(\lambda) \nu_{\hat{A}}(d \lambda) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{R}(y) \alpha_{\epsilon}(\lambda-y)^{2} d y \operatorname{tr}\left[F_{\hat{A}}(d \lambda) \hat{D}\right] \\
& =\int_{R} \operatorname{tr}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}(y-\lambda)^{2} F_{\hat{A}}(d \lambda) \hat{D}\right] d y \\
& =\int_{R} \operatorname{tr}\left[\alpha_{\epsilon}(y \hat{1}-\hat{A})^{2} \hat{D}\right] d y \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left[\int_{R} \alpha_{\epsilon}(y \hat{1}-\hat{A}) \hat{D} \alpha_{\epsilon}(y \hat{1}-\hat{A}) d y\right] \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left[\int_{R} \alpha_{\epsilon}(y \hat{1}-\hat{A})^{2} d y \hat{D}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we predict that the noisily measured values will be continuously distributed on the real $y$ line with the density function

$$
\nu_{\hat{A}, \epsilon}(y)=\operatorname{tr}\left[\alpha_{\epsilon}(y \hat{1}-\hat{A})^{2} \hat{D}\right] .
$$

However, this continuous probability distribution will tend to $\nu_{\hat{A}}$, which can have probability atoms, in the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
2.3.7. Updating the state after the measurement. In quantum mechanics one must be much more careful about the process of measurement and its theoretical treatment than was the case in classical mechanics. Measurements of atoms, and in particular electrons, could only be explained if one admits that the measurement process might perturb the system in ways which may be impossible to completely control, and this may necessitate a fundamental revision in the theoretical treatment of physical quantities (such as position and momentum). However the insistence of
most of the founders of quantum mechanics that the state of the system represents "all that can be known about the system" (i.e. "what really is") as opposed to "what an observer knows about the system at a particular level of physical theory and approximation" has lead to much murky philosophy (i.e the Copenhagen interpretation) and has helped give the entire subject a reputation of mystery and incomprehensibility.

Perhaps therefore it should not be surprising that in order to give an account of how to update the state after a measurement of an arbitrary observable $\hat{A}=$ $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda F_{\hat{A}}(d \lambda)$ one must also admit that all real measurements have some noise. The noiseless case represents an idealized limit which may fail to exist in some cases. In the following we will describe how to update the state after a canonical measurement [30] (M. Ozawa). A measurement is canonical if it can be modeled as a particularly simple type of interaction between the system and an apparatus pointer. This interaction is treated quantum mechanically. The Hilbert space of the apparatus pointer is $\mathcal{K}=L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. If $\alpha(q)$ is a square-integrable complex-valued function of $q \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$. The pointer position observable is $\hat{Q}$, defined by $(\hat{Q} \alpha)(q)=q \alpha(q)$. The pointer momentum observable is $\hat{P}$, defined by $(\hat{P} \alpha)(q)=-i \hbar \alpha^{\prime}(q)$. We assume the system (being measured) and the apparatus pointer interact for a time period of duration $\Delta t$. During this interaction we must treat the system and the apparatus as a composite system, with the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{H})$. Most importantly, we assume that during this interaction the evolution is governed by Schrödinger's equation with the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text {int }}=(\Delta t)^{-1} \hat{A} \otimes \hat{P}$. This interaction Hamiltonian is not easy to justify on physical grounds, as might be expected since the actual measurement interaction is between the system and a complex apparatus which contains the pointer as a rather small part. This Hamiltonian was chosen (by von Neumann [29]) because it yields an explicitly solvable evolution of the composite system, and it leads to results which are consistent with experimental observations. Other choices of $\mathcal{K}$ and other interaction Hamiltonians have been proposed in various cases, but the choices we have
listed above characterize canonical measurements. Canonical measurements seem to be adequate for the realm of atoms and molecules.

If the state of the system just prior to the beginning of the interaction between the system and the apparatus is $\mu(\hat{P})=\operatorname{tr}(\hat{D} \hat{P})$ for all $\hat{P} \in \mathcal{L}$, where $\hat{D}$ is a density operator, and the state of the apparatus pointer at this time is given by the density operator $\alpha_{\epsilon} \alpha_{\epsilon}^{\dagger}$ (where $\alpha_{\epsilon}$ is defined in section $\S 2.2 .6$ ), then the state of the composite system is $\hat{D} \otimes\left(\alpha_{\epsilon} \alpha_{\epsilon}^{\dagger}\right)$. If $\{U(t)\}$ is the unitary evolution group for the composite system under the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text {int }}$ then the state of the composite system at the end of the interaction is (c.f. [30] M. Ozawa)

$$
U(\Delta t)\left[\hat{D} \otimes\left(\alpha_{\epsilon} \alpha_{\epsilon}^{\dagger}\right)\right] U(\Delta t)^{\dagger}
$$

This state contains all the information about the correlations between the system and the pointer which exist at the end of the measurement interaction. If we ignore all information concerning the pointer we can obtain a state $\hat{D}^{\prime}$ of the system at the end of the measurement:

$$
\hat{D}^{\prime}=\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{K}}\left\{U(\Delta t)\left[\hat{D} \otimes\left(\alpha_{\epsilon} \alpha_{\epsilon}^{\dagger}\right)\right] U(\Delta t)^{\dagger}\right\}
$$

We may think of the partial trace $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{K}}$ as the operation of "averaging over the pointer degrees of freedom". If $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthonormal set in $\mathcal{K}$ then the partial trace of a trace-class operator $\hat{B}$ in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is an operator in $\mathcal{H}$, which when applied to $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ yields

$$
\left[\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{K}} \hat{B}\right] \psi=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\hat{1} \otimes e_{n}^{\dagger}\right) B\left(\psi \otimes e_{n}\right)
$$

It is shown in [30] (M. Ozawa) that

$$
\hat{D}^{\prime}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A}) \hat{D} \alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A})^{\dagger} d q .
$$

In the above the operator $\alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A})$ is computed using the spectral theorem:

$$
\alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}(q-\lambda) F_{\hat{A}}(d \lambda) .
$$

Clearly, in this case we have $\alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A})^{\dagger}=\alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A}) . \quad \hat{D}^{\prime}$ would be the state of the system after the (canonical) measurement interaction if we were to throw away all the measured data. (Remember, the state encodes the observer's knowledge of the system!) The fact that undergoing a measurement interaction causes a state change from $\hat{D}$ to $\hat{D}^{\prime}$ is a marked contrast with the classical case, and underlines the point that in quantum mechanics we cannot ignore the effect of the measurement interaction on the system. The transition $\hat{D} \rightarrow \hat{D}^{\prime}$ is often called the dynamical state change.

Now we come to the issue of observing the pointer. Since we have treated the pointer as a quantum system, must we hypothesize another apparatus to measure it? To make this unnecessary we assume that the pointer is heavy enough to be accurately treated as a classical system. (The nature and accuracy of this approximation is discussed in [35] and in Balian [9].) Hence we hypothesize a noiseless measurement (for the composite system) of the position of the pointer which incurs no perturbation of the state of the composite system. The observable in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ corresponding to the pointer position is $\hat{1} \otimes \hat{Q}$. If $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ then the probability that the outcome of this noiseless measurement will lie in $R$ is

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}}\left\{\left[\hat{1} \otimes F_{\hat{Q}}(R)\right]\left\{U(\Delta t)\left[\hat{D} \otimes\left(\alpha_{\epsilon} \alpha_{\epsilon}^{\dagger}\right)\right] U(\Delta t)^{\dagger}\right\}\right\} .
$$

Using the identities presented in [30] (M. Ozawa) this probability can be reexpressed as:

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{H}}\left[\int_{R} \alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A})^{2} d q \hat{D}\right]=\int_{R} \nu_{\hat{A}, \epsilon}(q) d q .
$$

This is exactly the probability that we gave in section $\S 2.3 .6$ that a noisy (variance $\epsilon^{2}$ ) measurement of $\hat{A}$ gives values in the set $R$ when the system is in the state $\hat{D}$ before the measurement. That formula we derived by analogy with the classical case, but we see now that it also arises from the formalism of canonical measurement where the state of the pointer before the measurement is $\alpha_{\epsilon} \alpha_{\epsilon}^{\dagger}$. This result bolsters our confidence in the canonical measurement formalism.

Now suppose that the measured values are observed to be distributed according to the density function $\tilde{\nu}(q)$ instead of according to the predicted density function $\nu_{\hat{A}, \epsilon}(q)$. How should the state of the system be updated to take this new information into account? By analogy with the classical case we note that the state $\hat{D}^{\prime}$ of the system after the measurement can be written

$$
\hat{D}^{\prime}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A}) \hat{D} \alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A})^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{tr}\left[\alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A}) \hat{D} \alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A})^{\dagger}\right]} \nu_{\hat{A}, \epsilon}(q) d q
$$

The quotient

$$
\hat{D}_{q}^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A}) \hat{D} \alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A})^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{tr}\left[\alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A}) \hat{D} \alpha_{\epsilon}(q \hat{1}-\hat{A})^{\dagger}\right]}
$$

we interpret as a conditional density operator, analogous to the fibre measure in the classical case. We have assumed that the process of observing the pointer does not perturb the composite system at all, hence we have every reason to believe that the conditional density operators $\hat{D}_{q}^{\prime}$ should be unaffected by the observation of the pointer. Hence, as in the classical case, we define the updated state to be:

$$
\tilde{\hat{D}}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{D}_{q}^{\prime} \tilde{\nu}(q) d q
$$

and $\tilde{\mu}(P)=\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{D} P)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{L}$. The transition $\hat{D}^{\prime} \rightarrow \tilde{\hat{D}}$ is often called state reduction.

In the very special case where $\hat{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} A_{i}$, where $A_{i}=F_{\hat{A}}\left(\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}\right)$, we can make sense of the noiseless limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$of these results. In this case $\hat{D}^{\prime}$ becomes

$$
\hat{D}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(q-\lambda_{i}\right) \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(q-\lambda_{j}\right) d q A_{i} \hat{D} A_{j} .
$$

When $\epsilon$ is small when compared to $\min _{i \neq j}\left|\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right|$ the off-diagonal $(i \neq j)$ coefficient $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(q-\lambda_{i}\right) \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(q-\lambda_{j}\right) d q$ is very small, and hence the noiseless limit of $\hat{D}^{\prime}$ is given by:

$$
\hat{D}_{\mathrm{NL}}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_{i} \hat{D} A_{i} .
$$

"NL" stands for Neumann-Lüders, since von Neumann (and later Lüders) derived this formula for the dynamical state change from various auxiliary postulates about the
measurement process, such as its repeatability. If $p_{i}=\operatorname{tr}\left[A_{i} \hat{D} A_{i}\right]$ then then noiseless limit of $\nu_{\hat{A}} * \alpha_{\epsilon}^{2}$ is $\nu_{\hat{A}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \delta_{\lambda_{i}}$. If $\tilde{\nu} \ll \nu_{\hat{A}}$ then we must have $\tilde{\nu}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{p}_{i} \delta_{\lambda_{i}}$, for some nonnegative numbers $\tilde{p}_{i}$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{p}_{i}=1$. Also if for some $i$ we have $p_{i}=0$ then we must also have $\tilde{p}_{i}=0$. Thus the noiseless limit of the reduced state $\tilde{\hat{D}}$ should be:

$$
\tilde{\hat{D}}_{\mathrm{NL}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{A_{i} \hat{D} A_{i}}{p_{i}} \tilde{p}_{i}
$$

In order to show that this is indeed the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$of our general formula for the reduced state we must hypothesize that the observed distribution $\tilde{\nu}(q) d q$ varies with $\epsilon$ (the noise level of the apparatus), and tends to $\tilde{\nu}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{p}_{i} \delta_{\lambda_{i}}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$. For example it is reasonable to suppose that

$$
\tilde{\nu}(q)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{p}_{i} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(q-\lambda_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

Then the reduced state becomes

$$
\tilde{\hat{D}}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i, j} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(q-\lambda_{i}\right) \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(q-\lambda_{j}\right) A_{i} \hat{D} A_{j}}{\sum_{i} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(q-\lambda_{i}\right)^{2} p_{i}} \sum_{k} \tilde{p}_{k} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(q-\lambda_{k}\right)^{2} d q,
$$

The quotient in the above integral is the conditional density operator $\hat{D}_{q}^{\prime}$, and it is not difficult to see that $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \hat{D}_{q}^{\prime}$ is a piecewise constant function of $q$ :

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \hat{D}_{q}^{\prime}=\frac{A_{i} \hat{D} A_{i}}{p_{i}}, \quad \text { if }\left|q-\lambda_{i}\right|<\left|q-\lambda_{j}\right| \text { for all } j \neq i
$$

Thus the desired convergence is now clear.
Suppose the state $\hat{D}$ before the measurement is arbitrary and for some $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have that $A_{i}$ is a projection onto a one-dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ spanned by the unit vector $\psi_{i}$, and $p_{i}=\left(\psi_{i}, \hat{D} \psi_{i}\right)>0$. If the system is a large ensemble of "identical" subsystems, and the apparatus is designed to select only those subsystems with the measured value $\lambda_{i}$, discarding the other subsystems, then we have $\tilde{p}_{i}=1$ for the selected subensemble. The result of the measurement is that the state of the selected subensemble has been changed to $\tilde{\hat{D}}_{\mathrm{NL}}=\psi_{i} \psi_{i}^{\dagger}$. Thus the (noiseless) measurement
process (of a family of commuting observables) can be used to prepare a system in a specific pure state.

If $\hat{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} F_{\hat{A}}\left(\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}\right)$, where the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ have an accumulation point then the noiseless limit is more delicate. Examples of this case, as well as that of observables with continuous spectrum, will only be briefly discussed at $\S 3.2 .9$.

## Chapter 3

## Examples of Quantum Systems

In this chapter, we apply the quantum formalism to several microscopic systems from simple to complicate: the spin system, the artificially spinless $H$ atom and $H_{2}^{+}$ ion, and the real $H_{2}$ and $H_{3}$ molecule systems.

### 3.1. Spin Systems

Comments on the Idea of Spin If a system is composed of multiple subsystems capable of relative motion and if those subsystems rotate around one another then the system gains angular momentum. Spin is the name for angular momentum possessed by a system without any attempt to attribute it to a rotary motion of subsystems about an axis. In the case of an electron there are no detectable subsystems, and yet there is a detectable angular momentum. If the subsystems were charged then their rotary motion would create a magnetic field. Spin angular momentum is also associated with a magnetic field created by the system, and experimental detection of spin is usually related to how this field affects overall motion of the system.
(Adapted from [46]) In 1921, Otto Stern and Walter Gerlach performed an experiment which showed the quantization of electron spin into two orientations. This made a major contribution to the development of the quantum theory of the atom.

The actual experiment was carried out with a beam of silver atoms from a hot oven because they could be readily detected using a photographic emulsion. The silver atoms allowed Stern and Gerlach to study the magnetic properties of a single
electron because these atoms have a single outer electron which moves in the Coulomb potential caused by the 47 protons of the nucleus shielded by the 46 inner electrons. Since this electron has zero orbital angular momentum (orbital quantum number $l=0$ ), one would expect there to be no interaction with an external magnetic field.

Stern and Gerlach directed the beam of silver atoms into a region of nonuniform magnetic field. A magnetic dipole moment will experience a force proportional to the field gradient since the two "poles" will be subject to different fields. Classically one would expect all possible orientations of the dipoles so that a continuous smear would be produced on the photographic plate, but they found that the field separated the beam into two distinct parts, indicating just two possible orientations of the magnetic moment of the electron.

But how does the electron obtain a magnetic moment if it has zero orbital angular momentum and therefore produces no "current loop" to produce a magnetic moment? In 1925 , Samuel A. Goudsmit and George E. Uhlenbeck postulated that the electron had an intrinsic angular momentum, independent of its orbital characteristics. In classical terms, a ball of charge could have a magnetic moment if it were spinning such that the charge at the edges produced an effective current loop. This kind of reasoning led to the use of "electron spin" to describe the intrinsic angular momentum.
3.1.1. Hilbert Space. The internal angular momentum of a particle is called its spin. For a system of $n$ spins i.e. $n$-particles with spin and with unknown positions and momenta, the Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2^{n}} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2} \stackrel{1}{\otimes} \cdots \stackrel{n-1}{\otimes} \mathbb{C}^{2}$.
3.1.2. Logic. Logic is $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\hat{P} \in \mathbb{C}^{2^{n} \times 2^{n}} \mid \hat{P}^{\dagger}=\hat{P}=\hat{P}^{2}\right\}$, where $\hat{P}^{\dagger}$ is the complex conjugate transpose of $\hat{P}$.
3.1.3. Observables. The observables are members of the set $\mathcal{U}=\left\{\hat{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{2^{n} \times 2^{n}} \mid \hat{A}^{\dagger}=\right.$ $\hat{A}\}$.

By spectral decomposition theorem [19], for $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{U}$, we have $\hat{A} X=X \Lambda$, where $X=\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{N}\right)$ is unitary, $\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{N}\right), N=2^{n}$, and $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ is the eigenvalue of $\hat{A}$ with corresponding eigenvector $\mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$. We define $\sigma(\hat{A})=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{N}\right\}$ to be the spectrum of $\hat{A}$, and $F_{\hat{A}}$ to be the atomic measure supported on $\sigma(\hat{A})$ defined as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\hat{A}}(R):=\sum_{\lambda \in R} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\}), \text { where } R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \\
& F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\}):=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N, \lambda_{i}=\lambda} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\dagger}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\hat{A}=\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(\hat{A})} \lambda F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\dagger}
$$

Recall that the Pauli matrices are $\hat{\sigma}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), \hat{\sigma}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -i \\ i & 0\end{array}\right), \hat{\sigma}_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$.
Definition. For a $n$-spin system consisting $n$ electrons, let $\hat{S}_{j}(i)$ be the $j$ th component of the spin of the $i$ th electron, where $j=1,2,3$,

$$
\hat{S}_{j}(i):=I \stackrel{1}{\otimes} \cdots \stackrel{i-1}{\otimes} \frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{j} \stackrel{i}{\otimes} \cdots \stackrel{n-1}{\otimes}_{\otimes} I
$$

$\hat{S}_{j}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{S}_{j}(i)$, which is the $j$ th component of the spin of the $n$-spin system. $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}:=\hat{S}_{1}^{2}+\hat{S}_{2}^{2}+\hat{S}_{3}^{2}$ is the total squared spin of the $n$ spin system.

Here we will work out the explicit formulae for the joint spectral decompositions of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ and $\hat{S}_{3}$ for the cases of $n=1,2,3$ respectively. See $[\mathbf{3 2}]$ for general $n$.

Example. For a single spin, $n=1$, the members of the Hilbert space are spin functions $s:\{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which we can identify with vectors $\binom{s(0)}{s(1)}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
(1) The $x$-component of the spin is $\hat{S}_{1}=\frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{1}$;
(2) The $y$-component of the spin is $\hat{S}_{2}=\frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{2}$;
(3) The $z$-component of the spin is $\hat{S}_{3}=\frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{3}$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} & =\hat{S}_{1}^{2}+\hat{S}_{2}^{2}+\hat{S}_{3}^{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\right)^{2}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\hat{S}_{1}$ has two eigenvalues $\frac{\hbar}{2}$ and $-\frac{\hbar}{2}$, and their corresponding eigenvectors are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{1}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{-1}$ respectively. Then the spectral decomposition of $\hat{S}_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}_{1} & =\frac{\hbar}{2} F_{\hat{S}_{1}}\left(\left\{\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)-\frac{\hbar}{2} F_{\hat{S}_{1}}\left(\left\{-\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right) \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{1}^{\dagger}-\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{-1}^{\dagger} \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)-\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\hat{S}_{2}$ has two eigenvalues $\frac{\hbar}{2}$ and $-\frac{\hbar}{2}$, and their corresponding eigenvectors are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{i}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{-i}$ respectively. Then the spectral decomposition of $\hat{S}_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}_{2} & =\frac{\hbar}{2} F_{\hat{S}_{2}}\left(\left\{\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)-\frac{\hbar}{2} F_{\hat{S}_{2}}\left(\left\{-\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right) \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{i}^{\dagger}-\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{-i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{1}{-i}^{\dagger} \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -i \\
i & 1
\end{array}\right)-\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & i \\
-i & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\hat{S}_{3}$ has two eigenvalues $\frac{\hbar}{2}$ and $-\frac{\hbar}{2}$, and their corresponding eigenvectors are $\binom{1}{0}$ and $\binom{0}{1}$ respectively. The spectral decomposition of $\hat{S}_{3}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}_{3} & =\frac{\hbar}{2} F_{\hat{S}_{3}}\left(\left\{\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)-\frac{\hbar}{2} F_{\hat{S}_{3}}\left(\left\{\frac{-\hbar}{2}\right\}\right) \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2}\binom{1}{0}\binom{1}{0}^{\dagger}-\frac{\hbar}{2}\binom{0}{1}\binom{0}{1}^{\dagger} \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{3}
$$

$\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ has only one eigenvalue $\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}$, there are two corresponding eigenvectors $\binom{1}{0}$ and $\binom{0}{1}$. Then the spectral decomposition of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} & =\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4} F_{\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}}\left(\left\{\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}\right\}\right) \\
& =\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}\left[\binom{1}{0}\binom{1}{0}^{\dagger}+\binom{0}{1}\binom{0}{1}^{\dagger}\right] \\
& =\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4} I
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that $\hat{S}_{3} \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}=\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \hat{S}_{3}$, so we have the joint spectral decomposition of $\hat{S}_{3}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$. For $X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} X=X \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}, \frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}\right) \\
& \hat{S}_{3} X=X \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{\hbar}{2},-\frac{\hbar}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the joint spectral decomposition of $\hat{S}_{3}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}, \frac{\hbar}{2}\right)\right\}\right) & =\binom{1}{0}\binom{1}{0}^{\dagger} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4},-\frac{\hbar}{2}\right)\right\}\right) & =\binom{0}{1}\binom{0}{1}^{\dagger} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. For $n=2$, the members of the Hilbert space are spin functions: $s$ : $\{0,1\}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which we can identify with vectors $\left(\begin{array}{l}s(0,0) \\ s(0,1) \\ s(1,0) \\ s(1,1)\end{array}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{4}$. This is because

$$
\binom{s_{1}(0)}{s_{1}(1)} \otimes\binom{s_{2}(0)}{s_{2}(1)}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
s_{1}(0) s_{2}(0) \\
s_{1}(0) s_{2}(1) \\
s_{1}(1) s_{2}(0) \\
s_{1}(1) s_{2}(1)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{2}\right)(0,0) \\
\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{2}\right)(0,1) \\
\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{2}\right)(1,0) \\
\left(s_{1} \otimes s_{2}\right)(1,1)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The $x$-component of the first spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{1}(1)=\frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{1} \otimes I=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The $x$-component of the second spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{1}(2)=I \otimes \frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{1}=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then the $x$-component of the 2 -spin system is

$$
\hat{S}_{1}=\hat{S}_{1}(1)+\hat{S}_{1}(2)=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\hat{S}_{1}^{2}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4}\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The $y$-component of the first spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{2}(1)=\frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{2} \otimes I=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\
i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & i & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The $y$-component of the second spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{2}(2)=I \otimes \frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{2}=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & 0 & i & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then the $y$-component of the 2 -spin system is

$$
\hat{S}_{2}=\hat{S}_{2}(1)+\hat{S}_{2}(2)=\frac{\hbar i}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{S}_{2}^{2} & =\frac{-\hbar^{2}}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $z$-component of the first spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{3}(1)=\frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{3} \otimes I=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The $z$-component of the second spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{3}(2)=I \otimes \frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{3}=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The $z$-component of the 2 -spin system is

$$
\hat{S}_{3}=\hat{S}_{3}(1)+\hat{S}_{3}(2)=\hbar\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\hat{S}_{3}^{2}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Finally

$$
\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}=\hat{S}_{1}^{2}+\hat{S}_{2}^{2}+\hat{S}_{3}^{2}=\hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check that $\hat{S}_{3} \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}=\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \hat{S}_{3}$. We can find $\tilde{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \tilde{X} & =\hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right) \hbar^{2} \\
& =\tilde{X} \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(0,2 \hbar^{2}, 2 \hbar^{2}, 2 \hbar^{2}\right) \\
\hat{S}_{3} \tilde{X} & =\hbar\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\hbar\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \hbar \\
& =\tilde{X} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(0, \hbar, 0,-\hbar)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ 's eigenvalues can be parameterized by $\hbar^{2} S(S+1)$, where $S \in\{0,1\}$, and $\hat{S}_{3}$ 's eigenvalues can be parameterized by $\hbar m_{S}$, where $\left|m_{S}\right| \leq S, m_{S} \in\{-1,0,1\}$.

The column vectors of $X$, i.e. joint normalized eigenvectors of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ and $\hat{S}_{3}$ are:
(1) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 0\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\binom{1}{0} \otimes\binom{0}{1}-\binom{0}{1} \otimes\binom{1}{0}\right], S=0$, $m_{S}=0 ;$
(2) $\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)=\binom{1}{0} \otimes\binom{1}{0}, S=1, m_{S}=1$;
(3) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\binom{1}{0} \otimes\binom{0}{1}+\binom{0}{1} \otimes\binom{1}{0}\right], S=1, m_{S}=0$;
(4) $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right)=\binom{0}{1} \otimes\binom{0}{1}, S=1, m_{S}=-1$.

We call the state $\mathbf{x x}^{T}$ represented by the first column vector $\mathbf{x}$ the singlet state, because there is only one allowed value of $m_{S}$ i.e. 0 . We call the states represented by the last three column vectors triplet states, because there are three allowed values of $m_{S}$ i.e. $-1,0,1$, with the same value of $S$, i.e. $S=1$.

Therefore, the joint spectral decomposition for $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ and $\hat{S}_{3}$ is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}(\{(0,0)\})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(2 \hbar^{2}, \hbar\right)\right\}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(2 \hbar^{2}, 0\right)\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(2 \hbar^{2},-\hbar\right)\right\}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Given the eigenvalue and corresponding eigenvector(s), we construct $\hat{S}_{3}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ by spectral decomposition theorem as follows.

$$
F_{\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}}(\{0\})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& F_{\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}}\left(\left\{2 \hbar^{2}\right\}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}+\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}=0\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+2 \hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =2 \hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& F_{\hat{S}_{3}}(\{0\})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& F_{\hat{S}_{3}}(\{\hbar\})=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& F_{\hat{S}_{3}}(\{-\hbar\})=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \hat{S}_{3}=\hbar\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-\hbar\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\hbar\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we are able to illustrate the relationship $F_{\left(\hat{A}_{1}, \hat{A}_{2}\right)}(R \times S)=F_{\hat{A}_{1}}(R) \circ F_{\hat{A}_{2}}(S)$ as follows.

$$
F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}(\{(0,0)\})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =F_{\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}}(\{0\}) F_{\hat{S}_{3}}(\{0\}), \\
& F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(2 \hbar^{2}, \hbar\right)\right\}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =F_{\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}}\left(\left\{2 \hbar^{2}\right\}\right) F_{\hat{S}_{3}}(\{\hbar\}), \\
& F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(2 \hbar^{2}, 0\right)\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =F_{\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}}\left(\left\{2 \hbar^{2}\right\}\right) F_{\hat{S}_{3}}(\{0\}), \\
& F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(2 \hbar^{2},-\hbar\right)\right\}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =F_{\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}}\left(\left\{2 \hbar^{2}\right\}\right) F_{\hat{S}_{3}}(\{-\hbar\}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. For $n=3$, through a similar process as in the previous example, we can construct
(1) the $x$-component of the first spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{1}(1)=\hat{\sigma}_{1} \otimes I \otimes I=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

(2) the $x$-component of the second spin is
(3) the $x$-component of the third spin is

Then the total $x$-component of the three spin system is

$$
\hat{S}_{1}=\hat{S}_{1}(1)+\hat{S}_{1}(2)+\hat{S}_{1}(3)=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array} 10 \begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(1) the $y$-component of the first spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{2}(1)=\hat{\sigma}_{2} \otimes I \otimes I=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & - & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

(2) the $y$-component of the second spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{2}(2)=I \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{2} \otimes I=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & -i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

(3) the $y$-component of the third spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{2}(3)=I \otimes I \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{2}=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & -i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & - \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

Then the total $y$-component of the three spin system is

$$
\hat{S}_{2}=\hat{S}_{2}(1)+\hat{S}_{2}(2)+\hat{S}_{2}(3)=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & -i & -i & 0 & -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & -i & 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & -i & 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\
0 & i & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\
i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -i & -i & 0 \\
0 & i & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & 0 & i & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & i & i & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(1) the $z$-component of the first spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{3}(1)=\hat{\sigma}_{3} \otimes I \otimes I=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

(2) the $z$-component of the second spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{3}(2)=I \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{3} \otimes I=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & - & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

(3) the $z$-component of the third spin is

$$
\hat{S}_{3}(3)=I \otimes I \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{3}=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & - & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

Then the total $z$-component of the three spin system is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{S}_{3}=\hat{S}_{3}(1)+\hat{S}_{3}(2)+\hat{S}_{3}(3)=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -3
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The eigenvalues of $\hat{S}_{3}$ are $3,1,1,1,-1,-1,-1,-3$ multiplied by $\frac{\hbar}{2}$ respectively. The eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ are $15,15,15,15$ (which correspond to $S=\frac{3}{2}$ ) $3,3,3,3$ (which correspond to $S=\frac{1}{2}$ ) multiplied by $\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4}$ respectively.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { We can find } \tilde{X}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0^{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { such that } \\
& \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \tilde{X}=\tilde{X} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(15,15,15,15,3,3,3,3) \times \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \\
& \hat{S}_{3} \tilde{X}=\tilde{X} \cdot \operatorname{diag}(3,-3,1,-1,1,1,-1,-1) \times \frac{\hbar}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as we show at Figure 1. The joint spectral decomposition for $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} \operatorname{and} \hat{S}_{3}$ is:

$$
F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(\frac{\hbar^{2} 15}{4}, \frac{3 \hbar}{2}\right)\right\}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

| $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}{ }^{\prime}$ s eigenvalue: $\hbar^{2} S(S+1)$ | $\hat{S}_{3}$ 's eigenvalue: $m_{S} \hbar$ | Unnormalized Simultaneous Eigenvector |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{15 \hbar^{2}}{4}, S=\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{3 \hbar}{2}, m_{S}=\frac{3}{2}$ | $(1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)^{T}$ |
| $\frac{15 \hbar^{2}}{4}, S=\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{-3 \hbar}{2}, m_{S}=-\frac{3}{2}$ | $(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1)^{T}$ |
| $\frac{15 \hbar^{2}}{4}, S=\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{\hbar}{2}, m_{S}=\frac{1}{2}$ | $(0,1,1,0,1,0,0,0)^{T}$ |
| $\frac{15 \hbar^{2}}{4}, S=\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{-\hbar}{2}, m_{S}=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(0,0,0,1,0,1,1,0)^{T}$ |
| $\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}, S=\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{\hbar}{2}, m_{S}=\frac{1}{2}$ | $(0,0,1,0,-1,0,0,0)^{T}$ |
| $\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}, S=\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{\hbar}{2}, m_{S}=\frac{1}{2}$ | $(0,1,0,0,-1,0,0,0)^{T}$ |
| $\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}, S=\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{-\hbar}{2}, m_{S}=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(0,0,0,1,0,0,-1,0)^{T}$ |
| $\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}, S=\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{-\hbar}{2}, m_{S}=-\frac{1}{2}$ | $(0,0,0,0,0,1,-1,0)^{T}$ |

Figure 1. Simultaneous Transposed Eigenvectors of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ and $\hat{S}_{3}$ of a 3 -spin electron system.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(\frac{\hbar^{2} 15}{4},-\frac{3 \hbar}{2}\right)\right\}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(\frac{\hbar^{2} 15}{4}, \frac{\hbar}{2}\right)\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(\frac{\hbar^{2} 15}{4},-\frac{\hbar}{2}\right)\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(\frac{\hbar^{2} 3}{4}, \frac{\hbar}{2}\right)\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(\frac{\hbar^{2} 3}{4},-\frac{\hbar}{2}\right)\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)^{\dagger}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

3.1.4. State. The set of states is $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\hat{D} \in \mathbb{C}^{2^{n} \times 2^{n}} \mid \hat{D}^{\dagger}=\hat{D}, y^{\dagger} D y \geq 0, \forall y \in\right.$ $\mathcal{H}, \operatorname{tr}(\hat{D})=1\}$. The condition $y^{\dagger} \hat{D} y \geq 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{H}$ can be rephrased for Hermitian matrices to say that all eigenvalues of $D$ are greater than or equal to 0 .

Pure states can be represented by $\hat{D}=y y^{\dagger}$, where $y \in \mathbb{C}^{2^{n}},\|y\|=1$.
3.1.5. State Evolution. $\hat{H}=0, \hat{U}(t)=I$ so $\hat{D}(t)=\hat{D}(0)$ unless the system is measured. This is because in most atoms and molecules (except in strong magnetic fields) spins do not interact except very weakly.

### 3.1.6. Predicting Measurement Outcomes.

Example. When $n=1$, assume that we intend to noiselessly measure the $z$ component of the spin for a single electron in the pure state $\hat{D}=y y^{\dagger},\|y\|=1$ s.t. $\hat{S}_{1} y=\frac{\hbar}{2} y$. We want to predict the distribution of the measured values of $\hat{S}_{3}$ on $\hat{D}$ as follows.

A solution for $y$ is $y=\binom{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}$, then $\hat{D}=y y^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right)$.
The probabilities that the outcome of the measurement of $\hat{S}_{3}=\frac{\hbar}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{3}$ are $\frac{\hbar}{2}$ and $-\frac{\hbar}{2}$ respectively are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu\left(\left\{\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{S}_{3}}\left(\left\{\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)\right. \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2}, \\
\nu\left(\left\{\frac{-\hbar}{2}\right\}\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{S}_{3}}\left(\left\{-\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)\right. \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. When $n=2$, consider the pure state $D=y y^{\dagger}$, where $y$ satisfies $\|y\|=1, \hat{S}_{3} y=0$, and $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} y=0$; a solution for $y$ is $\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0\end{array}\right)$, and

$$
D=y y^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The probabilities that the outcome of the measurement of $\hat{S}_{3}(1)$ are $\frac{\hbar}{2}$ and $-\frac{\hbar}{2}$ respectively are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu\left(\left\{\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{S}_{3}(1)}\left(\left\{\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}, \\
& \nu\left(\left\{\frac{-\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{S}_{3}(1)}\left(\left\{-\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)\right) \\
& \left.=\operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

3.1.7. Updating the state after the measurement. As before, we take the measurement as noiseless. We define the state $\tilde{D}_{\mathrm{NL}}$ after the measurement in the
discrete case to be $\tilde{D}_{\mathrm{NL}}=\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(\hat{A})} \hat{D}_{\lambda}^{\prime} \tilde{\nu}(\{\lambda\})$, where $\hat{D}_{\lambda}^{\prime}=\frac{F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\}) \hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})\right)}$ and $\tilde{\nu}$ is the observed distribution of the measured values. We need to check that it is a density operator.
(1) To check $\hat{D}_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is of unit trace.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\}) \hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})\right)}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})\right)} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})^{2}\right)}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})\right)} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})\right)}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})\right)} \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) To check $\hat{D}_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is Hermitian.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{D}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\dagger} & =\frac{F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})^{\dagger} \hat{D}^{\dagger} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})\right)} \\
& =\frac{F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\}) \hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})\right)} \\
& =\hat{D}_{\lambda}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) To check $\hat{D}_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is nonnegative.

$$
x^{\dagger} \hat{D}_{\lambda}^{\prime} x=\frac{x^{\dagger} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\}) \hat{D}\left(F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\}) x\right)}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})\right)}=\frac{\left(F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\}) x\right)^{\dagger} \hat{D}\left(F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\}) x\right)}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{A}}(\{\lambda\})\right)} \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^{2^{n}}
$$

Example. We continue the the previous example in §3.1.6. Suppose that $\hat{S}_{3}(1)$ is measured to be $\frac{\hbar}{2}$, we want to find the updated state right after the measurement, it is enough to find the conditional density operator as follows.

$$
D_{\frac{\hbar}{2}}^{\prime}=\frac{F_{\hat{S}_{3}(1)}\left(\left\{\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right) \hat{D} F_{\hat{S}_{3}(1)}\left(\left\{\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)}{\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{S}_{3}(1)}\left(\left\{\frac{\hbar}{2}\right\}\right)\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)}{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2. Spinless One Electron Systems: $H$ and $H_{2}^{+}$

Because that the ratio of the mass of the proton and that of the electron is

$$
\frac{\text { mass of proton }}{\text { mass of electron }}=\frac{1.6726 \cdot 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{9.1095 \cdot 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}}=1.836 \cdot 10^{3}
$$

we can treat the proton as classical object which is fixed in space. We will model electrons by the quantum formalism.
$H, H_{2}^{+}$are systems with only one electron, and the spin of the electron does not affect any of the other observables, so we take the electron as spinless.
3.2.1. Hilbert Space. Consider one spinless electron in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. This physical system is represented by the Hilbert space

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ is the set of equivalence classes of square integrable complex valued functions w.r.t. Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, where the equivalence relation is equality almost everywhere.
3.2.2. Logic. $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\hat{P} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \hat{P}^{\dagger}=\hat{P}=\hat{P}^{2}\right\}$.
3.2.3. Observables. By the spectral theorem [19], for each $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{U}, \hat{A}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda d F_{\hat{A}}(\lambda)$, where $F_{\hat{A}}: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ s.t.
(1) $F_{\hat{A}}(\emptyset)=\hat{0}, F_{\hat{A}}(\mathbb{R})=\hat{I}$;
(2) if $R_{1} \cap R_{2}=\emptyset$, then $F_{\hat{A}}\left(R_{1}\right) \perp F_{\hat{A}}\left(R_{2}\right)$;
(3) if $R=\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} R_{j}, R_{j} \cap R_{k}=\emptyset, j \neq k$, then $F_{\hat{A}}\left(\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} R_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F_{\hat{A}}\left(R_{j}\right)$.

The observables of the electron systems of $H$ and $H_{2}^{+}$are the same except for the energy observable, they are:
(1) position observables

$$
\left(\hat{x}_{j} f\right)(\mathbf{y})=y_{j} f(\mathbf{y})
$$

where $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, j=1,2,3, f \in \mathcal{H} ;$
(2) momentum observables

$$
\left[\hat{p}_{j}(f)\right](\mathbf{y})=-i \hbar \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{j}}(\mathbf{y})
$$

where $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, j=1,2,3, f \in \mathcal{H} ;$
(3) angular momentum observables

$$
\hat{\mathbf{J}}:=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\hat{J}_{1} \\
\hat{J}_{2} \\
\hat{J}_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{x}_{2} \hat{2}_{3}-\hat{x}_{3} \hat{p}_{2} \\
\hat{x}_{3} \hat{p}_{1}-\hat{x}_{1} \hat{p}_{3} \\
\hat{x}_{1} \hat{p}_{2}-\hat{x}_{2} \hat{p}_{1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{x}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\hat{x}_{1} \\ \hat{x}_{2} \\ \hat{x}_{3}\end{array}\right)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{p}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\hat{p}_{1} \\ \hat{p}_{2} \\ \hat{p}_{3}\end{array}\right)$. We also define $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}=\left(\hat{J}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\hat{J}_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\hat{J}_{3}\right)^{2}$.
(4) Energy observable of $H$ (fixed nucleus approximation) Assume that the coordinates of the nucleus is $(0,0,0)$,

$$
(\widehat{H} f)(\mathbf{y})=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \triangle f(\mathbf{y})-K Z e^{2} \frac{1}{\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} f(\mathbf{y})
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \triangle:=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{2}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{3}^{2}}\right)$ and $-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \triangle$ is the operator of kinetic energy of the electron, $-K Z e^{2} \frac{1}{\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is the operator of potential energy of the electron due to its attraction to the nucleus; $Z e$ is the charge on the nucleus; $K=\frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon_{0}}, \varepsilon_{0}=8.854 \cdots \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{m}$.

For the $H_{2}^{+}$system, we assume that the two protons are at the positions $(0,0, R / 2),(0,0,-R / 2)$, where $R$ is the distance between the two protons.

Energy observable of $H_{2}^{+}$(fixed nucleus approximation)

$$
(\widehat{H} f)(\mathbf{y})=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \triangle f(\mathbf{y})-\left[\frac{K e^{2}}{\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+\left(y_{3}-R / 2\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\frac{K e^{2}}{\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+\left(y_{3}+R / 2\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right] f(\mathbf{y})+\frac{K e^{2}}{R} f(\mathbf{y})
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{3},-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \triangle$ is the operator of kinetic energy of the electron; $-\left[\frac{K e^{2}}{\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+\left(y_{3}-R / 2\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}+\frac{K e^{2}}{\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+\left(y_{3}+R / 2\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right]$ is the operator of potential energy of the electron due to its attraction to both protons; $\frac{K e^{2}}{R}$ is due to protonproton repulsion.

Example. We want to check the spectral decomposition theorem for the position observables. Suppose: $\hat{A}=\hat{x}_{j}$, for some $j=1,2,3$. We claim that for all $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\left[F_{\hat{x}_{j}}(R) f\right](\mathbf{y})=\chi_{R}\left(y_{j}\right) f(\mathbf{y})$, where $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

To see that this is correct:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda d F_{\hat{A}}(\lambda)\right) f\right](\mathbf{y}) } & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda\left[\left(d F_{\hat{x}_{j}}\right)(\lambda) f\right](\mathbf{y}) \\
& =\lim \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{i}\left\{F_{\hat{x}_{j}}\left(\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right]\right) f\right\}(\mathbf{y}) \\
& =\lim \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} \chi_{\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right]}\left(y_{j}\right) f(\mathbf{y}) \\
& =\lim \lambda_{i\left(y_{j}\right)} f(\mathbf{y}) \\
& =y_{j} f(\mathbf{y}) \\
& =\left(\hat{x}_{j} f\right)(\mathbf{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ determines a partition of $\mathbb{R}$, s.t. $\lambda_{i}<\lambda_{i+1}$; lim is performed in the sense that $\max _{i}\left(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0^{+} ; i\left(y_{j}\right)$ is the index of the interval $\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right]$ which contains $y_{j}$.

Example. For another example of the spectral decomposition, consider the momentum observable $\hat{p}_{j}=-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}$. We need the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined as

$$
(\mathcal{F} f)(\mathbf{k})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} f(\mathbf{y}) e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} d^{3} \mathbf{y}
$$

where $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, d^{3} \mathbf{y}=d y_{1} d y_{2} d y_{3}$.
We are going to use the three facts about the Fourier transform [33] listed as below.
(1) $(\mathcal{F} f)(\mathbf{k})$ exists for almost every $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\mathcal{F} f \in \mathcal{H}$;
(2) $\mathcal{F}\left(\hat{p}_{j} f\right)(\mathbf{k})=\hbar k_{j}(\mathcal{F} f)(\mathbf{k})$;
(3) if $\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1} g\right)(\mathbf{y})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} g(\mathbf{k}) e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} d^{3} \mathbf{k}=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{g(\mathbf{y})\}$, then $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{F}$ is the identity mapping on $\mathcal{H}$.

Definition. The spectral decomposition of the momentum observable is

$$
\left[F_{\hat{p}_{j}}(R) f\right]:=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{\chi_{R}\left(\hbar k_{j}\right)(\mathcal{F} f)(\mathbf{k})\right\}
$$

where $R \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), f \in \mathcal{H}$. In different notation

$$
\mathcal{F}\left[F_{\hat{p}_{j}}(R) f\right](\mathbf{k}):=\chi_{R}\left(\hbar k_{j}\right)(\mathcal{F} f)(\mathbf{k})
$$

To check that the above definition is correct, we need to show that

$$
\hat{p}_{j}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda d F_{\hat{p}_{j}}(\lambda)
$$

On one hand, $\left(\hat{p}_{j} f\right)(\mathbf{y})=-i \hbar \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{y})}{\partial y_{j}}$ by the definition of momentum operator; on the other hand, by the property of Fourier transform on $\hat{p}_{j}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathcal{F}\left(\hat{p}_{j} f\right)\right](\mathbf{k}) } & =\hbar k_{j}(\mathcal{F} f)(\mathbf{k}) \\
& =\lim \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} \chi_{\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right]}\left(\hbar k_{j}\right)(\mathcal{F} f)(\mathbf{k}) \\
& =\lim \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} \mathcal{F}\left\{F_{\hat{p}_{j}}\left(\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right]\right) f\right\}(\mathbf{k}) \\
& =\mathcal{F}\left\{\lim \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_{i} F_{\hat{p}_{j}}\left(\left(\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_{i}\right]\right) f\right\}(\mathbf{k}) \\
& =\mathcal{F}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda d F_{\hat{p}_{j}}(\lambda) f\right](\mathbf{k}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ determines a partition of $\mathbb{R}$, s.t. $\lambda_{i}<\lambda_{i+1}$, and lim is performed in the sense that $\max _{i}\left(\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0^{+}$. So we have $\hat{p}_{j} f=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda d F_{\hat{p}_{j}}(\lambda) f$.
3.2.4. Joint Spectral Decomposition of $\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}, \hat{J}_{3}$ for H Atom. In spherical coordinates $(r, \phi, \theta)[6]$, for H atom,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{J}_{3} & =-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\
\hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2} & =-\hbar^{2}\left[\frac{1}{\sin \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\left(\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right)+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \phi} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}\right] \\
\hat{H} & =-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} r-\frac{1}{r^{2} \hbar} \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}\right)-\frac{K Z e^{2}}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{J}_{3} \hat{H}=\hat{H} \hat{J}_{3} \\
\hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2} \hat{H}=\hat{H} \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2} \\
\hat{J}_{3} \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}=\hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2} \hat{J}_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

By the simultaneous diagonalization theorem, there is $F_{\left(\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}, \hat{J}_{3}\right)}: \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that
(1) $\hat{H}=\int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} \lambda_{1} d F_{\left(\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}, \hat{J}_{3}\right)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$;
(2) $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}=\int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} \lambda_{2} d F_{\left(\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}, \hat{J}_{3}\right)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$;
(3) $J_{3}=\int_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} \lambda_{3} d F_{\left(\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}, \hat{J}_{3}\right)}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$;
where $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\lambda_{1} \\ \lambda_{2} \\ \lambda_{3}\end{array}\right)$.
According to [6] p.355, the following three quantum numbers index the the triples $\left(\begin{array}{c}\lambda_{1} \\ \lambda_{2} \\ \lambda_{3}\end{array}\right)$ on which $F_{\left(\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}, \hat{J}_{3}\right)}$ is concentrated:
principle quantum number $n=1,2,3, \cdots$;
angular momentum quantum number $l=0,1, \cdots, n-1$
magnetic quantum number $m_{l}=-l,-l+1, \cdots, 0, \cdots, l-1, l$

| $l$ | $m_{l}$ | $Y_{l, m_{l}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | $\left(\frac{1}{4 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| 1 | 0 | $\left(\frac{3}{4 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \theta$ |
| 1 | $\pm 1$ | $\mp\left(\frac{3}{8 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta e^{ \pm i \phi}$ |
| 2 | 0 | $\left(\frac{5}{16 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)$ |
| 2 | $\pm 1$ | $\mp\left(\frac{15}{8 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \theta \sin \theta e^{ \pm i \phi}$ |
| 2 | $\pm 2$ | $\left(\frac{15}{32 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin ^{2} \theta e^{ \pm 2 i \phi}$ |

Table 1. The Spherical Harmonics $Y_{l, m_{l}}(\theta, \phi)$

| orbital | $n$ | $l$ | $R_{n, l}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 s | 1 | 0 | $2\left(\frac{Z}{a_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \rho}$ |
| $2 s$ | 2 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{Z}{a_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}(2-\rho) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \rho}$ |
| $2 p$ | 2 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{6}}\left(\frac{Z}{a_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} p e^{-\frac{1}{2} \rho}$ |
| 3 s | 3 | 0 | $\frac{1}{9 \sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{Z}{a_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(6-6 \rho+\rho^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \rho}$ |
| $3 p$ | 3 | 1 | $\frac{1}{9 \sqrt{6}}\left(\frac{Z}{a_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}(4-\rho) \rho e^{-\frac{1}{2} \rho}$ |
| $3 d$ | 3 | 2 | $\frac{1}{30 \sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{Z}{a_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \rho^{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \rho}$ |

Table 2. Hydrogenic Radial Wavefunctions

The energy eigenvalue is related to $n$ by

$$
E_{n}=\frac{-Z^{2} e^{2}}{8 n^{2} \pi \epsilon_{0} a}
$$

where $a=\frac{4 \pi \epsilon_{0} \hbar^{2}}{m e^{2}}$ is the Born radius; $Z e$ is the charge on nucleus.
The eigenvalue of the squared orbital angular momentum operator $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^{2}$ is $l(l+1) \hbar^{2}$ and the eigenvalue of $\hat{J}_{3}$ is $m_{l} \hbar$.

The wavefunctions are products of radial and angular components:

$$
\psi_{n, l, m_{l}}=R_{n, l}(r) Y_{l, m_{l}}(\theta, \phi)
$$

Assume that $\left\|\psi_{n, l, m_{l}}\right\|=1$; the spectral measure is

$$
F_{\left(H, J^{2}, J_{3}\right)}\left(\left\{\left(E_{n}, l(l+1) \hbar^{2}, m_{l} \hbar\right)\right\}\right)=\psi_{n, l, m_{l}} \psi_{n, l, m_{l}}^{\dagger} .
$$

The angular wavefunctions $Y$ are the spherical harmonics (see table 1) and the radial wavefunctions $R$ are the associated Laguerre functions (see table 2).
3.2.5. Joint Spectral Decomposition of $\hat{H}, \hat{J}_{3}$ for $H_{2}^{+}$Ion. We define the prolate spherical coordinates of the electron (see [1], p.752) $(\phi, \lambda, \mu)$ as follows. Suppose that coordinates of the protons of $H_{2}^{+}$are $\left(0,0, \frac{R}{2}\right)$ and $\left(0,0,-\frac{R}{2}\right)$ respectively, and those of the electron are $(x, y, z)$. Let $\phi$ be the angle between the part of the $x z$ plane where $x>0$ and the plane determined by the electron and the two protons of $H_{2}^{+}$.

Define $r_{1}:=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+\left(z+\frac{R}{2}\right)^{2}}$, i.e. the distance between the electron and the proton at $\left(0,0,-\frac{R}{2}\right)$; define $r_{2}:=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+\left(z-\frac{R}{2}\right)^{2}}$, i.e. the distance between the electron and the proton at $\left(0,0, \frac{R}{2}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda:=\frac{r_{1}+r_{2}}{R}=\frac{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+\left(z+\frac{R}{2}\right)^{2}}+\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+\left(z-\frac{R}{2}\right)^{2}}}{R}, \\
& \mu:=\frac{r_{1}-r_{2}}{R}=\frac{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+\left(z+\frac{R}{2}\right)^{2}}-\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+\left(z-\frac{R}{2}\right)^{2}}}{R},
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\lambda \geq 1$ and $-1 \leq \mu \leq 1$.
It can be shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{R}{2} \sqrt{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)} \cos \phi \\
& y=\frac{R}{2} \sqrt{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)} \sin \phi \\
& z=\frac{R}{2} \lambda \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}= & -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \frac{4}{R^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right)}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\left[\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[\left(1-\mu^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}-1}+\frac{1}{1-\mu^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right]\right\}+\frac{K e^{2}}{R}\left\{-\frac{4 \lambda}{\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}}+1\right\}, \\
\hat{J}_{3}= & -i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $\hat{H} \hat{J}_{3}=\hat{J}_{3} \hat{H}$. Thus we have the joint spectral decomposition of $\left(\hat{H}, \hat{J}_{3}\right)$ in the bound state sector, which is supported on the set $\{(E(n, l, m ; R), m \hbar) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}|n, l, m \in \mathbb{Z}, n>l \geq|m|\} . E(n, l, m ; R)$ are well-studied and tabulated functions, see Bates et.al.[10]. For each $(n, l, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}$, s.t. $n>l \geq|m|$, there are functions $\psi(n, l, m, R ; \lambda, \mu, \phi) \in \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ of the form $\psi=\Lambda(n, l, m, R ; \lambda) M(n, l, m, R ; \mu) e^{i m \phi}$, s.t. $\|\psi\|^{2}=1$ and where the functions $\Lambda$ and $M$ are also well-studied and tabulated. We have

$$
F_{\left(\hat{H}, \hat{J}_{3}\right)}(\{(E, m \hbar)\})=\sum_{n>l \geq|m|, E(n, l, m ; R)=E} \psi(n, l, m ; R) \psi(n, l, m ; R)^{\dagger}
$$

3.2.6. State. (The theory is the same as that in section 2.3.4)
3.2.7. State Evolution. (The theory is the same as that in section 2.3.5.)

### 3.2.8. Predicting Measurement Outcomes.

Example. Suppose the system is in the pure state $\hat{D}=f f^{\dagger},\|f\|=1$ and we noiselessly measure position $\hat{x}_{j}, j=1,2,3$. Then the predicted probability that the measured values will be in the interval $(a, b]$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{\hat{x}_{j}}((a, b]) & =\operatorname{prob}\left(\hat{x}_{j} \in(a, b] \mid D\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{x}_{j}}((a, b])\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, \hat{D} F_{\hat{x}_{j}}((a, b]) e_{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, f\left(f, F_{\hat{x}_{j}}((a, b]) e_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, f\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \overline{f(\mathbf{y})} \chi_{(a, b]}\left(y_{j}\right) e_{n}(\mathbf{y}) d^{3} \mathbf{y} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, f\right) \int_{y_{j} \in(a, b]} \overline{f(\mathbf{y})} e_{n}(\mathbf{y}) d^{3} \mathbf{y} \\
& =(f, f) \int_{y_{j} \in(a, b]} \overline{f(\mathbf{y})} f(\mathbf{y}) d^{3} \mathbf{y}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, e_{1}\right) \int_{y_{j} \in(a, b]} \overline{f(\mathbf{y})} e_{n}(\mathbf{y}) d^{3} \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we set $e_{1}=f$.

$$
=\int_{y_{j} \in(a, b]} \overline{f(\mathbf{y})} f(\mathbf{y}) d^{3} \mathbf{y}
$$

where $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is any orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $e_{1}=f$.
Using similar technique as above, we find the joint probability of simultaneous measurement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{prob}\left(\hat{x}_{1} \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right], \hat{x}_{2} \in\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right], \hat{x}_{3} \in\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right] \mid D\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left[\hat{D} F_{\left(\hat{x}_{1}, \hat{x}_{2}, \hat{x}_{3}\right)}\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right] \times\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right]\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{y_{1} \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right]} \int_{y_{2} \in\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right]} \int_{y_{3} \in\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right]}|f(\mathbf{y})|^{2} d y_{3} d y_{2} d y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This expresses the Born interpretation of Schrödinger's wave function: If the normalized wavefunction of a particle is $\psi$, then the probability of finding the particle in an infinitesimal volume $d \tau=d x d y d z$ at the point $\mathbf{r}$ is $|\psi(\mathbf{r})|^{2} d \tau$.

Example. Suppose the system is in the pure state $\hat{D}=f f^{\dagger}$ and we noiselessly measure $\hat{p}_{j}, j=1,2,3$. Then the predicted probability that the measured values will be in the interval $(a, b]$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{\hat{p}_{j}}((a, b]) & =\operatorname{prob}\left(\hat{p}_{j} \in(a, b] \mid \hat{D}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{p}_{j}}((a, b])\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, \hat{D} F_{\hat{p}_{j}}((a, b,]) e_{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, f\left(f, F_{\hat{p}_{j}}((a, b]) e_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, f\right)\left(f, F_{\hat{p}_{j}}((a, b]) e_{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{n}, f\right) \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}}\left(\mathcal{F} f, \mathcal{F}\left\{F_{\hat{p}_{j}}((a, b]) e_{n}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(f, f) \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \overline{(\mathcal{F} f)(\mathbf{k})} \chi_{(a, b]}\left(\hbar k_{j}\right)(\mathcal{F} f)(\mathbf{k}) d^{3} \mathbf{k} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|(\mathcal{F} f)(\mathbf{k})|^{2} \chi_{(a, b]}\left(\hbar k_{j}\right) d^{3} \mathbf{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $e_{1}=f$.
Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{prob} & \left(\hat{p}_{1} \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right], \hat{p}_{2} \in\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right], \hat{p}_{3} \in\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right] \mid \hat{D}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int_{\hbar k_{1} \in\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right]} \int_{\hbar k_{2} \in\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right]} \int_{\hbar k_{3} \in\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right]}|\mathcal{F} f(\mathbf{k})|^{2} d k_{3} d k_{2} d k_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}}|\mathcal{F} f(\mathbf{k})|^{2} d k_{3} d k_{2} d k_{1}$ can be interpreted as the probability that the measured value of the momentum $\mathbf{P}$ will be such that $\frac{\mathbf{P}}{\hbar}$ will be in a small region around $\mathbf{k}$ of size $d k_{3} d k_{2} d k_{1}$.

Example. Consider a hydrogen atom whose electron is in a pure state $D=\psi \psi^{\dagger}$ where $\psi(r, \phi, \theta)=c e^{-a r^{2}}, c, a>0,\|\psi\|=1$. Suppose we noiselessly measure the total energy observable $\hat{H}$. What is the predicted distribution of the outcomes of this measurement?

The spectral measure in the bound state sector for $\hat{H}$ is as follows. for $n=1,2, \ldots$

$$
F_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{n}\right\}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m_{l}=-l}^{l} \psi_{n, l, m_{l}} \psi_{n, l, m_{l}}^{\dagger} .
$$

Let $\nu_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{n}\right\}\right)$ denote the predicted probability that the energy measurement yields the value $E_{n}, n \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{n}\right\}\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left(\hat{D} F_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{n}\right\}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{j}, \hat{D} F_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{n}\right\}\right) e_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{j}, \psi\right)\left(\psi, \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m_{l}=-l}^{l} \psi_{n, l, m_{l}} \psi_{n, l, m_{l}}^{\dagger} e_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{j}, \psi\right) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m_{l}=-l}^{l}\left(\psi, \psi_{n, l, m_{l}}\right)\left(\psi_{n, l, m_{l}}, e_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\psi$ depends only on $r$, and the spherical harmonics $Y_{l, m_{l}}(\theta, \phi)$ are orthogonal, and $Y_{0,0}(\theta, \phi)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \pi}}$, we have that $\left(\psi, \psi_{n, l, m_{l}}\right)=0$ unless $l=0$ and $m_{l}=0$. The orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ can be chosen arbitrarily, so let $e_{1}=\psi$, so that $\left(e_{j}, \psi\right)=0$ for all $j \geq 2$. Thus

$$
\nu_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{n}\right\}\right)=\left|\left(\psi, \psi_{n, 0,0}\right)\right|^{2}, \quad n \geq 1
$$

3.2.9. Updating the state after the measurement. The theory behind this discussion is in section $\S 2.2 .7$. Here we will illustrate that theory in the simplest infinite dimensional case.

Example. Here we resume the study of an example from the previous section, namely that of an energy measurement of the electron of a hydrogen atom in the state $D=\psi \psi^{\dagger}$ prior to the measurement. Suppose as a result of the measurement the energy is found to be $E_{1}$ with probability 1, i.e. $\tilde{\nu}=\delta_{E_{1}}$. What is the updated state of the electron?

Since the energy operator $\hat{H}$ has an infinite discrete spectrum $\left\{E_{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ accumulating at 0 and a continuous spectrum $[0, \infty)$, we must take the noiseless limit of our formulae for the updated state after a noisy measurement. Let $\epsilon^{2}$ be the variance of the noise and $\delta_{E_{1}} * \alpha_{\epsilon}^{2}$ the observed distribution of noisily measured values. The conditional density operator at energy $E$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{E}^{\prime} & =\frac{\alpha_{\epsilon}(E \hat{1}-\hat{H}) \psi \psi^{\dagger} \alpha_{\epsilon}(E \hat{1}-\hat{H})^{\dagger}}{\operatorname{tr}\left[\alpha_{\epsilon}(E \hat{1}-\hat{H}) \psi \psi^{\dagger} \alpha_{\epsilon}(E \hat{1}-\hat{H})^{\dagger}\right]} \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{\epsilon}(E \hat{1}-\hat{H}) \psi\left[\alpha_{\epsilon}(E \hat{1}-\hat{H}) \psi\right]^{\dagger}}{\left\|\alpha_{\epsilon}(E \hat{1}-\hat{H}) \psi\right\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above we have used the identity $\operatorname{tr} \phi \phi^{\dagger}=\|\phi\|^{2}$. The vector $\alpha_{\epsilon}(E \hat{1}-\hat{H}) \psi$ is computed as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{\epsilon}(E \hat{1}-\hat{H}) \psi & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{n}\right) F_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{n}\right\}\right) \psi+\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E^{\prime}\right) F_{\hat{H}}\left(d E^{\prime}\right) \psi \\
& =\alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{1}\right) \psi_{1,0,0}\left(\psi_{1,0,0}, \psi\right)+\psi_{E, \epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\psi_{E, \epsilon}=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{n}\right) \psi_{n, 0,0}\left(\psi_{n, 0,0}, \psi\right)+\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E^{\prime}\right) F_{\hat{H}}\left(d E^{\prime}\right) \psi
$$

Its norm can be computed as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\alpha_{\epsilon}(E \hat{1}-\hat{H}) \psi\right\|^{2} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|F_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{n}\right\}\right) \psi\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left\|F_{\hat{H}}\left(d E^{\prime}\right) \psi\right\|^{2} \\
& =\alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{1}\right)^{2}\left|\left(\psi_{1,0,0}, \psi\right)\right|^{2}+\left\|\psi_{E, \epsilon}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The updated state after the noisy measurement is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{D}= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D_{E}^{\prime} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{1}\right)^{2} d E \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{1}\right)^{2}\left|\left(\psi_{1,0,0}, \psi\right)\right|^{2} \psi_{1,0,0} \psi_{1,0,0}^{\dagger}}{\alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{1}\right)^{2}\left|\left(\psi_{1,0,0}, \psi\right)\right|^{2}+\left\|\psi_{E, \epsilon}\right\|^{2}} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{1}\right)^{2} d E \\
& +\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{1}\right)\left(\psi_{1,0,0}, \psi\right)\left[\psi_{1,0,0} \psi_{E, \epsilon}^{\dagger}+\psi_{E, \epsilon} \psi_{1,0,0}^{\dagger}\right]+\psi_{E, \epsilon} \psi_{E, \epsilon}^{\dagger}}{\alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{1}\right)^{2}\left|\left(\psi_{1,0,0}, \psi\right)\right|^{2}+\left\|\psi_{E, \epsilon}\right\|^{2}} \alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{1}\right)^{2} d E .
\end{aligned}
$$

Both of these integrals should be split over the intervals $\left(-\infty, \frac{1}{2}\left(E_{1}+E_{2}\right)\right]$ and $\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.E_{2}\right), \infty\right)$. If $E \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(E_{1}+E_{2}\right)$ then $\left\|\psi_{E, \epsilon}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$. If $E>\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{1}+E_{2}\right)$ then $\left\|\psi_{E, \epsilon}\right\|$ remains bounded and $\alpha_{\epsilon}\left(E-E_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$. Thus the noiseless limit of $\tilde{D}$ is $\psi_{1,0,0} \psi_{1,0,0}^{\dagger}$. This result agrees with the Neumann-Lüder's formulae derived in section 2.2.7:

$$
\tilde{D}_{N L}=\frac{F_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{1}\right\}\right) D F_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{1}\right\}\right)}{\operatorname{tr}\left(D F_{\hat{H}}\left(\left\{E_{1}\right\}\right)\right)}=\frac{\psi_{1,0,0} \psi_{1,0,0}^{\dagger} \psi \psi^{\dagger} \psi_{1,0,0} \psi_{1,0,0}^{\dagger}}{\left|\left(\psi, \psi_{1,0,0}\right)\right|^{2}}=\psi_{1,0,0} \psi_{1,0,0}^{\dagger} .
$$

Thus measurement of the total electronic energy followed by a selection of the subsystems which yield the answer $E_{1}$ is an effective way of preparing an ensemble of hydrogen atoms whose electrons are in the pure state $\psi_{1,0,0} \psi_{1,0,0}^{\dagger}$, i.e. the "ground state".

### 3.3. Two Electron System: $H_{2}$

$H_{2}$ contains 2 electrons. The spins of these electrons will affect their spatial distribution, so we need to consider the spins of the system.
3.3.1. Hilbert Space. Let $\mathcal{H}_{e}:=\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$ be the Hilbert space of a single electron with spin. Then the Hilbert space of two electron system of $H_{2}$ is represented by

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e}
$$

Elements $f \in \mathcal{H}_{e}$ are (equivalence classes of) mappings $f: \mathbb{R}^{3} \times\{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{\sigma=0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|f(\mathbf{y}, \sigma)|^{2} d^{3} \mathbf{y}<\infty$.

Elements $f \in \mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e}$ are (equivalence classes of) mappings $f: \mathbb{R}^{3} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times\{0,1\}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sum_{\sigma_{1}=0}^{1} \sum_{\sigma_{2}=0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)\right|^{2} d^{3} \mathbf{y}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{y}_{2}<\infty$ and $f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=-f\left(\mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}\right)$.
3.3.2. Logic. $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\hat{P} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \hat{P}^{\dagger}=\hat{P}=\hat{P}^{2}\right\}$.
3.3.3. Observables. The observables of the $H_{2}$ system include:

## (1) position observables

$$
\left[\hat{x}_{j}(i) f\right]\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=y_{j}^{i} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)
$$

where for $i=1,2, \mathbf{y}_{i}=\left(y_{1}^{i}, y_{2}^{i}, y_{3}^{i}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the position of the $i$ th electron; $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
(2) momentum observables

$$
\left[\hat{p}_{j}(i) f\right]\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=-i \hbar \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{j}^{i}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)
$$

## (3) angular momentum observables

$$
\hat{\mathbf{J}}(i):=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{J}_{1}(i) \\
\hat{J}_{2}(i) \\
\hat{J}_{3}(i)
\end{array}\right):=\hat{\mathbf{x}}(i) \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}(i)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\hat{x}_{2}(i) \hat{p}_{3}(i)-\hat{x}_{3}(i) \hat{p}_{2}(i) \\
\hat{x}_{3}(i) \hat{p}_{1}(i)-\hat{x}_{1}(i) \hat{p}_{3}(i) \\
\hat{x}_{1}(i) \hat{p}_{2}(i)-\hat{x}_{2}(i) \hat{p}_{1}(i)
\end{array}\right),
$$

where for $i=1,2, \hat{\mathbf{x}}(i):=\left(\hat{x}_{1}(i), \hat{x}_{2}(i), \hat{x}_{3}(i)\right)^{T}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}(i):=\left(\hat{p}_{1}(i), \hat{p}_{2}(i), \hat{p}_{3}(i)\right)^{T}$.

$$
\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{j}:=\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{j}(1)+\mathbf{J}_{j}(2), j=1,2,3 .
$$

(4) Spin observables We represent $f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ in vector form as $\left(\begin{array}{l}f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 0,0\right) \\ f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 0,1\right) \\ f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 1,1,0\right) \\ f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 1,1\right)\end{array}\right)$, i.e. a $\mathbb{C}^{4}$-valued function of $\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}\right) \in$
operators $\hat{S}_{1}, \hat{S}_{2}, \hat{S}_{3}, \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ can be written as $4 \times 4$ matren
example of $\S 3.1 .3$. For example $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}=\hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\end{array}\right)$. Thus $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f$ in vector form is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 0,0\right) \\
\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 0,1\right) \\
\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 1,0\right) \\
\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 1,1\right)
\end{array}\right)=\hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 0,0\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 0,0,1\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 1,1,0\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 1,1\right)
\end{array}\right)=\hbar^{2 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 0,0\right)}\left(\begin{array}{c}
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 0,01\right)+f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 1,0\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 0,1\right)+f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 1,0\right) \\
2 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, 1,1\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

(5) Energy observable (fixed nucleus approximation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\widehat{H} f)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)= & -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left(\triangle_{1}+\triangle_{2}\right) f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{y}_{1}-\mathbf{y}_{2}\right\|} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \\
& +K e^{2} \frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{1}-\mathbf{R}_{2}\right\|} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \\
& -K e^{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{y}_{j}\right\|} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{R}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 0 \\ R / 2\end{array}\right), \mathbf{R}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 0 \\ -R / 2\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left(\triangle_{1}+\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \triangle_{2}\right)$ is the kinetic energy operator; for $i=1,2$ representing the 1 st and the 2 nd electron, $\triangle_{i}:=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(y_{1}^{i}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(y_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(y_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)$ is the Laplacian for the $i$ th electron's position; $K e^{2} \frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{1}-\mathbf{R}_{2}\right\|}$ is due to proton-proton repulsion; $\frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{y}_{1}-\mathbf{y}_{2}\right\|}$ is due to electronelectron repulsion; $-K e^{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{y}_{j}\right\|}$ is the potential energy operator of the electrons due to their attraction to protons.

FACT. For $H_{2}$ system,

$$
\left[\widehat{H}, \hat{J}_{3}\right]=0,\left[\hat{J}_{3}, \hat{S}_{3}\right]=0,\left[\hat{J}_{3}, \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}\right]=0
$$

3.3.4. State. (The theory is the same as that in section 2.3.4.)

In numerical approximation of the electronic ground state, fix $R>0$, so that $\hat{H}$ is a fixed operator. We want to find the smallest eigenvalue $E$ of $\hat{H}$.

$$
E=\min _{\psi \in \mathcal{H}, \psi \neq 0} \frac{(\psi, \hat{H} \psi)}{(\psi, \psi)}
$$

The minimum is attained at some eigenfunction $\psi$ associated with $E$.
We use the idea of ansatz to do the approximation. An ansatz for $\psi$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is a subset $S \subset \mathcal{H}$ where elements of $S$ can be more explicitly described than the general element in $\mathcal{H}$.

Given an ansatz $S \subset \mathcal{H}$, the approximate eigenvalue of the ground state is

$$
E_{S}=\min _{\psi \in S, \psi \neq 0} \frac{(\psi, \hat{H} \psi)}{(\psi, \psi)}
$$

The approximate eigenfunction $\psi_{S}$ is an element of $S$ when the minimum is attained. If $S$ is a "big enough" subset of $\mathcal{H}$, then $E_{S} \approx E, \psi_{S} \approx \psi$. Computationally $S$ should be parameterized by a finite list of parameters, so that $S$ is a finite dimensional manifold in the infinite dimensional space $\mathcal{H}$.

For instance, we define the generalized valence bond ansatz as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathrm{GVB}} & =\left\{\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e} \mid \psi=\mathcal{A}\left[\varphi_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \varphi_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \Sigma\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \text { where } \left.\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \in V, \Sigma \in \mathbb{C}^{4}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right) ; \varphi_{1}$ is the spatial orbital for the first electron; $\varphi_{2}$ is the spatial orbital for the second electron space; $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in\{0,1\}$; $\Sigma$ can be expanded in joint eigenfunction of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}, \hat{S}_{3}$ and represents the spin state as a resonance of the four possible joint eigenstates.

Another example of an ansatz is the Hartree-Fock ansatz, denoted $S_{\mathrm{HF}}$, where $\psi$ can be explicitly represented as

$$
\psi\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \sigma, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \sigma\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \alpha(\sigma) \\
\varphi_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \beta(\sigma)
\end{array} \varphi_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \alpha(\sigma), \varphi_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \beta(\sigma), ~\right) ~
$$

where $\alpha(\sigma)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}1, & \text { if } \sigma=0 \\ 0, & \text { if } \sigma=1\end{array}, \beta(\sigma)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0, & \text { if } \sigma=0 \\ 1, & \text { if } \sigma=1\end{array}\right.\right.$.
3.3.5. State Evolution. (The theory is the same as that in section 2.3.5.)
3.3.6. Predicting Measurement Outcomes. (The theory is the same as that in section 2.3.6.)
3.3.7. Updating the state after the measurement. (The theory is the same as that in section 2.3.7.)

### 3.4. Three Electron System $H_{3}$

3.4.1. Hilbert Space. $H_{3}$ contains 3 electrons. The electron system is represented by Hilbert space

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e}
$$

Elements $f \in \mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e}$ are (equivalence classes of) mappings $f$ : $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \times\{0,1\}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\sum_{\sigma_{1}=0}^{1} \sum_{\sigma_{2}=0}^{1} \sum_{\sigma_{3}=0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)\right|^{2} d^{3} \mathbf{y}_{1} d^{3} \mathbf{y}_{2} d^{3} \mathbf{y}_{3}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)=f\left(\mathbf{y}_{3}, \mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=f\left(\mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \mathbf{y}_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1}\right) \\
&=-f\left(\mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}\right)=-f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{2}\right)=-f\left(\mathbf{y}_{3}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{1}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

3.4.2. Logic. $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\hat{P} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \hat{P}^{\dagger}=\hat{P}=\hat{P}^{2}\right\}$.
3.4.3. Observables. The observable of the $H_{3}$ system includes:

## (1) position observables

$$
\left[\hat{x}_{j}(i) f\right]\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)=y_{j}^{i} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)
$$

where for $i=1,2,3, \mathbf{y}_{i}=\left(y_{1}^{i}, y_{2}^{i}, y_{3}^{i}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the position of the $i$ th electron; $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
(2) momentum observables

$$
\left[\hat{p}_{j}(i) f\right]\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)=-i \hbar \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{j}^{i}}\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)
$$

(3) angular momentum observables

$$
\hat{\mathbf{J}}(i):=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{J}_{1}(i) \\
\hat{J}_{2}(i) \\
\hat{J}_{3}(i)
\end{array}\right):=\hat{\mathbf{x}}(i) \times \hat{\mathbf{p}}(i)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\hat{x}_{2}(i) \hat{p}_{3}(i)-\hat{x}_{3}(i) \hat{p}_{2}(i) \\
\left.\hat{x}_{3}(i) \hat{p}_{1}(i)-\hat{x}_{1}(i)\right)_{3}(i) \\
\hat{x}_{1}(i) \hat{p}_{2}(i)-\hat{x}_{2}(i) \hat{p}_{1}(i)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where for $i=1,2,3, \hat{\mathbf{x}}(i):=\left(\hat{x}_{1}(i), \hat{x}_{2}(i), \hat{x}_{3}(i)\right)^{T}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}(i):=\left(\hat{p}_{1}(i), \hat{p}_{2}(i), \hat{p}_{3}(i)\right)^{T}$.
(4) Spin observables We represent $f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$ in vector form as
 All the spin operators $\hat{S}_{1}, \hat{S}_{2}, \hat{S}_{3}, \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}$ can be written as $8 \times 8$ matrices as in the


Thus $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f$ in vector form is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,0,0\right) \\
\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,0,1\right) \\
\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,1,0\right) \\
\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,1,1\right) \\
\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,0,0\right) \\
\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,0,1\right) \\
\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,1,0\right) \\
\left(\hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2} f\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,1,1\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
15 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 7 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 & 7 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 0 \\
0 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 7 & 4 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 7 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 15
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,0,0\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,0,1\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,1,0\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,1,1\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,0,0\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,0,1\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,1,0\right) \\
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,1,1\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
=\frac{15 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,0,0\right)}{4}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}
7 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,0,1\right)+4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,1,0\right)+4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,0,0\right) \\
4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,0,1\right)+7 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,1,0\right)+4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,0,0\right) \\
7 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,1,1\right)+4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,0,1\right)+4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,1,0\right) \\
4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,0,1\right)+4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,1,0\right)+7 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,0,0\right) \\
4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,1,1\right)+7 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,0,1\right)+4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,1,0\right) \\
4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 0,1,1\right)+4 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,0,1\right)+7 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,1,0\right) \\
15 f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, 1,1,1\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## (5) Energy observable(fixed nucleus approximation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\widehat{H} f)\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \\
= & -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left(\triangle_{1}+\triangle_{2}+\triangle_{3}\right) f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \\
& -K e^{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{y}_{j}\right\|} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \\
& +K e^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{1}-\mathbf{R}_{2}\right\|}+\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{3}\right\|}+\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{3}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right\|}\right) f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \\
& +K e^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{y}_{1}-\mathbf{y}_{2}\right\|}+\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{y}_{2}-\mathbf{y}_{3}\right\|}+\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{y}_{3}-\mathbf{y}_{1}\right\|}\right) f\left(\mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left(\triangle_{1}+\triangle_{2}+\triangle_{3}\right)$ are the kinetic energy operator; for $i=1,2,3$ representing the 1st, the 2nd and the 3rd electrons, $\triangle_{i}:=\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(y_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(y_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(y_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)$ are the Laplacian for the $i$ th electron's position; $-K e^{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{y}_{j}\right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is the potential energy operator of the electrons due to attraction to protons; $K e^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{1}-\mathbf{R}_{2}\right\|}+\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{3}\right\|}+\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{R}_{3}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right\|}\right)$ is due to proton-proton repulsion; $K e^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{y}_{1}-\mathbf{y}_{2}\right\|}+\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{y}_{2}-\mathbf{y}_{3}\right\|}+\frac{1}{\left\|\mathbf{y}_{3}-\mathbf{y}_{1}\right\|}\right)$ is due to electron-electron repulsion.

Fact.

$$
\left[\widehat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{2}\right]=0,\left[\widehat{H}, \hat{S}_{3}\right]=0
$$

3.4.4. State. (The theory is the same as that in section 2.3.4.)
3.4.5. State Evolution. (The theory is the same as that in section 2.3.5.)
3.4.6. Predicting Measurement Outcomes. (The theory is the same as that in section 2.3.6.)
3.4.7. Updating the state after the measurement. (The theory is the same as that in section 2.3.7.)

## Chapter 4

## Symmetry Groups of Molecular Systems

### 4.1. Preparation: Rotation and Reflection Matrices

We develop two lemmas in this section, which show that any rotation matrix $A$ can be identified with a $3 \times 3$ matrix $R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)$, for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, some unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Definition. We define

$$
\mathrm{SO}(3):=\left\{A \text { is a } 3 \times 3 \text { real matrix } \mid A^{T} A=I, \operatorname{det} A=1\right\}
$$

where $I$ is the $3 \times 3$ identity matrix. We call $A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ a rotation matrix.

Lemma. If $A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ then there exists a matrix $U \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ such that $A U=U R$, where $R=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. The third column of the matrix $U$ is the axis of the right-handed rotation through the angle $\theta$ performed by $A$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Proof. If $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ then $(A \mathbf{x})^{T} A \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}^{T} A^{T} A \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}$, so in particular $\|A \mathbf{x}\|=$ $\|\mathbf{x}\|$ and the angle between $A \mathbf{x}$ and $A \mathbf{y}$ is the same as the angle between $\mathbf{x}$ and y. The cubic polynomial $\operatorname{det}(\lambda I-A)$ has real coefficients, so $A$ has at least one real eigenvalue, and any nonreal eigenvalues must form a complex conjugate pair. If $\lambda$ is any eigenvalue of $A$ with eigenvector $\mathbf{x}$, then $\|A \mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{x}\|$ implies $|\lambda|=1$. Thus the real eigenvalues are from the set $\{1,-1\}$. If -1 is the only real eigenvalue, it cannot occur with algebraic multiplicity two, since the other eigenvalue would have to be real, and yet could not be 1 or -1 . Since $\operatorname{det}(A)$ is the product of the eigenvalues, we see that the product of the eigenvalues is 1 . If -1 has multiplicity
one, then there must be a nonreal complex conjugate pair $e^{i \theta}, e^{-i \theta}$ of eigenvalues. But since the product of $e^{i \theta}$ and its complex conjugate is 1 , we obtain the contradiction that $(-1) e^{i \theta} e^{-i \theta}=1$. If -1 has multiplicity three then we obtain the contradiction $(-1)^{3}=1$. Thus 1 must be an eigenvalue. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{3}$ be a normalized eigenvector of $A$ belonging to the eigenvalue 1 , and let $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{1}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{2}$ be an orthonormal basis of the plane perpendicular to $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{3}$, so that $\left.\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{1}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{2}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{3}\right)$ forms a positively oriented frame of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Define $\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{u}_{3}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{1}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{2}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{3}\right) & \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{2}^{T} A \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{1} \geq 0, \\ \left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{2}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{1},-\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{3}\right) & \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{2}^{T} A \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{1}<0 .\end{array}\right.$ Clearly $\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{u}_{3}\right)$ is a positively oriented orthonormal basis. In the first case above we clearly have $\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} A \mathbf{u}_{1} \geq 0$. In the second case we claim that $\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} A \mathbf{u}_{1}>0$. To see this, let $P=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}\right\} . A$ maps $P$ into itself. The ordered pairs $\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(A \mathbf{u}_{1}, A \mathbf{u}_{2}\right)$ determine the same orientation of $P$, i.e. they are related by a $2 \times 2$ matrix with positive determinant. (To see this note that $A\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{u}_{3}\right)=\left(A \mathbf{u}_{1}, A \mathbf{u}_{2}, A \mathbf{u}_{3}\right)=\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{u}_{3}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a_{11} & a_{21} & 0 \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Now take the determinant of both sides of this equation.) Let the plane $P$ be coordinatized by the components with respect to the vectors $\left(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{1}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{2}\right)$. Then the second case is characterized by the inequality $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{2}^{T} A \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{1}<0$, which means that $A \mathbf{u}_{2}=A \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{1}$ is in the third or fourth quadrant. Hence $A \mathbf{u}_{1}=A \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{2}$ is in the first or fourth quadrant, and hence the angle between $\mathbf{u}_{2}$ and $A \mathbf{u}_{1}$ is less than $\pi / 2$, as claimed. Now define $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ such that $\cos \theta=\mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} A \mathbf{u}_{1}$. It follows that $A \mathbf{u}_{1}=\mathbf{u}_{1} \cos \theta+\mathbf{u}_{2} \sin \theta$ and $A \mathbf{u}_{2}=\mathbf{u}_{1}(-\sin \theta)+\mathbf{u}_{2} \cos \theta$. Setting $U=\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{u}_{3}\right)$ we get the result.

Definition. We define

$$
R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)=\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T}+\left[I-\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T}\right] \cos \theta+[\mathbf{u} \times] \sin \theta
$$

where $\theta$ is real, $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3}\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a unit vector and $[\mathbf{u} \times]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -u_{3} & u_{2} \\ u_{3} & 0 & -u_{1} \\ -u_{2} & u_{1} & 0\end{array}\right)$. For $\theta \in(-\pi, \pi]$, we simplify the notation $R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)$ as $R(\theta, \mathbf{u})$.

Lemma. If $A \in \operatorname{SO}(3)$, then there exists a pair $\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)$, uniquely determined (when $A \neq I$ ) up to reflection $\left(e^{-i \theta},-\mathbf{u}\right)$, where $\theta$ is real and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a unit vector,
such that

$$
A=R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)
$$

and hence for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ we have $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x})+[\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x})] \cos \theta+(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{x}) \sin \theta$.

Proof. We define $\mathbf{u}$ to be the third column vector $\mathbf{u}_{3}$ of the matrix $U$ in the above lemma. Now let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be given. Since $U \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ we have $U^{-1}=U^{T}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \mathbf{x} & =U R U^{T} \mathbf{x}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x} \\
\mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x} \\
\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{x}
\end{array}\right) \\
\end{array}\right)=\left(\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \theta \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}-\sin \theta \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x} \\
\sin \theta \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\cos \theta \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x} \\
\mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{x}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{u}_{1}\left(\cos \theta \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}-\sin \theta \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)+\mathbf{u}_{2}\left(\sin \theta \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\cos \theta \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)+\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{x} \\
& =\mathbf{u u}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\left(\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right) \cos \theta+\left(-\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right) \sin \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $I=U U^{T}=\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T}+\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T}+\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T}$ we have that $\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T}+\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T}=I-\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T}$ and therefore $\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{x}$. Also $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{u} \times\left(\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)=$ $\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}_{1}\right) \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}_{2}\right) \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{x}$. This demonstrates the existence of the pair $\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)$ with the desired properties.

Clearly if the pair $\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)$ works then so does $\left(e^{-i \theta},-\mathbf{u}\right)$. The vector $\mathbf{u}$ must be an eigenvector of $A$ associated to the eigenvalue 1, and this eigenvalue cannot have algebraic or geometric multiplicity two, since then the other eigenvalue would have to be -1 , contradicting the fact that $\operatorname{det} A=1$. (The geometric multiplicity is equal to the algebraic multiplicity since $A$ is clearly diagonalizable.) If the multiplicity is 3 then $A=I$. If $A \neq I$ then the multiplicity is 1 , and hence the eigenspace of 1 contains only two real unit eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}$ and $-\mathbf{u}$. Suppose $\mathbf{x}$ is a unit vector perpendicular to $\mathbf{u}$. Then $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x} \cos \theta+\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{x} \sin \theta$ is an expansion in an orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{x}\}$, and hence $\cos \theta=\mathbf{x} \cdot A \mathbf{x}$ and $\sin \theta=(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{x}) \cdot A \mathbf{x}$. If $A=R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)=R\left(e^{i \theta^{\prime}}, \mathbf{u}\right)$, then $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x} \cos \theta+\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{x} \sin \theta=\mathbf{x} \cos \theta^{\prime}+\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{x} \sin \theta^{\prime} \Rightarrow \cos \theta=\cos \theta^{\prime}, \sin \theta=\sin \theta^{\prime} \Rightarrow$ $e^{i \theta}=e^{i \theta^{\prime}}$. The same values of $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$ are obtained independently of the choice
of $\mathbf{x}$. Thus both $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$ are determined by $\mathbf{u}$. This proves the uniqueness claim.

Definition. Consider a plane which is perpendicular to the axis $\mathbf{u}$ and passes through $\mathbf{0}$. The matrix of reflection through this plane is $R_{\sigma}=I-2 \mathbf{u u}^{T}$, where $I$ is the identify matrix. (if it does not cause confusion, later we will not mention that the plan passes through $\mathbf{0}$.)

### 4.2. Representations of Molecular Symmetry Groups

### 4.2.1. Point symmetries and Conjugacy.

Definition. Let $V$ be a finite dimensional complex vector space. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G L(V):=\{A: V \rightarrow V \mid A \text { is linear and invertible }\} \\
& G L\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right):=\text { the set of all } n \times n \text { complex invertible matrices } \\
& G L\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right):=\text { the set of all } n \times n \text { real invertible matrices } ; \\
& O(3):=\left\{A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \mid A^{T} A=I\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

FACT. $G L(V)$ is a group under composition of linear transformations.

Definition. An $n$ dimensional complex representation of a group $G$ is a group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$, where $V$ is $n$-dimensional complex vector space.

According to [6], a distance preserving transformation of space that leaves an object looking the same after it has been applied is called a symmetry operation of the object. There is a corresponding symmetry element for each symmetry operation; this is the point, line, or plane with respect to which the symmetry operation is performed. If a particular point is chosen as the center of symmetry then the symmetry operations which fix this point are called point symmetries. The identity I means doing nothing; the corresponding symmetry element is the entire space. An $n$-fold rotation $C_{n}$ (the
operation) about an $n$-fold axis of symmetry (the corresponding symmetry element) is a rotation through $2 \pi / n$. The principal axis of a molecule is an $n$-fold axis of symmetry where $n$ is as large as possible. A reflection $\sigma$ (the operation) in a plane of symmetry or a mirror plane (the symmetry element) may be either parallel or perpendicular to a principle axis of a molecule. If the plane is parallel to the principal axis, it is called vertical and denoted $\sigma_{v}$. When the plane of symmetry is perpendicular to the principal axis it is called horizontal and denoted $\sigma_{h}$. A vertical mirror plane that bisects the angle between two $C_{2}$ axes is called a dihedral plane and denoted $\sigma_{d}$. In an inversion $i$ (the operation) through the center of symmetry (the symmetry element) we imagine taking each point in a molecule, moving it to its center, and then moving it out the same distance on the other side. An improper rotation or rotary-reflection $S_{n}$ (the operation) about an axis of improper rotation or a rotary-reflection axis (the symmetry element) consists of rotation through $2 \pi / n$ about an $n$-fold rotation axis followed by a horizontal reflection.

Definition. Let $G$ be a group, $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$. If there is $x \in G$ such that $g_{1}=$ $x^{-1} g_{2} x$, then we say that $g_{1}$ is conjugate to $g_{2}$, denoted as $g_{1} \sim g_{2} . \sim$ is an equivalence relation in $G$. A $\sim$ equivalence class in $G$ is called a conjugacy class.

For the purpose of point symmetries we may identify space with a 3-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$ inner product space $X$ where the zero vector $\mathbf{0} \in X$ represents the center of symmetry. Define
$O(X):=\{g: X \rightarrow X$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear and inner product preserving i.e. isometric $\}$.

There is a natural left action of $O(X)$ on $X$.
Let $\mathcal{M} \subset X$ be a finite set of vectors. Define the point symmetry group $G(\mathcal{M})$ as

$$
G(\mathcal{M}):=\{g \in O(X) \mid g \cdot \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}, \forall \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}\} .
$$

It is clear that $G(\mathcal{M})$ is a subgroup of $O(X)$.


Figure 2. Given $P \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists a group isomorphism between $O(X)$ and $O(3)$ defined as $\rho_{P}(g):=P^{-1} \circ g \circ P$.

Define
$\mathcal{P}:=\left\{P: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow X \mid P\right.$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-linear isomorphism which is inner product preserving $\}$.
$\mathcal{P}$ is called the set of poses. $P \in \mathcal{P}$ can be identified with the ordered 3-tuple $\left(P\left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{1}\right), P\left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{2}\right), P\left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{3}\right)\right)$, where $\left\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{1}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{2}, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{3}\right\}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. $O(X)$ acts on $\mathcal{P}$ on the left by the rule

$$
g P=\left(g P\left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{1}\right), g P\left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{2}\right), g P\left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{3}\right)\right) \text {, i.e. } g \circ P: \mathbb{R}^{3} \xrightarrow{P} X \xrightarrow{g} X, \text { where } g \in O(X) .
$$

$O(3)$ acts on $\mathcal{P}$ on the right by the rule

$$
P T=P \circ T: \mathbb{R}^{3} \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{R}^{3} \xrightarrow{P} X, \text { where } T \in O(3) .
$$

Given $P \in \mathcal{P}$, define a mapping $\rho_{P}: O(X) \rightarrow O(3)$ as $\rho_{P}(g):=P^{-1} \circ g \circ P$. This mapping is clearly a group isomorphism (see Figure 2). When we restrict the domain of $\rho_{P}$ from $O(X)$ to $G(\mathcal{M})$, the above isomorphism gives a mapping $\rho_{P}: G(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow$ $O(3)$.

FACt. If $G(\mathcal{M})$ is a group of point symmetries of a molecule, then we have an injective (faithful) 3-dimensional real orthogonal representation: $\rho_{P}: G(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow O(3)$.


Figure 3. (A). The isosceles triangle group is $C_{2 v}=\left\{E, C_{2}, \sigma_{v}, \sigma_{v}^{\prime}\right\}$. (B). The equilateral triangle group is $D_{3 h}=\left\{E, \sigma_{h}, 2 C_{3}, 2 S_{3}, 3 C_{2}^{\prime}, 3 \sigma_{v}\right\}$. Note that in these figures a definite pose $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is given and fixed, so we can identify symmetry operations with matrices in $O(3)$.

Suppose $P_{i} \in \mathcal{P}, i=1,2$ are poses and $T_{i}=\left(P_{i}\right)^{-1} \circ g \circ P_{i}$, then $P_{i} \circ T_{i} \circ\left(P_{i}\right)^{-1}=g$ and $T_{1}=P_{1}^{-1} \circ g \circ P_{1}=P_{1}^{-1} \circ\left(P_{2} \circ T_{2} \circ\left(P_{2}\right)^{-1}\right) \circ P_{1}=\left(P_{2}^{-1} \circ P_{1}\right)^{-1} \circ T_{2} \circ\left(P_{2}^{-1} \circ P_{1}\right)$. Note that $P_{2}^{-1} \circ P_{1} \in O(3)$, and thus $T_{1} \sim T_{2}$ are conjugate in $O(3)$ and correspond to the same symmetry operation $g$ with respect to two different poses $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. This is the geometric meaning of conjugacy.
4.2.2. Examples. From now on we will use the symmetry group names $E, C_{2 v}, D_{3 h}, T_{d}, \sigma_{v}$ etc.; they follow standard chemical nomenclature [6].

Example. We consider the symmetry group $C_{2 v}=\left\{E, C_{2}, \sigma_{v}, \sigma_{v}^{\prime}\right\}$ of the isosceles triangle $\triangle A B C$, where $A=(0,0,1), B=(0,-1,0), C=(0,1,0)$ (see Figure $3(\mathrm{~A})$ ). Recall that the rotation matrix $R(\theta, \mathbf{u})$ represents the rotation of a vector about the axis $\mathbf{u}$ through an angle of $\theta \in(-\pi, \pi]$, and the reflection matrix $R_{\sigma}$ represents the reflection of a vector through the plane which is orthogonal to $\mathbf{u}$ and passes though the origin of the coordinates.

We denote the matrix representation of a group element $g \in G$ as $\rho(g)$. By the meaning of conjugacy, we classify the symmetry group elements for the isosceles triangle by conjugacy classes as follows.
(1) The identity $E$ is represented by $\rho(E)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
(2) The $180^{\circ}$ rotation about the axis $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(C_{2}\right) & =R\left(180^{0}, \mathbf{u}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{u u}^{T}+\left(I-\mathbf{u u}^{T}\right) \cos \left(180^{0}\right)+[\mathbf{u} \times] \sin \left(180^{0}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\left(I-\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right)(-1) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) The reflection through the plane perpendicular to the axis $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(\sigma_{v}\right) & =I-2 \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The reflection through the plane perpendicular to the axis $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(\sigma_{v}^{\prime}\right) & =I-2 \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. We consider the symmetry group $D_{3 h}$ of the equilateral triangle $\triangle D E F$, where $D=(0,0,1), E=\left(0,-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right), F=\left(0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ (see Figure $3(\mathrm{~B})$ ). Then the elements of the group classified by conjugacy classes are as follows.
(1) The identity $E$ is represented by $\rho^{\prime}(E)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
(2) The $180^{\circ}$ rotation about the axis $\mathbf{u}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(D, O)\right)=R\left(180^{0}, \mathbf{u}_{1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T}+\left(I-\mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T}\right) \cos \left(180^{0}\right)+\left[\mathbf{u}_{1} \times\right] \sin \left(180^{0}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $180^{\circ}$ rotation about the axis $\mathbf{u}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(E, O)\right) & =R\left(180^{0}, \mathbf{u}_{2}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T}+\left(I-\mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{u}_{2}^{T}\right) \cos \left(180^{0}\right)+\left[\mathbf{u}_{2} \times\right] \sin \left(180^{0}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $180^{\circ}$ rotation about the axis $\mathbf{u}_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(F, O)\right) & =R\left(180^{0}, \mathbf{u}_{3}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{u}_{3} \mathbf{u}_{3}^{T}+\left(I-\mathbf{u}_{3} \mathbf{u}_{3}^{T}\right) \cos \left(180^{0}\right)+\left[\mathbf{u}_{3} \times\right] \sin \left(180^{0}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) The $120^{\circ}$ rotation around the axis $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right) & =R\left(120^{0}, \mathbf{u}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{u u}^{T}+\left(I-\mathbf{u u}^{T}\right) \cos \left(120^{0}\right)+[\mathbf{u} \times] \sin \left(120^{0}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $240^{\circ}$ rotation around the axis $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right) & =R\left(240^{0}, \mathbf{u}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T}+\left(I-\mathbf{u u}^{T}\right) \cos \left(240^{0}\right)+[\mathbf{u} \times] \sin \left(240^{0}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) The reflection through the plane perpendicular to $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$, i.e. "horizontal" reflection, is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right) & =I-2 \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(5) The reflection through the plane perpendicular to $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{v 1}\right) & =I-2 \mathbf{u u}^{T} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The reflection through the plane perpendicular to $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{v 2}\right) & =I-2 \mathbf{u u}^{T} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The reflection through the plane perpendicular to $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\end{array}\right)$ is represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{v 3}\right) & =I-2 \mathbf{u u}^{T} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(6) The $120^{\circ}$ rotation around the x axis followed by a horizontal reflection is represented by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}\left(S_{31}\right) & =\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right) \rho^{\prime}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 4. The $T_{d}$ group on the molecule $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$.

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The $240^{\circ}$ rotation around the x axis followed by a horizontal reflection is represented by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime}\left(S_{32}\right) & =\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right) \rho^{\prime}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. We want to construct a faithful 3 dimensional orthogonal representation of the symmetry group $T_{d}$ of the Tetrahedron, and we will also classify the
following 24 elements of $T_{d}$ into conjugacy classes according to their geometric meanings:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{E \\
& C_{3}(1,+1), C_{3}(1,-1), C_{3}(2,+1), C_{3}(2,-1) \\
& C_{3}(3,+1), C_{3}(3,+1), C_{3}(4,-1), C_{3}(4,-1) \\
& C_{2}(1,2)=C_{2}(3,4), C_{2}(1,3)=C_{2}(2,4), C_{2}(1,4)=C_{2}(2,3) ; \\
& \sigma_{d}(1,2), \sigma_{d}(1,3), \sigma_{d}(1,4), \sigma_{d}(2,3), \sigma_{d}(2,4), \sigma_{d}(3,4) \\
& \left.S_{4}(1,2), S_{4}(1,3), S_{4}(1,4), S_{4}(2,3), S_{4}(2,4), S_{4}(3,4)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

To simplify the notation, we will consider $T_{d}$ on a model of the molecule $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ (see Figure 4).

The 24 elements of $T_{d}$ classified by conjugacy classes by the geometric viewpoint are as follows.
(1) The identity $E$.
(2) The $120^{0}$ rotations about the axis $C-H_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$, denoted as $C_{3}(i,+1)$ and the $-120^{0}$ rotations about the axis $C-H_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$, denoted as $C_{3}(i,-1)$. They are $\left\{C_{3}(1,+1), C_{3}(1,-1), C_{3}(2,+1), C_{3}(2,-1)\right.$, $\left.C_{3}(3,+1), C_{3}(3,-1), C_{3}(4,+1), C_{3}(4,-1)\right\}$.
(3) The $180^{0}$ rotations about the axis bisecting the $H_{i}-C-H_{j}$ angle, denoted as $C_{2}(i, j)$. They are $\left\{C_{2}(1,2)=C_{2}(3,4), C_{2}(1,3)=C_{2}(2,4)\right.$, $\left.C_{2}(1,4)=C_{2}(2,3)\right\}$.
(4) The reflections through the plane of $H_{i}-C-H_{j}$, denoted as $\sigma_{d}(i, j)$. They are $\left\{\sigma_{d}(1,2), \sigma_{d}(1,3), \sigma_{d}(1,4), \sigma_{d}(2,3), \sigma_{d}(2,4), \sigma_{d}(3,4)\right\}$.
(5) The rotations of $90^{\circ}$ about the axis bisecting $H_{i}-C-H_{j}$, followed by the reflection through the plane perpendicular to this axis, denoted as $S_{4}(i, j)$. They are $\left\{S_{4}(1,2), S_{4}(1,3), S_{4}(1,4), S_{4}(2,3), S_{4}(2,4), S_{4}(3,4)\right\}$.

Suppose the positions of the atoms in $C H_{4}$ are : $H_{1}(0,0,0), H_{2}(2,0,2), H_{3}(2,2,0)$, $H_{4}(0,2,2), C(1,1,1)$, which is the center of the symmetry. We will show how to compute $\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(2,+1)\right)$-the matrix of the rotation around the axis $C-H_{2}$ by $120^{\circ}$.

The axis $C-H_{2}$ is represented by unit vector $\mathbf{u}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0\end{array}\right)\right)=$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ -1 \\ 1\end{array}\right)$.

Then we have $\mathbf{u u}^{T}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ -1 \\ 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ -1 \\ 1\end{array}\right)^{T}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1\end{array}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(2,+1)\right)= & \mathbf{u u}^{T}+\left(I-\mathbf{u u}^{T}\right) \cos \theta+[\mathbf{u} \times] \sin \theta \\
= & \frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right\} \cos 120^{0} \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In similar way we can compute the matrices representation of the other tetrahedral group members as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(1,+1)\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(1,-1)\right) & =-\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
-\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)=-\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(1,+1)\right)^{T}, \\
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(2,+1)\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=-\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(2,+1)\right)^{T} . \\
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(2,-1)\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The six matrices above belong to one conjugacy class, the elements of which elements represent the $\pm 120^{\circ}$ rotations about the axis $C-H_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(1,2)\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(3,4)\right), \\
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(1,3)\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(2,4)\right), \\
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(1,4)\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(2,3)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The six matrices above belong to one conjugacy class, the elements of which represent the $180^{\circ}$ rotations about the axis bisecting the $H_{i}-C-H_{j}$ angle.


Figure 5. $\rho_{V}$ is isomorphic with $\rho_{W}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{d}(1,2)\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{d}(1,3)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{d}(1,4)\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{d}(2,3)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{d}(2,4)\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{d}(3,4)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The six matrices above belong to one conjugacy class, the elements of which represent the reflections through the plane of $H_{i}-C-H_{j}$.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(S_{4}(1,2)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(S_{4}(1,3)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \\
\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(S_{4}(1,4)\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array} 0-1\right), ~ \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(S_{4}(2,3)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(S_{4}(3,4)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The six matrices above belong to one conjugacy class, the elements of which represent the rotations of $90^{\circ}$ about the axis bisecting $H_{i}-C-H_{j}$, followed by the reflection through the plane perpendicular to this axis.

### 4.2.3. Isomorphic Representations.

Definition. Let $\rho_{V}: G \rightarrow G L(V), \rho_{W}: G \rightarrow G L(W)$ be two group representations of group $G$, we say that $\rho_{V}$ is isomorphic with $\rho_{W}$ if there is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphism $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ such that for any given $g \in G,\left[\phi \rho_{V}(g)\right](v)=\left[\rho_{W}(g) \phi\right](v)$ or $\rho_{V}(g)(v)=\left[\phi^{-1} \rho_{W}(g) \phi\right](v)$ for all $v \in V$ (as illustrated at Figure 5). Particularly, if $V=W=\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then $\phi \in G L\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$.

Example. A molecule having the symmetries of $D_{3 h}$ is $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ (Phosphorus Pentachloride), we will first use it as our model to compute a group representation $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}$ of $D_{3 h}$. And then, we will show that this representation $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}$ for $P C l_{5}$ is isomorphic to the earlier representation $\rho^{\prime}$ of $D_{3 h}$ for the equilateral triangle $\triangle D E F$.


Figure 6. The group $D_{3 h}$ for the molecule $P C l_{5}$

Suppose the positions for the atoms of $P C l_{5}$ are as follows. $P(0,0,0), C l_{1}(0,0,1)$, $C l_{2}(0,0,-1), C l_{3}\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0\right), C l_{4}\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0\right), C l_{5}(0,1,0)$ (see Figure 6). $D_{3 h}=$ $\left\{E, \sigma_{h}, 2 C_{3}, 2 S_{2}, 3 C_{2}^{\prime}, 3 \sigma_{v}\right\}$. To distinguish the matrix representation for $P C l_{5}$ from the previous one for equilateral triangle, we denote the matrix representation for $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ of $g \in D_{3 h}$ as $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(g)$.
(1) The identity $E$ is represented by $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(E)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$;
(2) For $\sigma_{h}, \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right) & =I-2 \mathbf{u u}^{T} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-2\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) For $C_{3}(+1), \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right), \theta=120^{\circ}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right) & =\mathbf{u u}^{T}+\left(I-\mathbf{u u}^{T}\right) \cos 120^{\circ}+[\mathbf{u} \times] \sin 120^{\circ} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
\frac{-1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $C_{3}(-1), \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 0 \\ -1\end{array}\right), \theta=120^{\circ}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right) & =\mathbf{u u}^{T}+\left(I-\mathbf{u u}^{T}\right) \cos 120^{\circ}+[u \times] \sin 120^{\circ} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
\frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) For $C_{2}(3,4): \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ -1 \\ 0\end{array}\right), \theta=180^{\circ}$,

$$
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(3,4)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $C_{2}(4,5): \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0\end{array}\right), \theta=180^{\circ}$,

$$
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(4,5)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $C_{2}(5,3): \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0\end{array}\right), \theta=180^{\circ}$,

$$
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(5,3)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

(5) For $\sigma_{v}(1,5), \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$,

$$
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,5)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \sigma_{v}(1,4), \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \qquad \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,4)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) . \\
& \text { For } \sigma_{v}(1,3), \mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,3)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(6) For $S_{3}$ and $S_{3}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(S_{3}\right)=\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right) \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}\right) \\
=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \\
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(S_{3}\right)=\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right) \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}\right) \\
=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we introduce $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\phi(x, y, z)=(z, x, y)$. Then $\phi$ corresponds to a rotation matrix $R\left(-120^{0}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$, and hence $\phi^{-1}$ corresponds to $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. We need to check as follows that $\rho_{V}^{\prime}(g)=\phi^{-1} \rho_{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}(g) \phi, \forall g \in$ $D_{3 h}$, where $\rho_{V}^{\prime}, \rho_{V}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ denote the previous and the present $D_{3 h}$ matrix representation respectively:
(1) For the identity $E$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{-1} \rho^{\prime}(E) \phi & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(E) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) For $\sigma_{h}$,

$$
\phi^{-1} \rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right) \phi=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) For $2 C_{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{-1} \rho^{\prime}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right) \phi & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{-1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right), \\
\phi^{-1} \rho^{\prime}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right) \phi & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) For $2 S_{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{-1} \rho^{\prime}\left(S_{31}\right) \phi & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(S_{3}\right), \\
\phi^{-1} \rho^{\prime}\left(S_{32}\right) \phi & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(S_{3}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(5) For $3 C_{2}$,

$$
\phi^{-1} \rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(D, O)\right) \phi=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(3,4)\right), \\
\phi^{-1} \rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(E, O)\right) \phi & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(4,5)\right), \\
\phi^{-1} \rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(F, O)\right) \phi & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & -\sqrt{3} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(5,3)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(6) For the $3 \sigma_{v}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\phi^{-1} \rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{v 1}\right) \phi & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore $\rho^{\prime}$ and $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are isomorphic representations.

### 4.2.4. Characters.

Definition. If $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ is a representation of $G$, its character $\chi_{\rho}$ is the complex-valued function on the group defined by

$$
\chi_{\rho}(g)=\operatorname{tr}(\rho(g)),
$$

i.e. the trace of $g$ on $V$. We sometimes write $\chi_{V}$ instead of $\chi_{\rho}$ if there is no possible confusion.

In particular, for any $g, h \in G$, we have

$$
\chi_{\rho}\left(h g h^{-1}\right)=\chi_{\rho}(g),
$$

so that $\chi_{\rho}$ is constant on a conjugacy classes of $G$; a complex-valued function on $G$ which is constant on each conjugacy class of $G$ is called a class function. Denote $\mathbb{C}_{\text {class }}(G)=\{$ class functions on $G\}$. Note that $\chi_{\rho}(e)=\operatorname{dim} V$, where $e$ is the identity element in $G$.

Example. Consider the character of the matrix representation of $C_{2 v}=\left\{E, C_{2}, \sigma_{v}, \sigma_{v}^{\prime}\right\}$ by the model of isosceles triangle $\triangle A B C$ (see Figure 3).
(1) $\rho(E)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho}(I)=\operatorname{tr}(\rho(E))=3$.
(2) $\rho\left(C_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho}\left(C_{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho\left(C_{2}\right)\right)=-1$.
(3) $\rho\left(\sigma_{v}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{v}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho\left(\sigma_{v}\right)\right)=1$.

$$
\rho\left(\sigma_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text {. Then } \chi_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho\left(\sigma_{v}^{\prime}\right)\right)=1 \text {. }
$$

Example. Consider the character of the matrix representation $\rho^{\prime}$ of $D_{3 h}$ by the model of equilateral triangle $\triangle D E F$ (see Figure 3).
(1) $\rho^{\prime}(E)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime}}(I)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}(E)\right)=3$.
(2) $\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{h}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right)\right)=1$.
(3) $\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right)\right)=0$.

$$
\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \text {. Then } \chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right)\right)=0 \text {. }
$$

(4) $\rho^{\prime}\left(S_{31}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(S_{31}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(S_{31}\right)\right)=-2$.

$$
\rho^{\prime}\left(S_{32}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \text {. Then } \chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(S_{32}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(S_{32}\right)\right)=-2 \text {. }
$$

(5) $\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(D, O)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(C_{2}(D, O)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(D, O)\right)\right)=-1 . \\
\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(E, O)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) . \text { Then } \\
\chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(C_{2}(E, O)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(E, O)\right)\right)=-1 .\right. \\
\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(F, O)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) . \text { Then } \\
\chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(C_{2}(F, O)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(C_{2}(F, O)\right)\right)=-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

(6) $\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{v 1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{v 1}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{v 1}\right)\right)=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{v 2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) . \text { Then } \chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{v 2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{v 2}\right)\right)=1 . \\
& \rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{v 3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llr}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) . \text { Then } \chi_{\rho^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{v 3}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{v 3}\right)\right)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. Consider the character of the matrix representation $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}$ of $D_{3 h}=$ $\left\{E, \sigma_{h}, 2 C_{3}, 2 S_{3}, 3 C_{2}^{\prime}, 3 \sigma_{v}\right\}$ for $P C l_{5}$.
(1) $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(E)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}(I)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(E)\right)=3$.
(2) $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(\sigma_{h}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right)\right)=1$.
(3) $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{-1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right)\right)=0$.

$$
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text {. Then } \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right)\right)=0 .
$$

(4) $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(S_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(S_{3}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(S_{3}\right)\right)=-2$.

$$
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(S_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \text {. Then } \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(S_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(S_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)=-2 \text {. }
$$

(5) $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(3,4)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(C_{2}(3,4)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(3,4)\right)\right)=-1$.

$$
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(4,5)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) . \text { Then } \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(C_{2}(4,5)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(4,5)\right)\right)=
$$

-1 .

$$
\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(5,3)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \text {. Then } \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(C_{2}(5,3)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(5,3)\right)\right)=
$$ -1 .

(6) $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,5)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Then $\chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,5)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,5)\right)\right)=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,4)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0^{0} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) . \text { Then } \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,4)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,4)\right)\right)=1 . \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,3)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) . \text { Then } \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,3)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,3)\right)\right)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: These characters of $C_{2 v}$ and $D_{3 h}$ are seen to be class functions. Through the example of $D_{3 h}$, we see an illustration of the fact that the isomorphic representations have the same character.

Definition. A representation $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ is called irreducible if whenever $W \subseteq V$ is a vector subspace such that $\rho(g) W \subseteq W, \forall g \in G$, then either $W=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ or $W=V . \rho$ is reducible if it is not irreducible.

Definition. Define the Hermitian inner product of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\mathbb{C}_{\text {class }}(G)$ by:

$$
(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\alpha(g)} \beta(g)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{c \text { is a conjugacy class }}|c| \overline{\alpha(c)} \beta(c)
$$

Theorem. Consider the set $\mathcal{I}_{G}$ of all equivalence classes [ $V$ ] of irreducible finite dimensional representations $V$ of a finite group $G$, with the equivalence relation being isomorphism of representations. Then $\left|\mathcal{I}_{G}\right|$ equals the number of conjugacy classes of $G$. Furthermore if $\mathcal{I}_{G}=\left\{\left[V_{1}\right], \cdots,\left[V_{n}\right]\right\}$, then $\left\{\chi_{V_{1}}, \cdots, \chi_{V_{n}}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the vector space $\mathbb{C}_{\text {class }}(G)$ of class functions.
4.2.5. Character Tables. We follow [44] [6] to develop this subsection. A finite group $G$ has a finite number of conjugacy classes and the same finite number of nonisomorphic irreducible representations. The character of a group representation is


Figure 7. The Character Table of $C_{2 v}$
constant on each conjugacy class. Hence, the values of the characters can be written as an array, known as a character table. Typically, the rows are given by the irreducible non-isomorphic representations and the columns are given by the conjugacy classes.

A character table often contains enough information to identify a given abstract group and distinguish it from others. However, there exist non-isomorphic groups which nevertheless have the same character table, for example $D_{8}$ (the symmetry group of the square) and $A_{8}$ (the quaternion group) $[6]$.

Example. [44] Chemists and physicists use a special convention for representing character tables which is applied especially to the so-called point groups, which are the 32 finite symmetry groups possible in a lattice. In the table 7, the numbered regions contain the following contents ([20] pp. $90-92$ ).
(1) The symbol used to represent the group in question (in this case $C_{2 v}$ ).
(2) The conjugacy classes, indicated by number and symbol, where the sum of the coefficients gives the order $h$ of the group.
(3) Mulliken symbols one for each irreducible representation.

| $D_{3 h}$ | $E$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $2 C_{3}$ | $2 S_{3}$ | $3 C_{2}^{\prime}$ | $3 \sigma_{v}$ | $h=12$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{1}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z^{2}, x^{2}+y^{2}$ |  |
| $A_{2}^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |  | $R_{z}$ |
| $A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |  |  |
| $A_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | $z$ |  |
| $E^{\prime}$ | 2 | 2 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | $(x, y)$, <br> $\left(x y, x^{2}-y^{2}\right)$ |  |
| $E^{\prime \prime}$ | 2 | -2 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $(x z, y z)$ | $\left(R_{x}, R_{y}\right)$ |

Figure 8. The Character Table of $D_{3 h}$
(4) An array of the group characters of the non-isomorphic irreducible representations of the group, with one column for each conjugacy class, and one row for each irreducible representation.
(5) and (6) are not going to be used in this thesis, so we do not discuss them.

Example. We know by the above theorem that two rows in a character table are orthogonal with respect to the inner product defined above. For instance, in the character table of $D_{3 h}$ (see Figure 8), the two rows $A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $E^{\prime \prime}$ satisfy:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\chi_{A_{1}^{\prime \prime}}, \chi_{E^{\prime \prime}}\right)= & \frac{1}{\left|D_{3 h}\right|} \sum_{c \text { is a conjugacy class }}|c| \chi_{A_{1}^{\prime \prime}}(c) \chi_{E^{\prime \prime}}(c) \\
= & \frac{1}{12}[1 \times 1 \times 2+1 \times(-1) \times(-2)+2 \times 1 \times(-1)+2 \times(-1) \times 1 \\
& +3 \times 1 \times 0+3 \times(-1) \times 0] \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.2.6. Decomposition of Representations.

DEFINITION. Let $\rho_{V}: G \rightarrow G L(V), \rho_{W}: G \rightarrow G L(W)$ be two representations of the same group $G$, then the direct sum of these two representations is defined as:

$$
\rho_{V} \oplus \rho_{W}: G \rightarrow G L(V \oplus W): \quad g \mapsto \rho_{V}(g) \oplus \rho_{W}(g) .
$$

Let $V=\mathbb{C}^{n}, W=\mathbb{C}^{m}$, then $\rho_{V}(g) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \rho_{W}(g) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, and $\left(\rho_{V} \oplus \rho_{W}\right)(g) \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{(n+m) \times(n+m)},\left(\rho_{V} \oplus \rho_{W}\right)(g)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\rho_{V}(g) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{W}(g)\end{array}\right)$.

Lemma. Let $\rho_{V}: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ and $\rho_{W}: G \rightarrow G L(W)$ be representations of $G$. Then $\chi_{V \oplus W}=\chi_{\rho}+\chi_{W}$.

Definition. Let $V$ be a vector space. Define $V^{\oplus a}=V \stackrel{1}{\oplus} \cdots \stackrel{a-1}{\oplus} V$, where $a \in \mathbb{N}, a \geq 2$.

Theorem. Suppose $\mathcal{I}_{G}=\left\{\left[V_{1}\right], \cdots,\left[V_{n}\right]\right\}$, where $G$ is a finite group. Suppose $V$ is an arbitrary finite dimensional representation of $G$. If $\chi_{V}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \chi_{V_{i}}$ where $a_{i}=\left(\chi_{V_{i}}, \chi_{V}\right)$, then $a_{i} \geq 0$ is an integer and $V \cong V_{1}^{\oplus a_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n}^{\oplus a_{n}}$.

Example. We continue the the last example in $\S 4.2 .4$ where $D_{3 h}$ for $P C l_{5}$ is discussed. For the representation $\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}$ for the character is $\chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}=(3,1,0,-2,-1,1)^{T}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3} \\
a_{4} \\
a_{5} \\
a_{6}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(\chi_{A_{1}^{\prime}}, \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right) \\
\left(\chi_{A_{2}^{\prime}}^{2}, \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right) \\
\left(\chi_{A_{1}^{\prime \prime}}, \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right) \\
\left(\chi_{A_{2}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}, \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right) \\
\left(\chi_{E^{\prime}} \chi_{\prime^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right) \\
\left(\chi_{E^{\prime \prime}}, \chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}\right.
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{\left|D_{3 h}\right|}\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
2 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
3 \\
1 \\
0 \\
-2 \\
-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{12}\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & -2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
3 \\
1 \\
0 \\
-4 \\
-3 \\
-3
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$

Thus $\chi_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}=\chi_{E^{\prime}}+\chi_{A_{2}^{\prime \prime}}$, and hence $V_{\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}}=V_{E^{\prime}} \oplus V_{A_{2}^{\prime \prime}}$. We show the details as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}(E)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \oplus(1), \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{h}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \oplus(-1) \text {, } \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(+1)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{-1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{-1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \oplus(1), \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{3}(-1)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \oplus(1), \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(S_{3}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \oplus(-1), \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(S_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \oplus(-1), \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(3,4)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \oplus(-1) \text {, } \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(4,5)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \oplus(-1), \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(C_{2}(5,3)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \oplus(-1), \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,5)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \oplus(1) \text {, } \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,4)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \oplus(1), \\
& \rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\sigma_{v}(1,3)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right) \oplus(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $2 \times 2$ matrices are the irreducible representation $E^{\prime}$ of $D_{3 h}$ and the $1 \times 1$ matrices are the irreducible representation $A_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ of $D_{3 h}$.

## Chapter 5

## The Conformation and Configuration of the $H_{3}$ SYSTEM

In this chapter we first discuss the shapes (conformations) of the $H_{3}$ system in general coordinates: how to mathematically represent a shape and how to mathematically classify the shapes into the three different categories: the non-collinear, the collinear, and the one-point-coincident, and then we build up three particular internal coordinate systems which treat the three hydrogen nuclei in a symmetrical way.

### 5.1. The Shape Space of the $H_{3}$ System

In this section, we will discuss the mathematical representation of the conformations of the $H_{3}$ system. After rigid motion, the conformation (or shape) of a particular molecule does not change. To identify the mathematical representation of the conformation of a molecule, we introduce the concept of orbit. We also investigate the categories within the set of all orbits of configurations of $H_{3}$ according to the orbit's dimensionality.

Let $R=\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{R}_{3}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}$ be the position vectors of the three nuclei of the $H_{3}$ system. $R$ is called a configuration. Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a translation vector. Let $A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ be a rotation matrix. We define $G_{a}:=\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$, which is the group of rigid motions of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The group law for $G_{a}$ is, for any $\mathbf{b}_{1}, \mathbf{b}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$,

$$
\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, A_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{b}_{2}, A_{2}\right)=\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}+A_{1} \mathbf{b}_{2}, A_{1} A_{2}\right)
$$

$(\mathbf{b}, A) \in G_{a}$ acts on the left of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}$ by the rule

$$
(\mathbf{b}, A)\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{R}_{3}\right)=\left(\mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{3}\right),
$$

which represents the configuration $R$ of the molecular system after the rigid motion $(\mathbf{b}, A)$ is applied.

Let's check that this is a left action:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, A_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{b}_{2}, A_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{R}_{3}\right)} \\
& \quad=\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}+A_{1} \mathbf{b}_{2}, A_{1} A_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{R}_{3}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}+A_{1} \mathbf{b}_{2}+A_{1} A_{2} \mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{b}_{1}+A_{1} \mathbf{b}_{2}+A_{1} A_{2} \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{b}_{1}+A_{1} \mathbf{b}_{2}+A_{1} A_{2} \mathbf{R}_{3}\right), \\
& \left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, A_{1}\right)\left[\left(\mathbf{b}_{2}, A_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{R}_{3}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, A_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{b}_{2}+A_{2} \mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{b}_{2}+A_{2} \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{b}_{2}+A_{2} \mathbf{R}_{3}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}+A_{1} \mathbf{b}_{2}+A_{1} A_{2} \mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{b}_{1}+A_{1} \mathbf{b}_{2}+A_{1} A_{2} \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{b}_{1}+A_{1} \mathbf{b}_{2}+A_{1} A_{2} \mathbf{R}_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To identify the conformation of a molecule, we introduce the concept of orbit as follows.

Definition. The orbit of a three-atom molecule configuration $R=\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{2}\right.$, $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ ) is defined as

$$
G_{a} R:=\left\{(\mathbf{b}, A) R \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3} \mid(\mathbf{b}, A) \in G_{a}\right\}
$$

$R$ is called a representative of the orbit.

Definition. The Shape space of the three-atom molecule is the set of all its orbits in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}$, denoted by $G_{a} \backslash \backslash\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}$,

$$
G_{a} \backslash \backslash\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}:=\left\{G_{a} R \mid R \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)^{3}\right\}
$$

With the notations defined above, we now start to investigate the classifications of the orbits of the $H_{3}$ molecule. We claim that there are three categories of orbits in shape space:

$$
\operatorname{dim} G_{a} R= \begin{cases}6, & \text { if } R \text { is a non-collinear configuration; } \\ 3, & \text { if } \mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{R}_{2}=\mathbf{R}_{3} ; \\ 5, & \text { if } R \text { is a collinear configuration but not } \mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{R}_{2}=\mathbf{R}_{3} .\end{cases}
$$

where $\operatorname{dim} G_{a} R$ denotes the dimension of the obit $G_{a} R$ thought of as a manifold, i.e. the number of independent variables needed to parameterize $G_{a} R$.

Definition. Given a configuration $R$, its isotropy subgroup within the group $G_{a}$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R:=\left\{(\mathbf{b}, A) \in G_{a} \mid(\mathbf{b}, A) R=R\right\} .
$$

FACT. Given a configuration $R$, the mapping of the group onto the orbit:

$$
G_{a} \rightarrow G_{a} R:(\mathbf{b}, A) \mapsto(\mathbf{b}, A) R
$$

is always surjective. It induces a one-to-one and onto mapping:

$$
f: G_{a} / / \operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R \rightarrow G_{a} R:(\mathbf{b}, A) \operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R \mapsto(\mathbf{b}, A) R
$$

where $G_{a} / / \operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R$ is the quotient set of $\operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R$ in $G$.

This bijective mapping is well-defined since if $\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)=(\mathbf{b}, A) g, g \in \operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R$, then $\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R=(\mathbf{b}, A) \operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R$ and $\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right) R=(\mathbf{b}, A) g R=(\mathbf{b}, A) R$.

This fact tells us that we can identify the members of the class of rigid motions $(\mathbf{b}, A) \operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R$ because after acting on the configuration $R$ they all produce the same outcome $(\mathbf{b}, A) R$.

Now we consider the orbit classification problem of the $H_{3}$ system. There are three situations for the configuration $R$ : non-collinear, collinear and one-point-coincident. We will show in a theorem that the isotropy subgroups corresponding to these three kinds of $R$ are of different dimensions, and consequently so are the orbits. We need the following lemma and corollary as a preparation.

Lemma. If $A \in \operatorname{SO}(3)$, and $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, then $A\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)=A \mathbf{e}_{1} \times A \mathbf{e}_{2}$.

Proof. : For $A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$, by the lemma in the previous chapter, we assume that $A=R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)=\mathbf{u u}^{T}+\left[I-\mathbf{u u}^{T}\right] \cos \theta+[\mathbf{u} \times] \sin \theta$, where $R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)$ represents the rotation along the axis $\mathbf{u}$ through an angle $\theta$. Then we have
$A\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{u}\left[\mathbf{u} \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right]+\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{u}\left[\mathbf{u} \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right]\right\} \cos \theta+\mathbf{u} \times\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \sin \theta$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times\left(A \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \\
& =\left\{\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)+\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\right] \cos \theta+\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{1} \sin \theta\right\} \\
& \quad \times\left\{\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)+\left[\mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right] \cos \theta+\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2} \sin \theta\right\} \\
& =\cos \theta\left[\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)+\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\sin \theta\left[\mathbf{u} \times\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+(\cos \theta)^{2}\left[\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)-\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+(\sin \theta)^{2}\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\sin \theta \cos \theta\left[\mathbf{e}_{1} \times\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)-\mathbf{u} \times\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$=\cdots$ (we will show the calculation details after this equation)

$$
=\mathbf{u}\left[\mathbf{u} \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right]+\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{u}\left[\mathbf{u} \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right]\right\} \cos \theta+\mathbf{u} \times\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \sin \theta .
$$

Here are the calculation details: because of the identity $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \times \mathbf{c}=-\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c})+$ $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})$, the coefficient of $\cos \theta$ is (denoted as equ.1.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_{1} & \times \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)+\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \\
& =\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)-\mathbf{e}_{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\right] \times \mathbf{u} \\
& =\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times \mathbf{u}\right] \times \mathbf{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u})+\mathbf{u}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}\right] \\
& =\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{u}\left[\mathbf{u} \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient of $\sin \theta$ is (denoted as equ.2.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} & \times\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \\
& =-\left[\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\right] \times \mathbf{u}+\left[\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\right] \times \mathbf{u} \\
& =\left\{\mathbf{u} \times\left[-\mathbf{e}_{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)+\mathbf{e}_{1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right)\right]\right\} \times \mathbf{u} \\
& =\left\{\mathbf{u} \times\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times \mathbf{u}\right]\right\} \times \mathbf{u} \\
& =\left\{\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \times \mathbf{u}\right] \times \mathbf{u}\right\} \times \mathbf{u} \\
& =\left\{-\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u})+\mathbf{u}\left[\mathbf{u} \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right]\right\} \times \mathbf{u} \\
& =-\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \times \mathbf{u} \\
& =\mathbf{u} \times\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient of $\sin ^{2} \theta$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{u} & \left.\times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{e}_{1}\left[\mathbf{u} \cdot\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right]-\mathbf{u}\left[\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbf{u}\left[\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \times \mathbf{u}\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbf{u}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the terms involving $\cos ^{2} \theta$ and $\sin ^{2} \theta$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos ^{2} \theta\{ & \left.\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{u}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& +\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \mathbf{u}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}\right] \\
= & \cos ^{2} \theta\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{u}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\mathbf{u}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}\right]\right\}+\mathbf{u}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \cos ^{2} \theta\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{u}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}\right]-\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{u}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\right\}+\mathbf{u}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}\right] \\
= & \mathbf{u}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The last step of the above equality is because the coefficient of $\cos ^{2} \theta$ vanishes by equ.1.

The coefficient of $\sin \theta \cos \theta$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_{1} & \times\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)-\mathbf{u} \times\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times \mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times \mathbf{e}_{2} \\
& =\mathbf{e}_{1} \times\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \times \mathbf{u}+\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times \mathbf{e}_{2}(\text { by equ. } 2) \\
& =-\left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \times \mathbf{e}_{1}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \times \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \times \mathbf{u}-\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{u}\right) \times \mathbf{e}_{2} \\
& =\mathbf{0} \text { (by Jacobi identity) } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary. If $A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$, and $A \mathbf{x}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{1}, A \mathbf{x}_{2}=\mathbf{x}_{2}$, where $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}$ are orthogonal unit vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, then $A=I$.

Proof. We define $\mathbf{x}_{3}=\mathbf{x}_{1} \times \mathbf{x}_{2}$, then $X=\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{3}\right)$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. By the lemma, $A X=X$, then $A=A\left(X X^{T}\right)=(A X) X^{T}=X X^{T}=I$.

## Theorem.

$$
\operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R= \begin{cases}\{(\mathbf{0}, I)\}, & \text { if } R \text { is a non-collinear } \\ \left\{\left((I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}, A\right) \mid A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)\right\}, & \text { configuration. } \\ \left\{\left(\left(I-R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)\right) \mathbf{R}_{1}, R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)\right) \mid \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}, & \text { if } \mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{R}_{2}=\mathbf{R}_{3}\end{cases}
$$

where in the 3 rd case $\mathbf{u}$ is a unit vector in $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{3}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right\}$.

Proof. : Suppose $(b, A) \in \operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R$. In the case that $R$ is non-collinear, $\left\{\mathbf{R}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{3}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right\}$ is a linearly independent set in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{R}_{1}, \\
& \mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{2}=\mathbf{R}_{2}, \\
& \mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{3}=\mathbf{R}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right)=\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{1}, \\
& A\left(\mathbf{R}_{3}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right)=\mathbf{R}_{3}-\mathbf{R}_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $A$ has the eigenvalue 1 , and its eigenspace is at least 2 dimensional. Let $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right\}$ be orthonormal basis of $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{3}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right\}$, then $A \mathbf{x}_{1}=\mathbf{x}_{1}, A \mathbf{x}_{2}=\mathbf{x}_{2}$. By the corollary, we have $A=I$. Together with $\mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{R}_{1}$, we have $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{0}$ and $(\mathbf{b}, A)=(\mathbf{0}, I)$. Thus, $\operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R=\{(\mathbf{0}, I)\}$, which is 0 dimensional.

Let's consider the second case: $\mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{R}_{2}=\mathbf{R}_{3}$. We have $(\mathbf{b}, A) \mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{1}=$ $\mathbf{R}_{1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}=(I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}$. Then $\operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R \subseteq\left\{\left((I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}, A\right), A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)\right\}$; it is quite clear that the reverse inclusion also holds, thus $\operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R=\left\{\left((I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}, A\right), A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)\right\}$; it is 3 dimensional since $A=R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)$ has 3 free variables.

The third case is that $R$ is collinear but it is not the case that $\mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{R}_{2}=\mathbf{R}_{3}$. Let $\mathbf{u}$ be a unit vector in $\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{3}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right\}$ (the space is one dimensional). We have $A \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{u}$. By the argument in the Lemmas developed in the previous section, for the eigenvalue 1 of $A$, the corresponding eigenspace is either 3 dimensional, in which case $A=I$, or 1 dimensional, in which case $A=R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)$, for some $\theta \in(0,2 \pi)$. Summing up these two cases, we can represent $A=R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)$, for some $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$. So $A$ has one free variable $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$. $(\mathbf{b}, A) \mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{R}_{1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{b}=(I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}$. Then we have shown that $\operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}}(R) \subseteq\left\{\left(\left(I-R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)\right) \mathbf{R}_{1}, R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)\right) \mid \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}$.

We are going to show the reverse inclusion. Suppose $\left((I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}, A\right) \in\{((I-$ $\left.\left.\left.R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)\right) \mathbf{R}_{1}, R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)\right) \mid \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}$, we claim $\left((I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}, A\right) \mathbf{R}_{i}=\mathbf{R}_{i}, i=1,2,3$, and hence $\left\{\left(\left(I-R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)\right) \mathbf{R}_{1}, R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)\right) \mid \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}}(R)$.

To see,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}, A\right) \mathbf{R}_{1} & =(I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}+A \mathbf{R}_{1} \\
& =\mathbf{R}_{1} ; \\
\left((I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}, A\right) \mathbf{R}_{2} & =(I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}+A \mathbf{R}_{2} \\
& =\mathbf{R}_{1}+A\left(\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{R}_{1}+\left(\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{R}_{2} ; \\
\left((I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}, A\right) \mathbf{R}_{3} & =(I-A) \mathbf{R}_{1}+A \mathbf{R}_{3} \\
& =\mathbf{R}_{1}+A\left(\mathbf{R}_{3}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{R}_{1}+\left(\mathbf{R}_{3}-\mathbf{R}_{1}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{R}_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In sum, we have $\operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}}(R)=\left\{\left(\left(I-R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)\right) \mathbf{R}_{1}, R\left(e^{i \theta}, \mathbf{u}\right)\right) \mid \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\}$.
By the theorem above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim} G_{a} R \\
& =\operatorname{dim}\left(G_{a} / / I \operatorname{so}_{G_{a}} R\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} G_{a}-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Iso}_{G_{a}} R \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
6-0=6, \quad \text { if } R \text { is non-collinear configuration; } \\
6-3=3, \quad \text { if } \mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{R}_{2}=\mathbf{R}_{3} ; \\
6-1=5, \quad \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the next section we will see that the 6 dimensional orbits form the interior of a cone, the 5 dimensional orbits form the surface of the cone, and the 3 dimensional orbit form the tip of the cone.

### 5.2. Different Coordinates on the Shape Space of the $H_{3}$ System.

In this section we discuss the shape space of the $H_{3}$ system using three particular coordinate systems. Because the conformation (or shape) of the $H_{3}$ molecule only depends on the relative positions of its nuclei, the coordinates need to be internal. It is also desirable to define a coordinate system that treats the three nuclei in a symmetrical way. There are three internuclear distances for three nuclei. We are going to use them and some appropriate functions of them to define internal coordinates.

The first coordinate system on the shape space is ( $l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23}$ ), where $l_{i j}=\| \mathbf{R}_{j}-$ $\mathbf{R}_{i} \|, i, j=1,2,3, i \neq j$ (see figure 9 ). These are constrained by the triangle inequalities $l_{23} \leq l_{12}+l_{13}, l_{13} \leq l_{12}+l_{23}, l_{12} \leq l_{23}+l_{13}$.

The conformation of the molecular system can be identified by the 3 internuclear distances $\left(l_{12}, l_{23}, l_{13}\right)$. We claim that these distances of the $H_{3}$ molecular system do not change after any rigid motion $(\mathbf{b}, A)$ and hence we can identify them with an orbit. To see this, after the rigid motion $(\mathbf{b}, A)$ is applied, the square of the new internuclear distances are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{i j}^{\prime 2} & =\left\|\mathbf{R}_{j}^{\prime}-\mathbf{R}_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\left(\mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{j}\right)-\left(\mathbf{b}+A \mathbf{R}_{i}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|A\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left[A\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)\right]^{T} A\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)^{T} A^{T} A\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 9. $\left(l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23}\right)$ Coordinate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{i}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{R}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{i}\right) \\
& =\left\|\mathbf{R}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& =l_{i j}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i, j=1,2,3, i \neq j$.
The second coordinate system on the shape space is $\left(l_{12}^{2}, l_{13}^{2}, l_{23}^{2}\right)$. (See figure 10.)
In the following lemma, we will show that the collinear configurations are on the surface of a cone in this coordinate system.

Lemma. We define

$$
s_{1}:=l_{12}^{2}, s_{2}:=l_{13}^{2}, s_{3}:=l_{23}^{2}
$$



Figure 10. The Collinear configurations are on the surface of the cone $\mathcal{C}$. The intersection of the plane $s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}=Q$ with the three surfaces $\sqrt{s_{1}}+\sqrt{s_{2}}=\sqrt{s_{3}}, \sqrt{s_{2}}+\sqrt{s_{3}}=\sqrt{s_{1}}$, and $\sqrt{s_{1}}+\sqrt{s_{3}}=\sqrt{s_{2}}$ is a circle centered at $C=(Q / 3, Q / 3, Q / 3)$ with radius $\frac{Q}{\sqrt{6}}$. Hence the cone $\mathcal{C}$ is bounded by the three surfaces $\sqrt{s_{1}}+\sqrt{s_{2}}=\sqrt{s_{3}}, \sqrt{s_{2}}+\sqrt{s_{3}}=\sqrt{s_{1}}$, and $\sqrt{s_{1}}+\sqrt{s_{3}}=\sqrt{s_{2}}$. The axis of the cone is $s_{1}=s_{2}=s_{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}:= & \left\{\left(l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid l_{12}+l_{23} \geq l_{13}, l_{13}+l_{23} \geq l_{12}, l_{12}+l_{13} \geq l_{23} ; l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23} \geq 0\right\} \\
\mathcal{C}:= & \left\{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} \geq 0 ;\left(s_{1}-\frac{s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}}{3}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(s_{2}-\frac{s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}}{3}\right)^{2}+\left(s_{3}-\frac{s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}}{3}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{\left(s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}\right)^{2}}{6}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the mapping $\left(l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23}\right) \mapsto\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ maps $\mathcal{Q}$ bijectively onto $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Because the mapping $\left(l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23}\right) \mapsto\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ maps $\left\{\left(l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23}\right) \mid\right.$ $\left.l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23} \geq 0\right\}$ bijectively onto $\left\{\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \mid s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} \geq 0\right\}$, it is enough to show, for every point $\left(l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23}\right)$ on the boundary of $\mathcal{Q}$, its image is on the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$. Let $C$ be the point on the intersection of the plane $s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}=Q$ with the line $s_{1}=s_{2}=s_{3}$; then $C=(Q / 3, Q / 3, Q / 3)$. Let $A=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ be an arbitrary point on the intersection of the plane $s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}=Q$ with the surface $\sqrt{s_{1}}+\sqrt{s_{2}}=\sqrt{s_{3}}$.

Note that

$$
l_{12}^{4}+l_{13}^{4}+\left(l_{12}+l_{13}\right)^{4}=2\left(l_{12}^{4}+l_{13}^{4}\right)+6 l_{12}^{2} l_{13}^{2}+4 l_{12}^{3} l_{13}+4 l_{12} l_{13}^{3} .
$$

For the point $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ on the surface of $\sqrt{s_{1}}+\sqrt{s_{2}}=\sqrt{s_{3}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{2} & =\left(s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(l_{12}^{2}+l_{13}^{2}+l_{23}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left[l_{12}^{2}+l_{13}^{2}+\left(l_{12}+l_{13}\right)^{2}\right]^{2} \\
& =l_{12}^{4}+l_{13}^{4}+\left(l_{12}+l_{13}\right)^{4}+2 l_{12}^{2} l_{13}^{2}+2 l_{12}^{2}\left(l_{12}+l_{13}\right)^{2}+2 l_{13}^{2}\left(l_{12}+l_{13}\right)^{2} \\
& =l_{12}^{4}+l_{13}^{4}+\left(l_{12}+l_{13}\right)^{4}+2\left(l_{12}^{4}+l_{13}^{4}\right)+6 l_{12}^{2} l_{13}^{2}+4 l_{12}^{3} l_{13}+4 l_{12} l_{13}^{3} \\
& =2\left[l_{12}^{4}+l_{13}^{4}+\left(l_{12}+l_{13}\right)^{4}\right](\text { by the previous equality }) . \\
\Rightarrow & l_{12}^{4}+l_{13}^{4}+\left(l_{12}+l_{13}\right)^{4}=\frac{Q^{2}}{2} . \\
\|A C\|^{2} & =\left(s_{1}-\frac{Q}{3}\right)^{2}+\left(s_{2}-\frac{Q}{3}\right)^{2}+\left(s_{3}-\frac{Q}{3}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(l_{12}^{2}-\frac{Q}{3}\right)^{2}+\left(l_{13}^{2}-\frac{Q}{3}\right)^{2}+\left(l_{23}^{2}-\frac{Q}{3}\right)^{2} \\
& =l_{12}^{4}+l_{13}^{4}+l_{23}^{4}-2 \frac{Q}{3}\left(l_{12}^{2}+l_{13}^{2}+l_{23}^{2}\right)+3\left(\frac{Q}{3}\right)^{2} \\
& =l_{12}^{4}+l_{13}^{4}+\left(l_{12}+l_{13}\right)^{4}-\frac{Q^{2}}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

(the above equality holds because that $A$ is on the plane $l_{12}^{2}+l_{13}^{2}+l_{23}^{2}=Q$ and $A$ is on the surface $l_{12}+l_{13}=l_{23}$ )

$$
=\frac{Q^{2}}{6} .
$$

Therefore $\|A C\|=\frac{Q}{\sqrt{6}}$ is a constant, and hence the intersection of the plane $s_{1}+s_{2}+$ $s_{3}=Q$ with each of the three surfaces $l_{12}+l_{13}=l_{23}, l_{13}+l_{23}=l_{12}$ and $l_{23}+l_{12}=l_{13}$ is a circular arc centered at $C=(Q / 3, Q / 3, Q / 3)$ with radius $\frac{Q}{\sqrt{6}}$. So $A$ is on the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$. In the other words, the collinear configurations are on the surface of the cone $\mathcal{C}$.

The third coordinate system is $(Q, s, \theta)$ (see figure 10) where $Q \in[0,+\infty), s \in$ $[0,1], \theta \in[0,2 \pi]$, are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=l_{12}^{2}+l_{13}^{2}+l_{23}^{2}=s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}, \\
& Q s \cos \theta=2 l_{23}^{2}-l_{12}^{2}-l_{13}^{2}=2 s_{3}-s_{1}-s_{2}, \\
& Q s \sin \theta=\sqrt{3}\left(l_{13}^{2}-l_{12}^{2}\right)=\sqrt{3}\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will explain the meaning of this coordinate system as follows. As we showed above, the intersection of the plane $s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}=Q$ with $\mathcal{C}$ is a circle together with its interior. The radius of this circle is $\|A C\|=\frac{Q}{\sqrt{6}}$ (see figure 10 ).

We define $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ to be the unit vector pointing from $C\left(\frac{Q}{3}, \frac{Q}{3}, \frac{Q}{3}\right)$ to $(0,0, Q)$; then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_{1} & =\frac{(0,0, Q)-\left(\frac{Q}{3}, \frac{Q}{3}, \frac{Q}{3}\right)}{\left\|(0,0, Q)-\left(\frac{Q}{3}, \frac{Q}{3}, \frac{Q}{3}\right)\right\|} \\
& =\frac{\left(-\frac{Q}{3},-\frac{Q}{3}, \frac{2 Q}{3}\right)}{Q \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-1,-1,2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $\mathbf{e}_{3}$ to be the unit vector pointing from $C$ to $O$. then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_{3} & =\left(-\frac{Q}{3},-\frac{Q}{3},-\frac{Q}{3}\right) \frac{1}{\frac{Q}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
& =\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{e}_{2} & =\mathbf{e}_{3} \times \mathbf{e}_{1} \\
& =\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-1,-1,2) \\
& =\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathbf{v}$ be a vector pointing from $C$ to $A\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$, one point in the cone $\mathcal{C}$; then

$$
\mathbf{v}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)-\left(\frac{Q}{3}, \frac{Q}{3}, \frac{Q}{3}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(s_{1}-\frac{Q}{3}, s_{2}-\frac{Q}{3}, s_{3}-\frac{Q}{3}\right) \\
\|\mathbf{v}\| & =\sqrt{\left(s_{1}-\frac{Q}{3}\right)^{2}+\left(s_{2}-\frac{Q}{3}\right)^{2}+\left(s_{3}-\frac{Q}{3}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\theta$ be the angle between $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{v} & =\mathbf{e}_{1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right)+\mathbf{e}_{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{e}_{1}\|\mathbf{v}\| \cos \theta+\mathbf{e}_{2}\|\mathbf{v}\| \sin \theta, \\
\|\mathbf{v}\| \cos \theta & =\mathbf{e}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{v} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-1,-1,2)\left(s_{1}-\frac{Q}{3}, s_{2}-\frac{Q}{3}, s_{3}-\frac{Q}{3}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(2 s_{3}-s_{1}-s_{2}\right), \\
Q s \cos \theta & =2 s_{3}-s_{2}-s_{1}=\sqrt{6}\|\mathbf{v}\| \cos \theta \\
\Rightarrow s & =\sqrt{6} \frac{\|\mathbf{v}\|}{Q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $C$ is on the bounding circle $\|\mathbf{v}\|=\frac{Q}{\sqrt{6}}$ and $s=1$. A similar calculation confirms that $\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{e}_{3}\left\|\mathbf{e}_{1}\right\|\|\mathbf{v}\| \sin \theta$. In summary, in the third coordinate system $(Q, s, \theta)$, $Q=\sqrt{3}\|O C\|, s=\sqrt{6} \frac{\|\mathbf{v}\|}{Q}$, and $\theta$ is the angle between $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and vector $\mathbf{v}$ measured as a positive rotation around the axis $\mathbf{e}_{3}$. This coordinate system is used in the papers [2], [15], [45] and [41].

Standard Configuration. In $\S 6.3 .1$, we will find it necessary to introduce the concept of a standard configuration. Given a conformation, we want to define a particular configuration that is a member of that conformation, and we will use that configuration to define the Hamiltonian. Moreover, we will find that when a symmetry operation acts on a three-atom molecule, it is desirable to have the geometric center of the triangle to be the origin.

Definition. Given the conformation $b=\left(l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23}\right)$ of the non-collinear $H_{3}$ system, and given $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right), \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{2}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{c}l_{12} \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right), \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{3}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{c}l_{13} \cos \theta \\ l_{13} \sin \theta \\ 0\end{array}\right)$, where $\theta=$
$\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{12}^{2}+l_{13}^{2}-l_{23}^{2}}{2 l_{12} l_{13}}\right)$, we define a standard configuration $\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}(b), \mathbf{R}_{2}(b), \mathbf{R}_{3}(b)\right)$ of the $H_{3}$ system by giving the three nuclei ( $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(b), \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{2}(b), \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{3}(b)$ ) specific new coordinates as follows. The origin of the coordinates is at $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(b)=\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(b)+\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{2}(b)+\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{3}(b)}{3}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{1}{3}\left(l_{12}+l_{13} \cos \theta\right) \\ \frac{1}{3} l_{13} \sin \theta \\ 0\end{array}\right)$. Thus define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{R}_{1}(b)=\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1}(b)-\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{1}{3}\left(l_{12}+l_{13} \cos \theta\right) \\
-\frac{1}{3} l_{13} \sin \theta \\
0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathbf{R}_{2}(b)=\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{2}(b)-\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{3}\left(2 l_{12}-l_{13} \cos \theta\right) \\
-\frac{1}{3} l_{13} \sin \theta \\
0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathbf{R}_{3}(b)=\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{3}(b)-\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{3}\left(-l_{12}+2 l_{13} \cos \theta\right) \\
\frac{2}{3} l_{13} \sin \theta \\
0
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we understand that $\cos \theta=\frac{l_{12}^{2}+l_{13}^{2}-l_{23}^{2}}{2 l_{12} l_{13}}$, and $\sin \theta=\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{l_{12}^{2}+l_{12}^{2}-l_{23}^{2}}{2 l_{12} l_{13}}\right)^{2}}$. This $\theta$ is different from the $\theta$ in the previous section.

Therefore $\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}(b), \mathbf{R}_{2}(b), \mathbf{R}_{3}(b)\right)$ is completely determined by the conformation $\left(l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{23}\right)$ of the non-collinear $H_{3}$ system.

## Chapter 6

## Fiber Bundles and Connections in $H_{3}$ System

In this chapter we introduce the differential geometry concepts: fiber bundles, and the special case of Hermitian vector bundles, on which we define connections, parallel translation, and the covariant derivative. We illustrate these concepts and results in two concrete cases: a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and the $H_{3}$ system.

### 6.1. Fiber Bundles and Connections

Definition. A fiber of a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is the pre-image of a point $y \in Y$ : $f^{-1}(y)=\{x \in X \mid f(x)=y\}$.

Definition. Suppose $M$ is a Hausdorff topological space and $n \geq 1$ is an integer. Suppose $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a collection of homeomorphisms (a bijective map between two topological spaces which is continuous in both directions) from open sets of $M$ to open sets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where
(1) $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}, \phi_{i}: \operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{codom}\left(\phi_{i}\right), \operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$ is open in $M$, and $\operatorname{codom}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(2) $M=\cup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$.
(3) For all $i, j \in \mathcal{I}, \phi_{i} \circ \phi_{j}^{-1}: \phi_{j}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{j}\right)\right) \rightarrow \phi_{i}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{j}\right)\right)$ is $C^{\infty}$ smooth; notice that $\phi_{i}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{j}\right)\right)$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \forall i, j \in \mathcal{I}$.
(4) (Maximality) If $U$ is open in $M$ and $V$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\phi: U \rightarrow V$ is a homeomorphism and if for all $i \in \mathcal{I}, \phi_{i} \circ \phi^{-1}: \phi\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \cap U\right) \rightarrow$ $\phi_{i}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \cap U\right)$ is a diffeomorphism (a map between open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
which is infinitely differentiable and has a infinitely differentiable inverse), then there exists $j \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\phi=\phi_{j}$.

Then $\left(M,\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ is called a $n$-dimensional smooth manifold. If it will not cause confusion, we denote a $n$-dimensional smooth manifold as $M_{n}$ or just $M$ when the dimensionality of the manifold is not in focus.

Definition. Suppose $m$-dimensional $\left(M,\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ and $n$-dimensional $\left(N,\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\right)$ are smooth manifolds and $f: M_{m} \rightarrow N_{n}$ satisfies:
(1) $f$ is continuous.
(2) $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}, \forall j \in \mathcal{J}, \psi_{j} \circ f \circ \phi_{i}^{-1}: \phi_{i}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \cap f^{-1}\left(\operatorname{dom}\left(\psi_{j}\right)\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is smooth.

Then $f$ is called a smooth map between $M_{m}$ and $N_{n}$.

Definition. If $f: M_{m} \rightarrow N_{n}$ is a bijective smooth map between smooth manifolds $M_{m}$ and $N_{n}$ and $f^{-1}: N_{n} \rightarrow M_{m}$ is a smooth map also, then $f$ is a diffeomorphism. This implies that $n=m$.

Definition. Let $E, B$ and $F$ be three smooth manifolds; let $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ be a smooth map; let $U$ be an open subset of $B$. A local trivialization over $U$ is a diffeomorphism $\tau: U \times F \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$ s.t. $\forall b \in U, \forall y \in F, \pi(\tau(b, y))=b$ (see figure 11).

Definition. Let $G$ be a Lie group (a smooth manifold which is also a group and which satisfies the additional condition that the group operations are smooth) and $F$ be a smooth manifold. A (smooth) left action of $G$ on $F$ is a (smooth) map $G \times F \rightarrow F$ defined as $(g, y) \mapsto g \cdot y$ which satisfies $g \cdot(h \cdot y)=(g h) \cdot y$ and $e \cdot y=y$, for all $y \in F$, where $e$ is the identity of $G$. A left action of $G$ on $F$ is faithful if for any $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G, g_{1} \neq g_{2}$, then $g_{1} \cdot y \neq g_{2} \cdot y$, for some $y \in F$.


Figure 11. Local Trivialization $\tau$ Over $U$.

Definition. Let $E$ be a smooth manifold, called the total space; let $B$ be a smooth manifold, called the base space; let $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ be a smooth map; let $F$ be a smooth manifold, called the standard fiber; let $G$ be a Lie group, called the structure group; assume that $G \times F \rightarrow F$ defines a smooth left action of $G$ on $F$; let $\left\{\tau_{i}: U_{i} \times F \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a family of local trivializations satisfy $\pi\left(\tau_{i}(b, y)\right)=$ $b, \forall i \in \mathcal{I}, b \in U_{i}$, where $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an open covering of $B ;\left(E, B, \pi, F, G,\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ determines a fiber bundle with standard fiber $F$ and structure group $G$ if there is a family $\left\{g_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G\right\}_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{I}^{2}}$ of smooth maps such that $\forall b \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}, \forall y \in F,\left(\tau_{i}^{-1} \circ\right.$


Figure 12. Two Overlapping trivializations and Parallel translation
$\left.\tau_{j}\right)(b, y)=\left(b, g_{i j}(b) \cdot y\right) .\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is said to be a atlas of smooth local trivializations with the smooth cocycle $\left\{g_{i j}\right\}_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{I}^{2}}$ (see figure 12). Suppose $\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $\left\{\tilde{\tau}_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ are atlases of local trivializations with $G$-valued cocycles, then we say $\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \stackrel{G}{\sim}\left\{\tau_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ iff $\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \cup\left\{\tilde{\tau}_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ has a $G$-valued cocycle. $\stackrel{G}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation on the set of atlases of local trivializations of fiber bundles with structure group $G$. We say $\left(E, B, \pi, F, G,\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ and $\left(E, B, \pi, F, G,\left\{\tilde{\tau}_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}\right)$ determine the same fiber bundle with standard fiber $F$ and structure group $G$ iff $\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \stackrel{G}{\sim}\left\{\tilde{\tau}_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$.

In particular, if $F=\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and $G=\mathfrak{U}(k):=\left\{U \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k} \mid U^{\dagger} U=I\right\}$ and $G \times F \rightarrow F$ is the usual left action of $\mathfrak{U}(k)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ (matrix-vector multiplication), then a fiber bundle $\left(E, B, \pi, \mathbb{C}^{k}, \mathfrak{U}(k),\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ with standard fiber $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and structure group $\mathcal{U}(k)$ is called a Hermitian vector bundle.

In the case of a Hermitian vector bundle, the local trivialization $\tau_{i}$ determines a field of "orthonormal" bases of the fibers in $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ in that $\forall b \in U_{i}, \pi^{-1}(b)$ has the basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right\}:=\left\{\tau_{i}\left(b, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{1}\right), \cdots, \tau_{i}\left(b, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k}\right)\right\}$, where $\left\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k}\right\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{k} . \pi^{-1}(b)=E_{b}$ is equipped with a unique $\mathbb{C}$-vector space structure and a unique inner product such that $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis. These structures are independent of $\tau_{i}$ such that $b \in U_{i}$.

FACT. If $g:(-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(k)$ is a smooth map with $g(0)=I$, then for all $t_{0} \in[0,1]$, we have $g^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) g\left(t_{0}\right)^{-1} \in T_{I} \mathfrak{U}(k)$, where $T_{I} \mathfrak{U}(k)$ is the tangent space of $\mathfrak{U}(k)$ at $I$.

Proof. Fix $t_{0} \in[0,1]$. We define a map $F: \mathbb{C}^{k \times k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}: h \mapsto h g\left(t_{0}\right)^{-1}$. Since $F$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, $D F\left(g\left(t_{0}\right)\right): T_{g\left(t_{0}\right)} \mathbb{C}^{k \times k} \rightarrow T_{I} \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}:\left(g\left(t_{0}\right), \tilde{h}\right) \mapsto\left(I, \tilde{h} g\left(t_{0}\right)^{-1}\right)$. Let $F_{r}:$ $\mathfrak{U}(k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(k)$ be the restriction of $F$ to $\mathfrak{U}(k)$, then $D F_{r}\left(g\left(t_{0}\right)\right): T_{g\left(t_{0}\right)} \mathfrak{U}(k) \rightarrow T_{I} \mathfrak{U}(k)$ is a restriction of $D F\left(g\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$. Since $\left(g\left(t_{0}\right), g^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \in T_{g\left(t_{0}\right)} \mathfrak{U}(k)$, we get $D F_{r}\left(g\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\left(g\left(t_{0}\right)\right.$, $\left.g^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=\left(I, g^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) g\left(t_{0}\right)^{-1}\right) \in T_{I} \mathfrak{U}(k)$.

Remark: Formally $T_{g} \mathfrak{U}(k)$ consists of pairs $(g, h)$, but we often informally write $h \in T_{g} \mathfrak{U}(k)$.

Definition. A Lie algebra is a vector space $V$ equipped with a bilinear Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]: V \times V \rightarrow V$ which satisfies $\forall A, B, C \in V$,
(1) antisymmetry: $[A, B]=-[B, A]$.
(2) Jacobi identity: $[A,[B, C]]+[B,[C, A]]+[C,[A, B]]=0$.

FACT. $\mathfrak{u}(k):=T_{I} \mathfrak{U}(k)=\left\{A \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k} \mid A^{\dagger}=-A\right\}$ is a vector subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$, which is also closed under the commutator: $[A, B]=A B-B A \in \mathfrak{u}(k), \forall A, B \in \mathfrak{u}(k)$. So $\mathfrak{u}(k)$ is a Lie algebra.

FACT. If $\omega:(-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(k)$ is a smooth map and $g:(\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ is the solution of the ODE initial value problem: $g^{\prime}(t)=\omega(t) g(t), t \in(-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon), g(0)=I$, then $g\left(t_{0}\right) \in \mathfrak{U}(k), \forall t_{0} \in[0,1]$.

Proof. $\frac{d}{d t}\left[g(t)^{\dagger} g(t)\right]=g^{\prime}(t)^{\dagger} g(t)+g(t)^{\dagger} g^{\prime}(t)=[\omega(t) g(t)]^{\dagger} g(t)+g(t)^{\dagger} \omega(t) g(t)=$ $g(t)^{\dagger}\left[\omega(t)^{\dagger}+\omega(t)\right] g(t)=0$. Since $g(0)^{\dagger} g(0)=I$ we have $g\left(t_{0}\right)^{\dagger} g\left(t_{0}\right)=I, \forall t_{0} \in[0,1]$. i.e. $g\left(t_{0}\right) \in \mathfrak{U}(k), \forall t_{0} \in[0,1]$.

Definition. If $U$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, T U=U \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is its tangent space, $W$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, then a $W$-valued 1 -form is a smooth map, $\omega: T U \rightarrow W$ s.t. $\forall b \in U, T_{b} U \rightarrow W:(b, v) \mapsto \omega(b, v)$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear.

In the following we will introduce the concepts of parallel translation and connection in the context of Hermitian vector bundles instead of general fiber bundles. The reason is that we will focus on the two systems: the $H_{3}$ system and the surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, in both of which cases Hermitian vector bundles are to be defined. These concepts for the fiber bundle can be developed in a similar way.

Definition. Let $\tau_{i}: U_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ be a local trivialization of the Hermitian vector bundle $\left(E, B, \pi, \mathbb{C}^{k}, \mathfrak{U}(k),\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ where $U_{i} \subset B$ is open. Using coordinate charts on $B$ we will assume $U_{i}$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $\omega_{i}: T U_{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(k)$ be a $\mathfrak{u}(k)$-valued 1-form. Let $\gamma:(-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) \rightarrow U_{i}$ be a smooth path and let $g_{i}:(-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(k)$ solve the ODE initial value problem $g_{i}^{\prime}(t)=-\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g_{i}(t), g_{i}(0)=I$. Then the parallel translation map over $\gamma$ for the local trivialization $\tau_{i}$ is an isometric $\mathbb{C}$-linear $\operatorname{map} h_{\gamma}^{(i)}: \pi^{-1}(\{\gamma(0)\}) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\{\gamma(1)\})$ such that $h_{\gamma}^{(i)}\left(\tau_{i}(\gamma(0), y)\right)=\tau_{i}\left(\gamma(1), g_{i}(1) \cdot y\right)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{C}^{k}$.


Figure 13. Parallel translation in two local trivializations
The parallel translation map above is defined on a curve that is entirely in the open set of a local trivialization. Now we want to extend the definition of the parallel translation map over an arbitrary smooth curve, which is independent of the covering of the curve.

First, we must show that $h_{\gamma}^{(i)}=h_{\gamma}^{(j)}$ for a curve $\gamma$ lying entirely in the open set $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ of two local trivializations $\tau_{i}$ and $\tau_{j}$. Thus we can write $h_{\gamma}=h_{\gamma}^{(i)}$ whenever range $(\gamma) \in U_{i}$.

FAct. Suppose $i, j \in \mathcal{I}, i \neq j$; and $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$. Then the connection 1-forms $\omega_{i}$ and $\omega_{j}$ determine the same parallel translation map over each smooth path $\gamma$ : $(-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) \rightarrow U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ if and only if $\omega_{i}$ and $\omega_{j}$ satisfy the "transformation rule" i.e. for all $(x, v) \in T\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$,

$$
\omega_{j}(x, v)=-D g_{j i}(x)(v) g_{j i}(x)^{-1}+g_{j i}(x) \omega_{i}(x, v) g_{j i}(x)^{-1}
$$

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Let $(x, v) \in T\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$ and $\gamma:(-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) \rightarrow T\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$ be a smooth curve such that $\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)=(x, v)$. Suppose the local connection 1-forms $\omega_{i}$ and $\omega_{j}$ determine the same parallel translation map over $\gamma$. Then the two ways from $y_{i}(0)$ to $y_{j}(t)$ pictured in figure 13 give the same $y_{j}(t)$.

Way 1: $y_{j}(t)=g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) y_{i}(t)$, where $y_{i}(t)=g_{i}(t) \cdot y_{i}(0) . \Rightarrow y_{j}(t)=g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) g_{i}(t)$. $y_{i}(0)$, where $g_{i}(t)$ solves $g_{i}^{\prime}(t)=-\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g_{i}(t), g_{i}(0)=I$.

Way 2: $y_{j}(t)=g_{j}(t) \cdot y_{j}(0)$, where $g_{j}$ solves $g_{j}^{\prime}(t)=-\omega_{j}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g_{j}(t), g_{j}(0)$ $=I, y_{j}(0)=g_{j i}(\gamma(0)) y_{i}(0) . \Rightarrow y_{j}(t)=g_{j}(t) g_{j i}(\gamma(0)) y_{i}(0)$.

Since $g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) g_{i}(t) \cdot y_{i}(0)=g_{j}(t) g_{j i}(\gamma(0)) y_{i}(0)$ holds for all $y_{i}(0) \in \mathbb{C}^{k}$, and that the left action of $\mathfrak{U}(k)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ is faithful, we have $g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) g_{i}(t)=g_{j}(t) g_{j i}(\gamma(0)) \Rightarrow$ $g_{j}(t)^{-1} g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) g_{i}(t)=g_{j i}(\gamma(0))$. Differentiating both sides with respect to $t$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \frac{d}{d t} g_{j i}(\gamma(0))=\frac{d}{d t}\left[g_{j}(t)^{-1} g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) g_{i}(t)\right] \\
= & -g_{j}(t)^{-1}\left[g_{j}^{\prime}(t) g_{j}(t)^{-1}\right] g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) g_{i}(t) \\
& +g_{j}(t)^{-1}\left[\frac{d}{d t} g_{j i}(\gamma(t))\right] g_{i}(t)+g_{j}(t)^{-1} g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) g_{i}^{\prime}(t) \\
= & g_{j}(t)^{-1} \omega_{j}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) g_{i}(t) \\
& +g_{j}(t)^{-1}\left\{\frac{d}{d t} g_{j i}(\gamma(t))-g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) \omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\} g_{i}(t) \\
= & g_{j}(t)^{-1}\left\{\omega_{j}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g_{j i}(\gamma(t))+\frac{d}{d t} g_{j i}(\gamma(t))-g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) \omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\} g_{i}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\omega_{j}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g_{j i}(\gamma(t))+\frac{d}{d t} g_{j i}(\gamma(t))-g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) \omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)=0$.
In particular, if $t=0$, we have
$\omega_{j}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)=-\left.\frac{d}{d t} g_{j i}(\gamma(t))\right|_{t=0} g_{j i}(\gamma(0))^{-1}+g_{j i}(\gamma(0)) \omega_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) g_{j i}(\gamma(0))^{-1}$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Suppose that the local connection 1-forms $\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, satisfy the transformation rule. Since the derivation of the above proof is invertible, it is clear that inverse claim holds.

Second, we claim that the parallel translation map $h_{\gamma}$ satisfies a subdivision property. To see, assume that $\gamma([0,1]) \subset U_{i}, \gamma_{1}=\left.\gamma\right|_{\left[0, t_{0}\right]}, \gamma_{2}=\left.\gamma\right|_{\left[t_{0}, 1\right]}$, where $t_{0}$ is arbitrary in $(0,1)$. Assume that the $g_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ corresponding to $h_{\gamma_{1}}$ solves $g_{1}^{\prime}(t)=$ $-\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g_{1}(t), t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] ; g_{1}(0)=I$; and the $g_{2}(1)$ corresponding to $h_{\gamma_{2}}$ solves $g_{2}^{\prime}(t)=-\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g_{2}(t), t \in\left[t_{0}, 1\right] ; g_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=I$. Let $h_{\gamma}=h_{\gamma_{2}} \circ h_{\gamma_{1}}$, then the corresponding $g(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}g_{1}(t) & \text { if } t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] ; \\ g_{2}(t) g_{1}\left(t_{0}\right) & \text { if } t \in\left[t_{0}, 1\right]\end{array}\right.$, it solves $g^{\prime}(t)=-\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g(t), t \in$ $[0,1] ; g(0)=I$.


Figure 14. Parallel translation independent of local trivialization in$\operatorname{dex} i$.

Here we showed that the parallel translation map $h_{\gamma}$ is independent of the bisubdivision of the path $\gamma$. The claim also holds for any finite subdivision of the path $\gamma$ by applying the proven result recursively finitely many times.

For the third step, consider an arbitrary path $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow B$. Consider two coverings $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ and $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ of $\gamma$, corresponding to two families of trivializations $\left\{\tau_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ and $\left\{\tau_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ respectively taken from the same atlas. Assume that $0=c_{0}<$ $\cdots<c_{m}=1$ and $\gamma \mid\left[c_{i-1}, c_{i}\right] \subset U_{i} ; 0=d_{0}<\cdots<d_{m}=1$ and $\gamma\left(\left[d_{j-1}, d_{j}\right]\right) \subset V_{j}$. Assume that the local connection 1-forms $\left\{\omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ satisfy the transformation law. For any $i=1, \cdots, m$, define $h_{\gamma_{i}^{\prime}}$ to be the parallel translation map over $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}:=\gamma \mid\left[c_{i-1}, c_{i}\right]$. Define $h_{\gamma}^{\prime}:=h_{\gamma_{m}^{\prime}} \circ h_{\gamma_{m-1}^{\prime}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{\gamma_{1}^{\prime}}$. Also define $h_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}:=h_{\gamma_{n}^{\prime \prime}} \circ h_{\gamma_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}}$, where $h_{\gamma_{j}^{\prime \prime}}$ is the parallel translation map over $\gamma_{j}^{\prime \prime}:=\gamma \mid\left[d_{j-1}, d_{j}\right]$ (see figure 14).

We claim that $h_{\gamma}^{\prime}=h_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}$. To see, let $\left\{e_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{p}=\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{m} \cup\left\{d_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{n}$, and assume that $0=e_{0}<\cdots<e_{p}=1$, i.e. $\left\{e_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{p}$ is a finer subdivision of $\gamma$ than $\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{m}$ and $\left\{d_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{n}$. By the subdivision property each $h_{\gamma_{i}^{\prime}}$ is a composition of $h_{\gamma_{k}}, k \in I_{i}$ and $\{1, \cdots, p\}=\cup_{i=1}^{m} I_{i}, I_{i} \cap I_{j}=\emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Therefore $h_{\gamma}^{\prime}=h_{\gamma_{p}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{\gamma_{1}}$. Similarly, $h_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}=h_{\gamma_{p}} \circ \cdots \circ h_{\gamma_{1}}$, so $h_{\gamma}^{\prime}=h_{\gamma}^{\prime \prime}$.

Here we show how to calculate $h_{\gamma}: \pi^{-1}(\{\gamma(0)\}) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\{\gamma(1)\})$ along $\gamma$. For $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}=1$, suppose $\gamma \mid\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right] \in U_{i}$ of local trivialization $\tau_{i}$, $i=1, \cdots, k$. We denote $h_{\gamma}\left[\tau_{1}(\gamma(0), y)\right]=\tau_{k}\left(\gamma(1), h_{\gamma, 1 k} \cdot y\right), \forall y \in F$, where $h_{\gamma, 1 k}$ is computed as follows: along $\gamma\left(\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right]\right) \subset U_{i}, g_{i}(t)$ solves the ODE initial value
problem $g_{i}^{\prime}(t)=-\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g_{i}(t), t \in\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right] ; g_{i}\left(t_{i-1}\right)=I$. Then

$$
h_{\gamma, 1 k}=g_{k}\left(t_{k}\right) \cdots\left[g_{32}\left(\gamma\left(t_{2}\right)\right) g_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)\right]\left[g_{21}\left(\gamma\left(t_{1}\right)\right) g_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right] .
$$

In particular, if the curve is a smooth loop, $\gamma(0)=\gamma(1)$, we denote $h_{\gamma, 1}:=g_{1 k}(\gamma(0)) h_{\gamma, 1 k}$ corresponding to the parallel translation map $h_{\gamma}$ in the trivialization $\tau_{1}$.

Definition. A connection is defined in the Hermitian vector bundle $\left(E, B, \pi, \mathbb{C}^{k}, \mathfrak{U}(k),\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ by a family $\left\{\left(U_{i}, \tau_{i}, \omega_{i}\right)\right\}$ where $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an open covering of $B,\left\{\tau_{i}: U_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a family of local trivializations with a smooth $\mathfrak{u}(k)$-valued cocycle $\left\{g_{i j}\right\}_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{I}^{2}}$, and $\left\{\omega_{i}: T U_{i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(k)\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a family of smooth $\mathfrak{u}(k)$-valued 1-forms, satisfying the transformation laws.

Definition. Let $B$ be the base space, $E$ the total space and $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is the bundle map. A (global) section is a smooth map $\psi: B \rightarrow E$ s.t. $\forall b \in B, \psi(b) \in$ $\pi^{-1}(\{b\})$. A local section is a smooth map $\psi: U \rightarrow E$ s.t. $\forall b \in U, \psi(b) \in \pi^{-1}(\{b\})$, where $U \subset B$ is open.

Here we want to show how to take the covariant derivative of a section $\psi$ in a given direction. We use the connection to parallel translate $\psi(\gamma(t))$ from $\pi^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ back to $\pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$ as follows (see figure 15): for $|t|<\epsilon, \gamma(t) \in U_{i}, \psi(\gamma(t))=\tau_{i}\left(\gamma(t), y_{i}(t)\right) \in$ $\pi^{-1}(\{\gamma(t)\})$, where $y_{i}(t):=\pi_{2} \tau_{i}^{-1}(\psi(\gamma(t))) \in \mathbb{C}^{k}$.

The covariant derivative of $\psi$ in the direction $\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)} \psi & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left[\text { parallel translation of } \psi(\gamma(t)) \text { back to } \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))\right]-\psi(\gamma(0))}{t} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau_{i}\left(\gamma(0), g_{i}(t)^{-1} y_{i}(t)\right)-\tau_{i}\left(\gamma(0), y_{i}(0)\right)}{t} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \tau_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \frac{g_{i}(t)^{-1} y_{i}(t)-y_{i}(0)}{t}\right) \\
& =\tau_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_{i}(t)^{-1} y_{i}(t)-y_{i}(0)}{t}\right) \\
& =\tau_{i}\left(\gamma(0),\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left[g_{i}(t)^{-1} y_{i}(t)\right]\right|_{t=0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 15. Use Connection to Parallel Translate $\psi(\gamma(t))$ from $\pi^{-1}(\gamma(t))$ back to $\pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$

Because $g_{i}(t)^{-1}$ can be solved from $g_{i}^{\prime}(t)=-\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g_{i}(t), g_{i}(0)=I$, where $\omega_{i}$ is known, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(g_{i}(t)^{-1} y_{i}(t)\right) & =\frac{d}{d t}\left[g_{i}(t)^{-1}\right] y_{i}(t)+g_{i}(t)^{-1} \frac{d}{d t} y_{i}(t) \\
& =-g_{i}(t)^{-1} \frac{d}{d t}\left[g_{i}(t)\right] g_{i}(t)^{-1} y_{i}(t)+g_{i}(t)^{-1} \frac{d}{d t} y_{i}(t) \\
& =g_{i}(t)^{-1}\left[\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) y_{i}(t)+\frac{d}{d t} y_{i}(t)\right] \\
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(g_{i}(t)^{-1} y_{i}(t)\right)\right|_{t=0} & =\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) y_{i}(0)+\left.\frac{d}{d t} y_{i}(t)\right|_{t=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \nabla_{\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)} \psi=\tau_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \omega_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) y_{i}(0)+\left.\left[\frac{d}{d t} y_{i}(t)\right]\right|_{t=0}\right)
$$

The transformation law of connection 1-forms implies this formula gives a result independent of the local trivialization.

FACT.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{j i}(\gamma(0))\left[\left.\frac{d}{d t} y_{i}(t)\right|_{t=0}+\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) y_{i}(0)\right] \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t} y_{j}(t)\right|_{t=0}+\omega_{j}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) y_{j}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is known that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{j}(t)=g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) y_{i}(t), \\
& \omega_{j}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)=g_{j i}(\gamma(0)) \omega_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) g_{j i}(\gamma(0))^{-1}-\left.\left[\frac{d}{d t} g_{j i}(\gamma(t))\right]\right|_{t=0} g_{j i}(\gamma(0))^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Plug them into the right hand side of the identity to be proven, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { R.H.S. }= & \left.\frac{d}{d t}\left[g_{j i}(\gamma(t)) y_{i}(t)\right]\right|_{t=0}+\left\{g_{j i}(\gamma(0)) \omega_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) g_{j i}(\gamma(0))^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.-\left.\left[\frac{d}{d t} g_{j i}(\gamma(t))\right]\right|_{t=0} g_{j i}(\gamma(0))^{-1}\right\} y_{j}(0) \\
= & \left.\frac{d}{d t}\left[g_{j i}(\gamma(t))\right]\right|_{t=0} y_{i}(0)+\left.g_{j i}(\gamma(0)) \frac{d}{d t}\left[g_{i}(\gamma(t))\right]\right|_{t=0} \\
& +g_{j i}(\gamma(0)) \omega_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) y_{i}(0)-\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left[g_{j i}(\gamma(t))\right]\right|_{t=0} y_{i}(0) \\
= & g_{j i}(\gamma(0))\left[\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left[g_{i}(\gamma(t))\right]\right|_{t=0}+\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) y_{i}(0)\right] \\
= & \text { L.H.S. } \square
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.2. Hermitian Vector Bundles and Connections on a graph IN $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

Let's look at the concepts introduced above in the case of a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.


Figure 16. Fiber Bundles and Connections in the Case of a Surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

### 6.2.1. Three Trivializations and the corresponding Cocycles.

Example. Let $B$ be the graph of $f(u, v)$, i.e. $B=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{c}u \\ v \\ f(u, v)\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \right\rvert\,(u, v)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\}$. Let $E=T B=\cup_{b \in B} T_{b} B=\cup_{b \in B}\left\{\left.\left(b,\left(\begin{array}{l}v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3}\end{array}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, v_{3}=v_{1} f_{u}(u, v)+v_{2} f_{v}(u, v), v_{1}, v_{2} \in\right.$ $\mathbb{R}$ where $\left.b=\left(\begin{array}{c}u \\ v \\ f(u, v)\end{array}\right)\right\}$, the tangent space of $B$. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow B:\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}u \\ v \\ f(u, v)\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3}\end{array}\right)\right) \mapsto$ $\binom{u}{f(u, v)}$. Let $F=\mathbb{R}^{2}, G=G L\left(2, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, and the left action $G \times F \rightarrow F$ of $G$ on $F$ is matrix-vector multiplication. We define global trivialization $\tau_{0}: B \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow$ $\pi^{-1}(B)=E$ as $\tau_{0}\left(b,\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}}\right)=\left(b, v_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 0 \\ f_{u}(u, v)\end{array}\right)+v_{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 1 \\ f_{v}(u, v)\end{array}\right)\right)$, then we can define the cocycle $g_{00}(b)=I_{2 \times 2}$ for all $b \in B$.

Example. We use the same $E, B, \pi, F$ as the ones in the previous example. Let $G=O(2, \mathbb{R})$, the $2 \times 2$ orthogonal matrices. Let $U_{1}=U_{2}=B$. By orthonormalizing the basis of the previous example in two ways, we can define two other global trivializations $\tau_{i}: U_{i} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow E=T B, i=1,2$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{1}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
u \\
v \\
f(u, v)
\end{array}\right),\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}}\right)=\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
u \\
v \\
f(u, v)
\end{array}\right), \frac{v_{1}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
f_{u}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{v_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-f_{u} f_{v} \\
1+f_{u}^{2} \\
f_{v}
\end{array}\right)\right), \\
& \tau_{2}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
u \\
v \\
f(u, v)
\end{array}\right),\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}}\right)=\left(\binom{u}{f(u, v)}, \frac{v_{1}}{\sqrt{1+f_{v}^{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
f_{v}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{v_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1+f_{v}^{2} \\
-f_{u} f_{v} \\
f_{u}
\end{array}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we compute the cocycle $g_{01}(b)$, which is defined by $\left(\tau_{0}^{-1} \circ \tau_{1}\right)\left(b,\binom{v_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{2}^{\prime}}\right)=$ $\left(b, g_{01}(b)\binom{v_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{2}^{\prime}}\right)$. i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{2}\left(\tau_{1}\left(b,\binom{v_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{2}^{\prime}}\right)\right)=\frac{v_{1}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
f_{u}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{v_{2}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-f_{u} f_{v} \\
1+f_{u}^{2} \\
f_{v}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{v_{1}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}} \mathbf{x}_{u}-\frac{v_{2}^{\prime} f_{u} f_{v}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} \mathbf{x}_{u}+\frac{v_{2}^{\prime}\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} \mathbf{x}_{v} \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{v_{1}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}}-\frac{v_{2}^{\prime} f_{u} f_{v}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}}\right) \mathbf{x}_{u}+\frac{v_{2}^{\prime}\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} \mathbf{x}_{v} \\
& \quad=v_{1} \mathbf{x}_{u}+v_{2} \mathbf{x}_{v} \\
& \quad=\pi_{2}\left(\tau_{0}\left(b,\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\pi_{2}\left(\tau_{0}\left(b, g_{01}(b)\binom{v_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{2}^{\prime}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{x}_{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 0 \\ f_{u}\end{array}\right)$ and $\mathbf{x}_{v}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 1 \\ f_{v}\end{array}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1} & =\frac{v_{1}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}}-\frac{v_{2}^{\prime} f_{u} f_{v}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} \\
v_{2} & =\frac{v_{2}^{\prime}\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} \\
\binom{v_{1}}{v_{2}} & =g_{01}(b)\binom{v_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{2}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}} & \frac{-f_{u} f_{v}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{v_{1}^{\prime}}{v_{2}^{\prime}} \\
\Rightarrow g_{01}(b) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}} & \frac{-f_{u} f_{v}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we can compute the cocycle $g_{02}(b)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \frac{\sqrt{1+f_{v}^{2}}}{\sqrt{1+f_{v}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f_{v}^{2}}} & \frac{-f_{u} f_{v}^{2}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right.}}\end{array}\right)$.
We compute $g_{12}$ from $g_{01}, g_{02}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{12}(b) & =g_{10}(b) g_{02}(b) \\
& =g_{01}(b)^{-1} g_{02}(b) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}} & \frac{-f_{u} f_{v}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{1+f_{v}^{2}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)\right.}} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f_{v}^{2}}} & \frac{-f_{u} f_{v}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{f_{u} f_{v}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} & \frac{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} \\
\frac{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)}} & \frac{-f_{u} f_{v}}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A simple check shows that $g_{12}(b) \in O(2)$. So $\left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$ determine a fiber bundle with structure group $O(2)$. Also $\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \stackrel{G L(2)}{\sim}\left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$, so the two examples describe the same vector bundle.
6.2.2. Covariant derivative of a vector field. Let $B$ be the graph of $f(u, v)$, i.e. $B=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{c}u \\ v \\ f(u, v)\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \right\rvert\,(u, v)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\}$. Let $E=T B=\cup_{b \in B} T_{b} B=\cup_{b \in B}\left\{\left(b, \left.\left(\begin{array}{c}v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3}\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, v_{3}=\right.\right.$ $v_{1} f_{u}(u, v)+v_{2} f_{v}(u, v), v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ where $\left.b=\binom{u}{v(u, v)}\right\}$, the tangent space of $B$. Then a vector field over an open set $U \subset B$ is a local section $\psi: U \rightarrow T B$ where $\psi(b) \in T_{b} B$ for all $b \in U$.

Definition. Let $\psi$ be a differentiable vector field in an open set $U \subset B$. Let $\mathbf{y} \in T_{b} B, b \in U$. Consider a parametrized curve $\gamma:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow U$, with $\gamma(0)=b$ and $\gamma^{\prime}(0)=\mathbf{y}$, and let $\psi(\gamma(t)), t \in(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, be the restriction of the vector field $\psi$ to the
curve $\gamma$. The vector obtained by the orthogonal projection of $(d \psi / d t)(0)$ onto the plane $T_{b} B$ is called the covariant derivative at $b$ of the vector field $\psi$ in the direction $\mathbf{y}$. This covariant derivative is denoted by $\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \psi\right)(b)$.

Using what we already know about the relation between the connection 1-form and the covariant derivative we now seek an expression for the connection 1-form associated to this sense of covariant derivative. To simplify the notation, we denote $\mathbf{e}_{i}(b)$ as $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{i}(b)$ as $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{i}$. Consider a general trivialization $\tau: U \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow E=T B$ as $\tau\left(b,\binom{u}{v}\right)=\left(b, \mathbf{e}_{1} u+\mathbf{e}_{2} v\right)$, where $E_{b}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}$. Let $\psi: B \rightarrow E$ be an arbitrary vector field satisfying $\psi(b) \in E_{b}, \forall b \in B$ and $\psi(b)=\mathbf{e}_{1} a_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2} a_{2}$. A parametrized curved at $b$ is $\gamma:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow B$ such that $b=\gamma(0)=\left(\begin{array}{c}u(0) \\ u(0) \\ f(u(0), v(0))\end{array}\right)$.

We consider the orthonormal basis $\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}\right\}$ spanning $E$, generated by the GramSchmidt process starting from $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}$ :

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}}{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{1}\right\|}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{2}-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}\left[\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right]}{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{2}-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}\left[\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right]\right\|} .
$$

Then the orthogonal projection operator from $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ into $E_{b}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{b}=\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T}+\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \\
& =\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}+\frac{\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}}{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{2}-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\|} \frac{\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}^{T}}{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{2}-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\|} \\
& =\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}+\frac{\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}-\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}}{\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}-\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}^{T}} \frac{\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}-\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}^{T}}{\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}-\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right\}} \\
& =\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}+\frac{\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{e}_{1} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\frac{1}{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}\right\}}{\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}-\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}\right\}} \frac{\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}-\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}\right\}}{\left\{\mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{e}_{1} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}\right\}} \\
& =\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}+\frac{\mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}-\mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}-\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}+\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)^{2}}}{\mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}-\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}-\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}+\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1} \frac{\left(\frac{T}{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}} \frac{\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}\right)}{\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}-\frac{\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}\right)}+\frac{\mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)-\mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)-\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) \frac{\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)^{2}}{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}}}{\left(\mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)+\mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)-\mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)-\mathbf{e}_{1} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\right\} / \operatorname{det}(h) \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(h)}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\binom{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}-\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}}{-\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}-\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(h)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} & -\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \\
-\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) h^{-1}\binom{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} & \mathbf{e}_{1} \\ \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \\ \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} & \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \\ \mathbf{e}^{2}\end{array}\right), \operatorname{det}(h)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \\ \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1} & \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\end{array}\right)=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)^{2}$, and $h^{-1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(h)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} & -\mathbf{e}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \\ -\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}\end{array}\right)$.

On one hand, $P_{b} \psi=\mathbf{e}_{1} a_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2} a_{2}=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\binom{a_{1}}{a_{2}}$; on the other hand $P_{b} \psi=$ $\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) h^{-1}\binom{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}} \psi=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right) \frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(h)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} & -\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \\ -\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} & \left.\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}} \psi$, so we have

$$
\binom{a_{1}}{a_{2}}=h^{-1}\binom{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \psi}{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \psi}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(h)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} & -\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \\
-\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \psi}{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \psi} .
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \psi(\gamma(t))= & \frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}(\gamma(t)) a_{1}(\gamma(t))+\mathbf{e}_{2}(\gamma(t)) a_{2}(\gamma(t))\right] \\
= & \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}_{1}(\gamma(t)) \cdot a_{1}(\gamma(t))+\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}_{2}(\gamma(t)) \cdot a_{2}(\gamma(t)) \\
& +\mathbf{e}_{1}(\gamma(t)) \frac{d}{d t} a_{1}(\gamma(t))+\mathbf{e}_{2}(\gamma(t)) \frac{d}{d t} a_{2}(\gamma(t)),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where } \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}_{j}(\gamma(t))=\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{j}}{\partial u}(\gamma(t)) \cdot u^{\prime}(t)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{j}}{\partial v}(\gamma(t)) \cdot v^{\prime}(t)
$$

Finally we are ready to calculate the covariant derivative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{b} \frac{d}{d t} \psi(\gamma(t))= & \left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left(h^{-1}\right)\binom{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}} \frac{d}{d t} \psi(\gamma(t)) \\
= & \left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left(h^{-1}\right)\binom{\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}}\left\{\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial u} u^{\prime}(t)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial v} v^{\prime}(t)\right] a_{1}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial u} u^{\prime}(t)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial v} v^{\prime}(t)\right] a_{2}\right\}+\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\binom{a_{1}^{\prime}}{a_{2}^{\prime}} \\
= & \left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left\{\binom{a_{1}^{\prime}}{a_{2}^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(h^{-1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial u} u^{\prime}(t)+\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial v^{\prime}}(t) \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{e_{1}}{\partial u} \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial u} u^{\prime}(t)+\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial v} v^{\prime}(t)\right. \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}}{\partial u} u^{\prime}(t)+\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial v} v^{\prime}(t) \\
\partial v \\
v^{\prime}(t)
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{1}}{a_{2}}\right\} \\
= & \left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left\{\binom{a_{1}^{\prime}}{a_{2}^{\prime}}+\left(h^{-1}\right) u^{\prime}(t)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial u} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial u} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial e_{2}}{\partial u}
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{1}}{a_{2}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\left(h^{-1}\right) v^{\prime}(t)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial v} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial v} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial e_{1}}{\partial v} & \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial v}
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{1}}{a_{2}}\right\} \\
= & \left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left\{\binom{a_{1}^{\prime}}{a_{2}^{\prime}}+u^{\prime}(t)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{11}^{1} & \Gamma_{12}^{1} \\
\Gamma_{11}^{2} & \Gamma_{12}
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{1}}{a_{2}}+v^{\prime}(t)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{21}^{1} & \Gamma_{22}^{1} \\
\Gamma_{21}^{2} & \Gamma_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{a_{1}}{a_{2}}\right\} \\
= & \left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)\left\{\binom{a_{1}^{\prime}}{a_{2}^{\prime}}+\omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)\binom{a_{1}}{a_{2}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we define the Christoffel symbols (see [17])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Gamma_{11}^{1} & \Gamma_{12}^{1} \\
\Gamma_{11}^{2} & \Gamma_{12}^{2}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(h^{-1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial u} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial u} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial e_{1}}{\partial u} & \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial u}
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Gamma_{21}^{1} & \Gamma_{22}^{1} \\
\Gamma_{21}^{2} & \Gamma_{22}^{2}
\end{array}\right) & =\left(h^{-1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial v} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial v} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial e_{1}}{\partial v} & \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial v}
\end{array}\right) \\
\omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) & =u^{\prime}(t)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{11}^{1} & \Gamma_{12}^{1} \\
\Gamma_{11}^{2} & \Gamma_{12}^{2}
\end{array}\right)+v^{\prime}(t)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{21}^{1} & \Gamma_{22}^{1} \\
\Gamma_{21}^{2} & \Gamma_{22}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

ExAmple. For the trivialization $\tau_{0}, \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 0 \\ f_{u}(u, v)\end{array}\right), \mathbf{e}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 1 \\ f_{v}(u, v)\end{array}\right)$. we calculate $\omega_{0}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}(h)=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)-f_{u}^{2} f_{v}^{2} \\
& =1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2} \\
& h^{-1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(h)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} & -\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} \\
-\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+f_{v}^{2} & -f_{u} f_{v} \\
-f_{u} f_{v} & 1+f_{u}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{11}^{1} & \Gamma_{12}^{1} \\
\Gamma_{11}^{2} & \Gamma_{12}^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(h^{-1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial u} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial u} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial u} & \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial u}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+f_{v}^{2} & -f_{u} f_{v} \\
-f_{u} f_{v} & 1+f_{u}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{u} f_{u u} & f_{u} f_{u v} \\
f_{v} f_{u u} & f_{v} f_{u v}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{u} f_{u u} & f_{u} f_{u v} \\
f_{v} f_{u u} & f_{v} f_{u v}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{21}^{1} & \Gamma_{22}^{1} \\
\Gamma_{21}^{2} & \Gamma_{22}^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(h^{-1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial v} & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial v} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial v} & \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}{\partial v}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+f_{v}^{2} & -f_{u} f_{v} \\
-f_{u} f_{v} & 1+f_{u}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{u} f_{u v} & f_{u} f_{v v} \\
f_{v} f_{u v} & f_{v} f_{v v}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{u} f_{u v} & f_{u} f_{v v} \\
f_{v} f_{u v} & f_{v} f_{v v}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{0} & =u^{\prime}(t)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma_{11}^{1} & \Gamma_{12}^{1} \\
\Gamma_{11}^{2} & \Gamma_{12}^{2}
\end{array}\right)+v^{\prime}(t)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Gamma_{21}^{1} & \Gamma_{22}^{1} \\
\Gamma_{21}^{2} & \Gamma_{22}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{u} f_{u u} & f_{u} f_{u v} \\
f_{v} f_{u u} & f_{v} f_{u v}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{v^{\prime}(t)}{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f_{u} f_{u v} & f_{u} f_{v v} \\
f_{v} f_{u v} & f_{v} f_{v v}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. We are to calculate $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ which are corresponding to $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ respectively.

$$
\text { In } \tau_{1}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
f_{u}
\end{array}\right), \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-f_{u} f_{v} \\
1+f_{u}^{2} \\
f_{v}
\end{array}\right) \text {. Because }\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}\right\} \text { are }
$$ orthonormal,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial u}=\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial v}=\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}}{\partial u}=\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}}{\partial v}, \\
h^{-1} & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} h}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \tilde{e}_{2} & -\tilde{e}_{1}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2} \\
-\tilde{e}_{\mathbf{e}}^{T} & \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}
\end{array}\right)=I ;
\end{aligned}
$$

moreover, $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial u}=-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}}{\partial u}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial v}=-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}}{\partial v}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial u} & =\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T}\left[-\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2} f_{u} f_{u u}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
f_{u}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
f_{u u}
\end{array}\right)\right] \\
& =\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T}\left[\binom{-f_{u} f_{u u}}{-f_{u}^{2} f_{u u}}+\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right) f_{u u}
\end{array}\right)\right] \frac{1}{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& =\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-f_{u} \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \frac{f_{u u}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{f_{v} f_{u u}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Similarly } \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial v}=\frac{f_{v} f_{u v}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) \\
& =u^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{-f_{u u} f_{v}}{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
\frac{f_{u u} f_{v}}{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} & 0
\end{array}\right)+v^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{-f_{u v} f_{v}}{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
\frac{f_{u v} f_{v}}{\left(1+f_{u}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In $\tau_{2}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f_{v}^{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 1 \\ f_{v}\end{array}\right), \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)}}\left(\begin{array}{c}1+f_{v}^{2} \\ -f_{u} f_{v} \\ f_{u}\end{array}\right)$. Because $\left\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}\right\}$ are orthonormal,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial u}=\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial v}=\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}}{\partial u}=\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\tilde{\partial \mathbf{e}_{2}}}{\partial v}, \\
h^{-1} & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(h)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{e}_{2}^{T} \tilde{e}_{2} & -\tilde{e}_{T}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \\
-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} & \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}
\end{array}\right)=I
\end{aligned}
$$

moreover, $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial u}=-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}}{\partial u}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial v}=-\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}}{\partial v}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial u} & =\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T}\left[-\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2} f_{v} f_{u v}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
f_{v}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f_{v}^{2}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
f_{u v}
\end{array}\right)\right] \\
& =\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T}\left[\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-f_{v} f_{u v} \\
-f_{v}^{2} f_{u v}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right) f_{u v}
\end{array}\right)\right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+f_{v}^{2}}} \\
& =\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-f_{v} \\
1
\end{array}\right) \frac{f_{u v}}{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& =\frac{f_{u} f_{u v}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Similarly } \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{2}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1}}{\partial v}=\frac{f_{u} f_{v v}}{\sqrt{1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}}\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{2}\left(\gamma(0), \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) \\
& =u^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{-f_{u v} f_{u}}{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
\frac{f_{u v} f_{u}}{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} & 0
\end{array}\right)+v^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{-f_{v v} f_{u}}{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)\left(1++f_{u}^{u}+f_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
\frac{f_{v} f_{u}}{\left(1+f_{v}^{2}\right)\left(1+f_{u}^{2}+f_{v}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: We find that $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are antisymmetric while $\omega_{0}$ is not. $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(2)$, because the corresponding trivializations $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are defined in such a way that they maintain the inner product from the standard fiber $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to the total space $E_{b}=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and that their structure group is the Lie group $O(2, \mathbb{R})$. While $\tau_{0}$ does not have the properties that $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ have, and its structure group is $G L(2, \mathbb{R})$, and so $\omega_{0}$ has values in the Lie algebra $g l(2)$.

### 6.3. Hermitian Vector Bundles, Connections in the Case of $H_{3}$ System

### 6.3.1. Hermitian Vector Bundles and Structure Group in the Case of

 $H_{3}$ System. For the $H_{3}$ system, and given a point $b=\left(l_{12}^{2}, l_{13}^{2}, l_{23}^{2}\right)$ inside the cone $\mathcal{C}$, defined at $\S 5.2$, let $\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}(b), \mathbf{R}_{2}(b), \mathbf{R}_{3}(b)\right)$ be the standard configuration of the conformation $b$. We have the the electronic Hamiltonian for the $H_{3}$ system$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}(b)= & -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left(\triangle_{1}+\triangle_{2}+\triangle_{3}\right) \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{k}(b)\right\|} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=j+1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{r}_{k}\right\|},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{R}_{i}(b)$ is the position vector of the $i$ th nucleus in the standard configuration.
Define $\tilde{E}(b):=\min _{\psi \in \mathcal{H}, \psi \neq 0} \frac{(\psi, \tilde{H}(b) \psi)}{(\psi, \psi)}$, which is the electronic ground state energy for the $H_{3}$ system. We define
$\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{e}=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{C}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is the Hilbert space of a single electron;

$$
E_{b}:=\left\{\psi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \widetilde{H}(b) \psi=\widetilde{E}(b) \psi, \hat{S}_{3} \psi=\frac{\hbar}{2} \psi\right\}
$$

$E_{b}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and inherits the inner product of $\mathcal{H}$;
( $\hat{S}_{3}$ is the spin operator defined in $\S 3.1 .3$.)
$\mathcal{C}_{k}:=\left\{b \in \mathcal{C} \mid \operatorname{dim} E_{b}=k\right\}$, which is the base space; (how choose $k$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ is open in $\mathcal{C}$ is an unsolved issue)
$\mathbb{C}^{k}$ is the standard fiber;
$E=\left\{(b, \psi) \in \mathcal{C}_{k} \times \mathcal{H} \mid \psi \in E_{b}\right\}$, which is the total space;
$\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{k}:(b, \psi) \mapsto b$, which is a smooth mapping;
$\mathfrak{U}(k):=\left\{A \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k} \mid A^{\dagger} A=I\right\}$, which is the structure group;
$\tau_{i}: U_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right), i \in \mathcal{I}$, which is an atlas of local trivializations,
where $U_{i}$ is open in $\mathcal{C}_{k}$. Also we want $\tau_{i}$ to be smooth in the sense that $\forall \tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}$, the map $U_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}:(b, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto\left(\tilde{\psi}, \tau_{i}(b, \mathbf{y})\right)_{\mathcal{H}}$ is smooth with respect to $\frac{d}{d Q}$,
$\frac{d}{d S}, \frac{d}{d \theta}, \frac{d}{d \mathbf{y}}($ see $\S 5.2)$. The existence of of such $\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, where
$\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an open covering of $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ and for all $b \in \mathcal{C}_{k}$ the mapping $y \mapsto \tau_{i}(b, \mathbf{y})$ :
$\mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow E_{b}$ is an inner product linear isomorphism, is an unsolved issue;

Therefore $\left(E, \mathcal{C}_{k}, \pi, \mathbb{C}^{k}, \mathfrak{U}(k),\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ is a Hermitian vector bundle for the non-collinear $H_{3}$ system.
6.3.2. Representation of the Symmetry Group in the Fiber. If $b$ is a scalene triangle, an isosceles triangle or an equilateral triangle, then let $G(b)=\{A \in$ $\left.O(3) \mid\left\{A \mathbf{R}_{1}(b), A \mathbf{R}_{2}(b), A \mathbf{R}_{3}(b)\right\}=\left\{\mathbf{R}_{1}(b), \mathbf{R}_{2}(b), \mathbf{R}_{3}(b)\right\}\right\}$ be the symmetry group isomorphic to $C_{s}, C_{2 v}$ or $D_{3 h}$ respectively. If $A \in G(b)$ and $\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \mapsto$ $\psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ is in $E_{b}$, where $\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ are the electron position vectors and $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3} \in\{0,1\}$ are the spin variables, then $A$ induces a mapping $l_{A}: E_{b} \rightarrow E_{b}$ : $\psi \mapsto\left(\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \mapsto \psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)\right)$ i.e.

$$
\left(l_{A} \psi\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=\psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)
$$

We need to show that if $\psi \in E_{b}$ then $l_{A} \psi \in E_{b}$, i.e. $\tilde{H}(b) l_{A} \psi=\tilde{E}(b) l_{A} \psi$ and $\hat{S}_{3} l_{A} \psi=\frac{\hbar}{2} l_{A} \psi$.
(1) To show $\tilde{H}(b) l_{A} \psi=\tilde{E}(b) l_{A} \psi$, we apply $l_{A}$ to the both sides of $\left.\tilde{H}(b)\right) \psi=\tilde{E}(b) \psi$ attaining $\left.l_{A} \tilde{H}(b)\right) \psi=l_{A} \tilde{E}(b) \psi$. Because R.H.S $=l_{A} \tilde{E}(b) \psi=\tilde{E}(b) l_{A} \psi$, we want to prove that the L.H.S. $\left.\left.=l_{A} \tilde{H}(b)\right) \psi=\tilde{H}(b)\right) l_{A} \psi$.
(1.1) We need to prove that

$$
\left[\left(l_{A} \circ \triangle_{i}\right) \psi\right]\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=\left[\left(\triangle_{i} \circ l_{A}\right) \psi\right]\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right), i=1,2,3
$$

By Taylor's theorem, $\left(l_{A} \psi\right)(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h})=\psi\left(A^{T}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h})\right)=\psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{x}+A^{T} \mathbf{h}\right)=\psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)+$ $\nabla \psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{x}\right) \cdot\left(A^{T} \mathbf{h}\right)+o(\|\mathbf{h}\|)=\psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)+\left[A(\nabla \psi)\left(A^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)\right] \cdot \mathbf{h}+o(\|\mathbf{h}\|)$, so we have

$$
\nabla\left(l_{A} \psi\right)(\mathbf{x})=A(\nabla \psi)\left(A^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)=A\left(l_{A} \nabla \psi\right)(\mathbf{x})
$$

We will use the Green's identity: $\int_{\Omega} \triangle(\psi) \phi d \mathbf{x}+\int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \phi d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\partial \Omega} \phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} d s$, where $\psi$ and $\phi$ are two smooth functions over a compact region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, and where $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu}$ is the directional derivative of $\psi$ along the outward normal to the boundary of $\partial \Omega$. In our case, we assume $\psi$ to be a smooth function over $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ instead of in $E_{b}$, and we will come back to consider the case $\psi \in E_{b}$ later. We let $\phi$ be any smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with compact support, then we can always find a closed ball $\Omega$ centered at the origin enclosing the support of $\phi$ and such that $\left.\phi(\mathbf{x})\right|_{\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega}=0$. Therefore $\int_{\partial \Omega} \phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu} d s=0$ and hence $\int_{\Omega} \triangle(\psi) \phi d \mathbf{x}=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \phi d \mathbf{x}$.

By Green's identity and Taylor's theroem, $\int_{\Omega} \triangle\left(l_{A} \psi\right) \phi d \mathbf{x}=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla\left(l_{A} \psi\right) \cdot \nabla \phi d \mathbf{x}=$ $-\int_{\Omega} A l_{A}(\nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \phi d \mathbf{x}$.

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} l_{A}(\Delta \psi) \phi d \mathbf{x} & =\int_{\Omega}(\Delta \psi)\left(A^{T} \mathbf{x}\right) \phi(\mathbf{x}) d \mathbf{x} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}(\triangle \psi)\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(A \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) d \mathbf{x}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

( by changing variables $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=A^{T} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}=A \mathbf{x}^{\prime}$;

$$
\text { since } \left.|\operatorname{det} A|=1, d\left(A \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=|\operatorname{det} A| d \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=d \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; A \Omega=\Omega\right)
$$

$$
=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla\left(l_{A^{T}} \phi\right) d \mathbf{x}^{\prime}
$$

$$
=-\int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \cdot\left(A^{T} l_{A^{T}} \nabla \phi\right) d \mathbf{x}^{\prime}
$$

$$
=-\int_{\Omega}(A \nabla \psi) \cdot\left(l_{A^{T}} \nabla \phi\right) d \mathbf{x}^{\prime}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\int_{\Omega}(A \nabla \psi)\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \nabla \phi\left(A \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) d \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} A l_{A}(\nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \phi d \mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

( by changing variables $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=A^{T} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}=A \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ;\left|\operatorname{det} A^{T}\right|=1$ )

It follows $\int_{\Omega} \triangle\left(l_{A} \psi\right) \phi d \mathbf{x}=\int_{\Omega}\left(l_{A} \triangle \psi\right) \phi d \mathbf{x}$ for any $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ with compact support $\Rightarrow \triangle \circ l_{A}=l_{A} \circ \triangle$. Because $\triangle_{i}$ acts on $\psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \in E_{b}$ in a partial derivative way (variables $\mathbf{r}_{j}, j \neq i$ and $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ are fixed as constants), the result we derived above also holds for $\psi \in E_{b}:\left[\left(-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left(\triangle_{1}+\triangle_{2}+\triangle_{3}\right) \circ l_{A}\right) \psi\right]\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=$ $\left[\left(l_{A} \circ\left(-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m}\left(\triangle_{1}+\triangle_{2}+\triangle_{3}\right)\right)\right) \psi\right]\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$.
(1.2) We need to prove that

$$
l_{A} \circ \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=j+1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{r}_{k}\right\|}=\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=j+1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{r}_{k}\right\|} \circ l_{A}
$$

To see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=j+1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{r}_{k}\right\|} \psi\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=j+1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}-A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{k}\right\|} \psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=j+1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{r}_{k}\right\|} \psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{k=j+1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{r}_{k}\right\|}\left(l_{A} \psi\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \text { as desired. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(1.3) We need to prove that

$$
l_{A} \circ\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{k}\right\|}\right)=\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{k}\right\|}\right) \circ l_{A} .
$$

To see

$$
l_{A}\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{k}\right\|} \psi\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{k}\right\|} \psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{j}-A^{T} \mathbf{R}_{k}\right\|} \psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(because $A^{T}$ permutes $\left(\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{2}, \mathbf{R}_{3}\right)$ )

$$
=-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{K e^{2}}{\left\|\mathbf{r}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{k}\right\|} l_{A} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \text { as desired. }
$$

In summary, we have $l_{A} \circ \tilde{H}=\tilde{H} \circ l_{A}$ and hence $\left[\tilde{H}(b) l_{A} \psi\right]\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=$ $\tilde{E}(b) l_{A} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$.
(2) To show $\hat{S}_{3} l_{A} \psi=\frac{\hbar}{2} l_{A} \psi$, we apply $l_{A}$ to the both sides of $\hat{S}_{3} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ $=\frac{\hbar}{2} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$. Because $\hat{S}_{3}$ acts only on the $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ variables, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { L.H.S }=\left[l_{A}\left(\hat{S}_{3} \psi\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) & =\left[\hat{S}_{3}\left(l_{A} \psi\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{S}_{3} \psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \\
\text { R.H.S. }=l_{A} \frac{\hbar}{2} \psi\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) & =\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(l_{A} \psi\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{2} \psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \\
\Rightarrow \hat{S}_{3} \psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) & =\frac{\hbar}{2} \psi\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we have $\tilde{H}(b) l_{A} \psi=\tilde{E}(b) l_{A} \psi$ and $\hat{S}_{3} l_{A} \psi=\frac{\hbar}{2} l_{A} \psi$ and hence the following statement holds: if $\psi \in E_{b}$ then $l_{A} \psi \in E_{b}$.

Moreover, we claim that $l_{A}$ is linear. To see, if $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in E_{b}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[l_{A}\left(\psi_{1}+\alpha \psi_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)} \\
& =\left(\psi_{1}+\alpha \psi_{2}\right)\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \\
& =\psi_{1}\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)+\alpha \psi_{2}\left(A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{1}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{2}, A^{T} \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \\
& =\left(l_{A} \psi_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)+\alpha\left(l_{A} \psi_{2}\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3} ; s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $A, B \in G(b)$, then $l_{A B}=l_{A} \circ l_{B}$. So we get a group homomorphism $l: G(b) \rightarrow$ $G L\left(E_{b}\right)$. Moreover, by the theory developed at $\S 6$, we have $l \cong l_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus l_{k}$, where $l_{1}, \cdots, l_{k}$ are irreducible representations. If $k>1$, the decomposition might change with the base point $b$. Since $k$ is unknown to us, this decomposition remains an unresolved issue.
6.3.3. A Natural Connection in Certain Hermitian Vector Bundles. Let $\left(E, B, \pi, \mathbb{C}^{k}, \mathfrak{U}(k),\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ be a Hermitian vector bundle with standard fiber $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and structure group $\mathfrak{U}(k)$. Under certain conditions, there is a natural connection on this Hermitian vector bundle, as studied, e.g., by Bott and Chern[16].

Assume that base space $B$ is an $n$-dimensional manifold. Assume that for all $b \in B, E_{b}=\pi^{-1}(\{b\})$ a subspace of $V$, where $V$ is a fixed inner product space. Suppose $\left\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k}\right\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. Assume that $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}, \forall b \in U_{i}$ the trivialization $\tau_{i}$ determines the basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}(b), \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}(b)\right\}:=\left\{\tau_{i}\left(b, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{1}\right), \cdots, \tau_{i}\left(b, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k}\right)\right\}$ of $E_{b}=\pi^{-1}(\{b\})$ which is orthonormal in the inner product of $V$. To simplify the notation, here we denote $\tau_{i}\left(b, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{j}\right)$ as $\mathbf{e}_{j}^{(i)}(b)$ or just $\mathbf{e}_{j}$ if the base point $b \in U_{i}$ and the local trivialization is understood, for $j=1, \cdots, k$.

Suppose $\tau: U \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$ is a smooth local trivialization of $E$ such that $\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \cup\{\tau\}$ has a $G L(k)$-valued cocycle. We have that $\tau\left(b,\left(\begin{array}{c}u_{1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{k}\end{array}\right)\right)=\left(b, \mathbf{e}_{1} u_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{k} u_{k}\right)$, where $\mathbf{e}_{j}=\tau\left(b, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{j}\right)$. Let $\psi: B \rightarrow E$ be an arbitrary vector field satisfying $\psi(b) \in E_{b}, \forall b \in B$ and $\psi(b)=\mathbf{e}_{1} a_{1}(b)+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{k} a_{k}(b)$. A smooth parametrized curve at $b$ is $\gamma:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow B$ such that $b=\gamma(0)$.

Lemma. The orthogonal projection operator from the ambient inner product space $V$ into the $k$-dimensional subspace $E_{b}$ is $P_{b}=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}\end{array}\right)$, where $h:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) & \cdots & \left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \left(\mathbf{e}_{k}, \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) & \cdots & \left(\mathbf{e}_{k}, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\end{array}\right)$. (See the definition of $\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\dagger}$ at $\S 3.4$.)

Proof. It is enough to show that $P_{b}$ is self adjoint and $P_{b}^{2}=P_{b}$. To see $P_{b}$ is self adjoint:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{b}^{\dagger} & =\left\{\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right)\right\}^{\dagger} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) h^{-1 \dagger}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =P_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To see $P_{b}$ is a projection operator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{b}^{2} & =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right)\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) h^{-1} h h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =P_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the covariant derivative of $\psi$ in the direction $\gamma^{\prime}(t)$ as the orthogonal projection of $\psi^{\prime}(t) \in V$ into $\pi^{-1}(\{\gamma(t)\})$. Suppose $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ are local coordinate on $B$ near $b \in B, \gamma(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), \cdots, x_{n}(t)\right)$. On one hand, $P_{b} \psi=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{k}\end{array}\right)$; on the other hand $P_{b} \psi=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) \cdot h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}\end{array}\right) \psi$, so we have $\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{k}\end{array}\right)=h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \psi \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger} \psi\end{array}\right)$. Moreover

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \psi(\gamma(t))=\frac{d}{d t}\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}(\gamma(t)) a_{1}(\gamma(t))+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{k}(\gamma(t)) a_{k}(\gamma(t))\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}_{1}(\gamma(t)) \cdot a_{1}(\gamma(t))+\mathbf{e}_{1}(\gamma(t)) \frac{d}{d t} a_{1}(\gamma(t))+\cdots \\
& +\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}_{k}(\gamma(t)) \cdot a_{k}(\gamma(t))+\mathbf{e}_{k}(\gamma(t)) \frac{d}{d t} a_{k}(\gamma(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}_{j}(\gamma(t))=\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{j}}{\partial x_{1}}(\gamma(t)) \cdot x_{1}^{\prime}(t)+\cdots+\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{j}}{\partial x_{n}}(\gamma(t)) \cdot x_{n}^{\prime}(t), j=1, \cdots, k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{b} \frac{d}{d t} \psi(\gamma(t))=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left(h^{-1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right) \frac{d}{d t} \psi(\gamma(t)) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left(h^{-1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} x_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} x_{n}^{\prime}\right) a_{1}+\cdots\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} x_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{n}} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{\prime}\right) a_{k}\right\}+\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)+\left(h^{-1}\right)\right. \text {. } \\
& \left.\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} x_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} x_{n}^{\prime} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} x_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{n}} x_{n}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}}}{\partial x_{1}} x_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} x_{n}^{\prime} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} x_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{n}} x_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}
\end{array}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)+\left(h^{-1}\right) x_{1}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{T} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots+\left(h^{-1}\right) x_{n}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}
\end{array}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)+x_{1}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_{11}^{1} & \cdots & \Gamma_{1 k}^{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Gamma_{11}^{k} & \cdots & \Gamma_{1 k}^{k}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots+x_{n}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_{n 1}^{1} & \cdots & \Gamma_{n k}^{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Gamma_{n 1}^{k} & \cdots & \Gamma_{n k}^{k}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}
\end{array}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)+\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{k}
\end{array}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we define the Christoffel symbols

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_{i 1}^{1} & \cdots & \Gamma_{i k}^{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Gamma_{i 1}^{k} & \cdots & \Gamma_{i k}^{k}
\end{array}\right)=\left(h^{-1}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}}\right) & \cdots & \left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\left(\mathbf{e}_{k}, \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}}\right) & \cdots & \left(\mathbf{e}_{k}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial \mathbf{x x}_{i}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& \omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)=x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_{11}^{1} & \cdots & \Gamma_{1 k}^{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Gamma_{11}^{k} & \cdots & \Gamma_{1 k}^{k}
\end{array}\right)+\cdots+x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_{n 1}^{1} & \cdots & \Gamma_{n k}^{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Gamma_{n 1}^{k} & \cdots & \Gamma_{n k}^{k}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A more readable formula for $\omega$ is

$$
\omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)=h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \frac{d \mathbf{e}_{1}}{d t} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{k}}{d t} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger} \frac{d \mathbf{e}_{1}}{d t} & \cdots & \mathrm{e}_{k}^{\dagger} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{k}}{d t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

If $\tau=\tau_{j}$ for some $j \in \mathcal{I}$ then $h=I_{k \times k}$ and the above formula for $\omega=\omega_{j}$ simplifies. In that case it is clear that $\omega_{j}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) \in \mathfrak{u}(k)$.
6.3.4. Berry-Simon Connection in $H_{3}$. Like in the previous section, now we define a natural connection on $\left(E, \mathcal{C}_{k}, \pi, \mathbb{C}^{k}, \mathfrak{U}(k),\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$, the Hermitian vector bundle for the non-collinear $H_{3}$ system. This connection is called the Berry-Simon connection.

To see in more details, we have an ambient inner product space $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e} \wedge \mathcal{H}_{e}$ such that $\forall b \in U_{i}$ we have $E_{b}=\pi^{-1}(\{b\}) \subset \mathcal{H}$. Suppose $\gamma:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow B$ is a smooth curve such that $\gamma(0)=b \in U_{i} . \quad \psi:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow E$ a smooth vector field over $\gamma$ i.e. $t \mapsto \psi(t) \in E_{\gamma(t)}=\pi^{-1}(\{\gamma(t)\})$ is smooth. We define the covariant derivative of $\psi$ at the direction $\gamma^{\prime}(t)$ as the orthogonal projection of $\psi^{\prime}(t) \in V$ into $\pi^{-1}(\{\gamma(t)\})$.

Suppose $\left(\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k}\right)$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. Then $\forall i \in \mathcal{I}, \forall b \in U_{i}$ the trivialization $\tau_{i}$ determines an orthonormal set in the inner product space $\mathcal{H}:\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}(b), \cdots\right.$, $\left.\mathbf{e}_{k}(b)\right\}:=\left\{\tau_{i}\left(x, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{1}\right), \cdots, \tau_{i}\left(x, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{k}\right)\right\}$. Then $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}(\gamma(t)), \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}(\gamma(t))\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\pi^{-1}(\{\gamma(t)\})$.

The orthogonal projection operator from the ambient inner product space $\mathcal{H}$ into the $k$-dimensional subspace $E_{b}$ is $P_{b}=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) h^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}\end{array}\right)=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\dagger} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_{k}^{\dagger}\end{array}\right)$, because $h:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) & \cdots & \left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \left(\mathbf{e}_{k}, \mathbf{e}_{1}\right) & \cdots & \left(\mathbf{e}_{k}, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\end{array}\right)=I$.

Then the covariant derivative of $\psi$ is

$$
P_{b} \frac{d}{d t} \psi(\gamma(t))=\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{k}\right)\left\{\frac{d}{d t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}(\gamma(t)), \psi(t)\right) \\
\vdots \\
\left(\mathbf{e}_{k}(\gamma(t)), \psi(t)\right)
\end{array}\right)+\omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}(\gamma(t)), \psi(t)\right) \\
\vdots \\
\left(\mathbf{e}_{k}(\gamma(t)), \psi(t)\right)
\end{array}\right)\right\},
$$

where we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_{i 1}^{1} & \cdots & \Gamma_{i k}^{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Gamma_{i 1}^{k} & \cdots & \Gamma_{i k}^{k}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}}\right) & \cdots & \left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\left(\mathbf{e}_{k}, \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) & \cdots & \left(\mathbf{e}_{k}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{e}_{k}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i}}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& \omega_{i}\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)=x_{1}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_{11}^{1} & \cdots & \Gamma_{1 k}^{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Gamma_{11}^{k} & \cdots & \Gamma_{1 k}^{k}
\end{array}\right)+\cdots+x_{n}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_{n 1}^{1} & \cdots & \Gamma_{n k}^{1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\Gamma_{n 1}^{k} & \cdots & \Gamma_{n k}^{k}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the family $\left\{\left(U_{i}, \tau_{i}, \omega_{i}\right)\right\}$ is the Berry-Simon connection defined on the Hermitian vector bundle of the non-collinear $H_{3}$ system. Generally we do not have an explicit formula for the trivialization $\tau_{i}$, so we cannot give an explicit formula to calculate the Berry-Simon connection.

### 6.3.5. Remark(I): potential energy surfaces of $H_{3}$ at the center of the

 cone. The potential energy of the $H_{3}$ system is determined by the conformation, so we can define the potential energy function over the cone $\mathcal{C}$ which represents all the conformations of the system. Numerical evidence $[\mathbf{4 1}][\mathbf{2}][\mathbf{1 5}][\mathbf{4 5}]$ shows that the potential energy function has a singularity at the central axis of the cone. Moreover, the dimension of the fiber will jump when the conformation changes from the nonequilateral to the equilateral. As a consequence, the Berry-Simon connection appears to have a singularity at the central axis of the cone and its curvature would not exist there. We will continue our discussion at $\S 7.3$ after we introduce the concept of holonomy and Berry phase.
## Chapter 7

## Holonomy Groups and Berry Phase

In this chapter holonomy groups and Berry phase are considered. Suppose a quantum system undergoes an evolution so that after some time it comes back to its original state. Such an evolution traces out a cycle in shape space. The result of the evolution will be reflected in the phase of the wave function in the form of a geometric phase factor, usually called Berry phase. This phase factor can be measured by interfering the initial and the final states. Such geometric phase factors only depend on the loop in the shape space; while they are independent of parameterization of the path in the shape space, and therefore of the speed at which the system moves along the cyclic path. We explain the Berry phase of the $H_{3}$ system using the geometric language i.e. in terms of holonomy in a Hermitian vector bundle.

### 7.1. Holonomy Groups

In this section we first define holonomy group at a global view point and then go to a local one.

Let $\left(E, B, \pi, F, G,\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ be a fiber bundle with standard fiber $F$ and structure group $G .\left\{\tau_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a atlas of smooth local trivializations with the smooth cocycle $\left\{g_{i j}\right\}_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{I}^{2}}$, i.e. $\left\{g_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G\right\}_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{I}^{2}}$ is a family of smooth maps such that $\forall b \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}, \forall y \in F,\left(\tau_{i}^{-1} \circ \tau_{j}\right)(b, y)=\left(b, g_{i j}(b) \cdot y\right)$, where $g \cdot y$ is the left action of $g \in G$ on $y \in F$. Let $b \in B, E_{b}=\pi^{-1}(\{b\})$. Let $\gamma:\left[c_{1}, c_{2}\right] \rightarrow B$ be a smooth loop such that $\gamma\left(c_{1}\right)=\gamma\left(c_{2}\right)=b$. Given $z \in E_{b}$, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ s.t. $b \in U_{i}$, there is a $y_{i} \in F$
s.t. $\tau_{i}\left(b, y_{i}\right)=z$. In the other words, for $i, j \in \mathcal{I}, i \neq j, z=\tau_{i}\left(b, y_{i}\right)=\tau_{j}\left(b, y_{j}\right) \Leftrightarrow$ $\left(b, g_{j i}(b) \cdot y_{i}\right)=\left(\tau_{j}^{-1} \circ \tau_{i}\right)\left(b, y_{i}\right)=\left(b, y_{j}\right) \Leftrightarrow y_{j}=g_{j i}(b) \cdot y_{i}$. The parallel translate of $y_{i}$ along $\gamma$ is $h_{\gamma, i} y_{i} \in F$ (Refer to $\S 6.1$ for detailed definition).

So the parallel translation along $\gamma$ gives a well-defined mapping $h_{\gamma}: E_{b} \rightarrow E_{b}$ : $z=\tau_{i}\left(b, y_{i}\right) \mapsto \tau_{i}\left(b, h_{\gamma, i} y_{i}\right)$ which is independent of $i$ s.t. $b \in U_{i}$. So we can define the global holonomy group as follows.

Definition. The global holonomy group at $b$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { holonomy }(b):= & \left\{h_{\gamma}: E_{b} \rightarrow E_{b} \mid h_{\gamma}\left(\tau_{i}\left(b, y_{i}\right)\right)=\tau_{i}\left(b, h_{\gamma, i} y_{i}\right) \text { for all } y_{i} \in F,\right. \\
& \text { for all } \left.i \in \mathcal{I} \text { s.t. } b \in U_{i}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

This set of mappings is a group under composition.

Now we consider the holonomy transformations from the local view point: Let $h_{\gamma, i}$ be the parallel translation along the loop $\gamma(t), t \in[0,1]$, where $\gamma(0)=\gamma(1) \in U_{i}$ of local trivialization $\tau_{i}$ ( see $\S 6.1$ for details definition). Here $h_{\gamma, i}$ is not necessary independent of $i$.

Definition. The local holonomy group at $b$ is

$$
\text { holonomy }(b, i):=\left\{h_{\gamma, i} \mid \gamma \text { is a smooth path in } B \text { from } b \text { to itself, } b \in U_{i}\right\} .
$$

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{holonomy}(b, i)$ is a subgroup of $G$. Also holonomy $(b, i)$ has the property that for all $i, j \in I_{b}$,

$$
\operatorname{holonomy}(b, j)=g_{i j}(b)^{-1} \operatorname{holonomy}(b, i) g_{i j}(b)
$$

To see, $\tau_{i}\left(b, h_{\gamma, i} y_{i}\right)$ is independent of $i$, where $b \in U_{i}$. Thus for $i, j \in \mathcal{I}, i \neq j, b \in$ $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{i}\left(b, h_{\gamma, i} y_{i}\right) & =\tau_{j}\left(b, h_{\gamma, j} y_{j}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow\left(b, h_{\gamma, j} y_{j}\right) & =\left(\tau_{j}^{-1} \circ \tau_{i}\right)\left(b, h_{\gamma, i} y_{i}\right)=\left(b, g_{j i}(b) h_{\gamma, i} y_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 17. The relation between local holonomy and global holonomy.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Leftrightarrow h_{\gamma, j} y_{j}=g_{j i}(b) h_{\gamma, i} y_{i} \\
& \Leftrightarrow h_{\gamma, j} g_{j i}(b) y_{i}=g_{j i}(b) h_{\gamma, i} y_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this will be true for all $y_{i}$ and the action of $G$ on $F$ is faithful, we see the above is equivalent to $h_{\gamma, j} g_{j i}(b)=g_{j i}(b) h_{\gamma, i}$ i.e. $h_{\gamma, j}=g_{j i}(b) h_{\gamma, i} g_{j i}(b)^{-1}$.

Moreover, the local holonomy is related to the global holonomy as follows. The mapping $J: \operatorname{holonomy}(b, i) \rightarrow \operatorname{holonomy}(b): h_{\gamma, i} \mapsto h_{\gamma}$ defines a surjective group homomorphism. If the structure group acts on the standard fiber faithfully, $J$ defines a group isomorphism. To see (refer to figure 18), assume that $h_{\gamma, i}, h_{\tilde{\gamma}, i} \in G$ and $h_{\gamma}=h_{\tilde{\gamma}}$, then for all $y_{i} \in F$, we have $h_{\gamma, i} \cdot y_{i}=h_{\tilde{\gamma}, i} \cdot y_{i}$. So $h_{\gamma, i}$ and $h_{\tilde{\gamma}, i}$ induce the same mapping on $F$. For a faithful action $G \times F \rightarrow F$, we conclude that $h_{\gamma, i}=h_{\tilde{\gamma}, i}$, therefore holonomy $(b, i) \rightarrow \operatorname{holonomy}(b)$ is one-to-one, and by the definition of the holonomy $(b)$, the mapping is always onto. In summary, $J$ is an isomorphism.

### 7.2. Introduction to Berry's Phase

Under the same context as $\S 6.3 .3$, except that we assume the standard fiber to be one dimensional, the Berry-Simon connection 1-form is:

$$
\omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)=\mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))^{\dagger} \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))=\left(\mathbf{e}(\gamma(t)), \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{e}(b) \in E_{b}$ and $\|\mathbf{e}(b)\|=1$, i.e. $\{\mathbf{e}(b)\}$ is a normalized basis of $E_{b}$.
We claim that $\omega$ is a purely imaginary number. To see,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))^{\dagger} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))=1 \\
\Rightarrow & {\left[\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))\right]^{\dagger} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))+\mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))^{\dagger} \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))=0 } \\
\Rightarrow & {\left[\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))\right]^{\dagger} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))=-\mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))^{\dagger} \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t)) } \\
\Rightarrow & \overline{\omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)}=\left(\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t)), \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))\right)=-\omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
\Rightarrow & \omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)=i\left(-i \omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)\right), \text { where }-i \omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With the above result, we are able to find a simple solution for the ODE initial value problem $g^{\prime}(t)=-\omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) g(t) ; g(0)=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow g(t)=e^{i \theta(t)} \\
& \Rightarrow g^{\prime}(t)=i e^{i \theta(t)} \theta^{\prime}(t) \\
& \Rightarrow i e^{i \theta(t)} \theta^{\prime}(t)=-\omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right) e^{i \theta(t)} \\
& \Rightarrow \theta^{\prime}(t)=i \omega\left(\gamma(t), \gamma^{\prime}(t)\right)=i \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))^{\dagger} \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t)) \\
& \Rightarrow \theta\left(t_{1}\right)-\theta\left(t_{0}\right)=i \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t))^{\dagger} \frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{e}(\gamma(t)) d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

(The following material is adapted from [4].) In 1984, M. V. Berry published his very influential findings on the quantum phase factors arising in a cyclic adiabatic quantum evolution [11]. Since then this phase got the names 'geometric', 'topological', 'non-integrable' and 'Berry's phase'. Berry investigated a quantum system governed by the Hamiltonian which depends on time through the slowly varying parameters. Then according to the adiabatic theorem, the system evolves in one of its instantaneous eigenstates as predicted by the Schrődinger equation. After an adiabatic evolution ends and the system completes a closed path in the parameter space, the instantaneous eigenstate acquires a phase factor, dependent only on the path
traced out in the parametric space. This served as a reason to name the phase geometric. The geometric phase, unlike the rest of the total phase, is independent of the rate at which the system state moves along the cyclic path. The difference between the total and geometric phases received the name of dynamical phase. It was Barry Simon [37] who first recognized the geometrical meaning of Berry's phase to be the holonomy in a fiber bundle over the parameter space.

Soon after Berry's discovery of the adiabatic phase, Y. Aharonov and J. Anandan released geometric phase from the adiabatic constraint [3]. They defined geometric phase for cyclic evolutions of the system state being an eigenstate of the time evolution operator. This phase reduces to the Berry's phase in the adiabatic limit. But contrary to the Berry's phase, the Aharonov-Anandan phase is defined in the projective Hilbert space, not the parameter space. It generalizes the geometric concept of the geometric phase.

Although there are no widely recognized practical applications of the geometric phase, its experimental observations have been reported in many fields of science. The largest group of experiments have been carried out on polarized light $[\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 8}]$ and polarized neutrons $[\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{2 2}, 42,43]$. The geometric phase has also been observed in magnetic resonance experiments [38], mesoscopic structures [24] and molecular systems [27]. Analogues of GP - the Hannay angles have been shown to exist in classical mechanical systems [21], the most famous example of which is the Foucault pendulum. For a more complete account on the geometric phase manifestations the reader is referred to the Resource Letter [5].

### 7.3. Berry Phase in $H_{3}$ System

Define two local trivializations on the Hermitian vector bundle on the $H_{3}$ system as follows. $\tau_{0}: U_{0} \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)$ and $\tau_{1}: U_{1} \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{1}\right)$, where $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$ are open in $B=\mathcal{C}_{k}$. Let $\gamma_{0}:(-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) \rightarrow U_{0}$ be a smooth path such that $\gamma_{0}(0)=q, \gamma_{0}(1)=p$;
let $\gamma_{1}:(-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) \rightarrow U_{1}$ be a smooth path such that $\gamma_{1}(0)=p, \gamma_{1}(1)=q$. ( See figure 18.) Then $\tau_{1} \circ \tau_{0}^{-1}:\left(U_{0} \cap U_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow\left(U_{0} \cap U_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{k}:(b, y) \mapsto\left(b, g_{01}(b) y\right)$, where $g_{01}: U_{0} \cap U_{1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(k)$ is a smooth cocycle. $g(t)$ and $\tilde{g}(t)$ are the solutions of the initial value problems: $g^{\prime}(t)=-\omega_{1}\left(\gamma_{1}(t), \gamma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right) g(t), g(0)=I$; and $\tilde{g}^{\prime}(t)=$ $-\omega_{0}\left(\gamma_{0}(t), \gamma_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right) \tilde{g}(t), \tilde{g}(0)=I$ respectively.

As shown in figure $18, \gamma_{1}$ followed by $\gamma_{0}$ gives a closed path called $\gamma$ at $p \in B$. The holonomy transformation in the trivialization $\tau_{1}$ is given through the following steps:
(1) $y \in \mathbb{C}^{k}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\tau_{1}\right)$;
(2) $g(1) y \in \mathbb{C}^{k}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\tau_{1}\right)$;
(3) $g_{01}(q) g(1) y \in \mathbb{C}^{k}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\tau_{0}\right)$;
(4) $\tilde{g}(1) g_{01}(q) g(1) y \in \mathbb{C}^{k}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\tau_{0}\right)$;
(5) $g_{10}(p) \tilde{g}(1) g_{01}(q) g(1) y \in \mathbb{C}^{k}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\tau_{1}\right)$.
$h_{\gamma, 1}=g_{10}(p) \tilde{g}(1) g_{01}(q) g(1)$ is the holonomy transformation in $\tau_{1}$, i.e. the Berry phase on the loop $\gamma$ in $\tau_{1}$. Generally, it is not easy to know what the dimensionality $k$ of the standard fiber $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ is, neither are the trivializations $\tau_{0}$ nor $\tau_{1}$ explicitly known. Thus we do not have the explicit formula to calculate the Berry phase of the $H_{3}$ system, but what we do above explains the computational procedure once $\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}$ and $k$ are known to us.

## Remark(II): potential energy surfaces of $H_{3}$ at the center of the cone

 We continue now our discussion from $\S 6.3 .5$. Mead et.al's paper [41] claims that the curvature is zero almost everywhere on the cone except at some points where the potential energy singularities exist. By the relation between curvature, connection and holonomy in differential geometry [25], the holonomy and hence the Berry phase is likely to be more complex if the $H_{3}$ molecule travels around a loop enclosing the central axis of the cone.

Figure 18. Holonomy Transformation in $H_{3}$ in trivialization $\tau_{1}$.
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